



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Improved culture detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* from sputum of patients with cystic fibrosis (CF)

Wen, H., Stirling, J., McCaughan, J., Schock, B., Downey, D. G., Ennis, M., & Moore, J. E. (2019). Improved culture detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* from sputum of patients with cystic fibrosis (CF). *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, *jclinpath-2019-206059*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2019-206059>

Published in:
Journal of Clinical Pathology

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
Copyright 2019 BMJ. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

2
3 **Manuscript #: jclinpath-2019-206059**

4
5
6
7 **Improved culture detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* from sputum of**
8 **patients with cystic fibrosis (CF)**
9

10 Hongjie Wen^{1,2}, Jonathan Stirling¹, John McCaughan³, Bettina C. Schock²,
11 Damian G. Downey^{2,4}, Madeleine Ennis² & John E. Moore^{1,2,5*}.

12
13
14 ¹ Northern Ireland Public Health Laboratory, Department of Bacteriology Belfast City
15 Hospital, Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9 7AD, Northern Ireland, UK,

16
17 ² School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Science, The Wellcome-Wolfson Institute
18 for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL,
19 Northern Ireland, UK,

20
21 ³ Department of Medical Microbiology, The Royal Group of Hospitals, Kelvin Building,
22 Grosvenor Road, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK,

23
24 ⁴ Northern Ireland Adult Cystic Fibrosis Unit, Level 8, Belfast City Hospital, Lisburn Road,
25 Belfast, BT9 7AB, Northern Ireland, UK,

26
27 ⁵ School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University, Cromore Road,
28 Coleraine, BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland, UK
29
30

31
32 * **Corresponding author:**

33
34 Professor John E. Moore,
35 Northern Ireland Public Health Laboratory,
36 Department of Bacteriology,
37 Belfast City Hospital,
38 Belfast BT9 7AD,
39 Northern Ireland,
40 United Kingdom.

41
42 Tel: +44 (28) 9026 3554

43 Fax: +44 (28) 9026 3991

44 E-mail: jemoore@niph.l.dnet.co.uk

45 *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) is a facultative anaerobic Gram-positive coccus, found in 30% to
46 50% of the healthy adult population.[1] It is considered to be a commensal organism of
47 humans and around 20% of individuals are ‘persistent carriers’. The organism resides most
48 commonly in the anterior nares, where there is a relative absence of immunological defences
49 and colonisation is achieved through bacterial adherence to the surface membrane of the host
50 cells.[2] Other common sites include the axillae, vagina and pharynx. SA is responsible for
51 a diverse range of diseases; from skin and soft tissue infection, pneumonia and
52 gastrointestinal (GI) poisoning, to fatal conditions of bacteraemia, endocarditis, sepsis and
53 toxic shock syndrome.[1] Within cystic fibrosis (CF), SA is the most commonly isolated
54 organism, where more than half of US individuals had at least one culture positive for
55 methicillin sensitive SA in 2017 and its occurrence, was highest in those younger than 10.[3]
56 Controversies remain ongoing regarding its clinical significance in early and adult lung
57 pathology, as well as optimal treatments regimens in these populations. In a seminal review
58 by Wong and colleagues,[4] the authors highlighted the need for further investigations to help
59 understand (i). the early host immune response that enables SA to reside within the CF lung,
60 (ii) to determine if there are organism specific factors that are associated with CF lung
61 disease and (iii) to clarify the utility of anti-staphylococcal antibiotic prophylaxis and/or
62 eradication in the treatment of this patient population. Therefore, robust and reliable methods
63 for the laboratory detection of SA are needed to support the evidence for SA involvement in
64 lung disease. It was the aim of this study to (i). compare the optimum agar to recover SA
65 from CF sputum and (ii). compare selective enrichment versus non-enrichment for the
66 recovery of SA from CF sputa.

67

68

69

70 **(i). Comparison of non-selective, selective and differential agars for the detection of**
71 ***Staphylococcus aureus***

72 Freshly expectorated sputum was obtained from CF patients (n=47) and processed with ,
73 sputasol (SR0233, Oxoid Ltd., UK), as previously described.[5] Five agar media were
74 compared by reconstituting in accordance with the manufacturers' instructions, including (i)
75 nutrient agar (CM003), (ii) Columbia blood agar (CM0331), supplemented with 5% (v/v)
76 defibrinated horse blood, (iii). colomycin agar [Columbia blood agar base (CM0331) 39g/L;
77 mannitol 10g/L; NaCl 25g/L, bromocresol purple (1.6 [w/v]% solution) 4mL/L, colomycin (1
78 Million I.U. dissolved in 10mL of Sterile Water) 0.5mL/L, (iv). Baird-Parker agar (Oxoid
79 CM1127) and (v) Brilliance Staph agar (LIP W11494). Lysed CF sputum (10µl) was
80 inoculated onto each plate and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 48h, prior to examination of
81 plates for the typical presence of SA. Presumptive SA colonies were subsequently
82 subcultured and confirmation testing. Two positive SA controls were also included, namely
83 NCTC 6571 WDCM 00035 and a well-characterised CF clinical SA isolate, NISA1.

84

85 **(ii) Comparison of selective enrichment and non-enrichment for the detection of**
86 ***Staphylococcus aureus***

87 Freshly expectorated sputum was obtained from CF patients (n=19) and processed with ,
88 sputasol (SR0233, Oxoid Ltd., UK), as previously described .[5] For the non-enrichment arm
89 of the study, Baird-Parker agar (Oxoid CM1127) and Brilliance Staph agar (LIP W11494)
90 were inoculated with lysed CF sputum (10µL) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 48h. For
91 the selective enrichment arm of the study, mannitol salt broth (MSB) was prepared as
92 follows:-nutrient broth No.2 Base (Oxoid CM0085) 25g/L, supplemented with mannitol
93 (10g/L) and NaCl (70g/L). MSB was dispensed in 10mL amounts into disposable universal
94 bottles, capped and autoclaved at 121°C/20min.

95 CF sputum (500µL) was inoculated into MSB (10mL) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours.
96 Following this period, 10 µL was inoculated onto Baird-Parker agar (Oxoid CM1127) and
97 Brilliance Staph agar (LIP W11494) and incubated as above. Two positive SA controls were
98 also included in this study, as detailed above. All isolates examined were methicillin-
99 sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA).

100

101 Isolation of SA varied depending on agar type. Highest recovery of SA was from Baird-
102 Parker agar (24/47; 51.1%) > Brilliance Staph agar (23/47; 48.9%) > colomycin agar (6/47;
103 12.8%) > nutrient agar (1/47; 2.1%), Columbia Blood agar (1/47; 2.1%). All five media
104 successfully grew both SA control organisms, namely WDCM 00035 & NISA1. Selective
105 enrichment recovered more SA from CF sputum on Baird Parker agar (6 SA positives/19 CF
106 sputa v 3/19 for non-enrichment) and on Brilliance Staph agar (6/19 v 4/19 for non-
107 enrichment).

108

109 This study showed that both Baird Parker agar and Brilliance Staph agar were the best agars
110 for recovery SA from CF sputum, with the others, namely nutrient agar, Columbia blood agar
111 and colomycin agar, being poor at isolating SA (<10% recovery). This was probably due the
112 overgrowth of SA on these media with contaminating organisms from the rich diversity of
113 bacteria found in CF sputum. Current guidelines for the isolation of SA from CF sputum
114 include (i). UK CF Trust Laboratory Standards for Processing Microbiological Samples from
115 People with Cystic Fibrosis [6] (ii). Public Health England's UK Standards for Microbiology
116 Investigations: Investigation of bronchoalveolar lavage, sputum and associated specimens [7]
117 and (iii). the US Cumitech protocol.[8] All of these methods recommend inclusion of
118 mannitol salt agar/chromogenic agar for the isolation of SA from CF respiratory specimens.
119 However, none of these include a selective enrichment step, prior to selective plating. This

120 current study has shown that in addition to the plating stage with selective/differential agar,
121 inclusion of a selective enrichment step helped increase the number of positive SA
122 specimens, compared to not having incorporated an enrichment stage. Selectivity for SA was
123 enhanced by including the MSB enrichment step, as it added a preliminary selection phase
124 for SA based on high salt concentration [7.5% w/v], followed by the selective components of
125 either Baird Parker agar or Brilliance Staph chromogenic agar. Higher recovery rates were
126 seen when using enrichment prior to selective plating. We believe that the reason for the
127 difference is due to bacterial numbers, namely that enrichment was able to allow for the
128 proliferation of small numbers in CF sputum to detectable levels that were able to be seen on
129 subsequent selective culture.

130

131 Where SA is present in smaller numbers, i.e. during early colonisation/infection or during
132 intermittent infection, we therefore endorse the isolation method, as detailed in Figure 1, for
133 the optimal recovery of SA from CF sputum.

134

135

136 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

137

138 The authors wish to acknowledge with thanks Mr Alan Murphy, Northern Ireland Public
139 Health Laboratory, Belfast City Hospital, for his help in preparing all media described in this
140 study.

141

142 **COMPETING INTERESTS:** None declared.

143

144

145 **REFERENCES**

146

147 1. Lowy FD. *Staphylococcus aureus* Infections. *N Engl J Med*, 1998;339:520-32.

148

149 2. Kluytmans J, Van Belkum A, Verbrugh H. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus*:
150 epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. *Clin Microbiol Rev*
151 1997;10:505-20.

152

153 3. Anon. 2017 Patient Registry Annual Data Report. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation,
154 Bethesda, USA. 2018., pp34.

155

156 4. Wong JK, Ranganathan SC, Hart E *et al.* *Staphylococcus aureus* in early cystic
157 fibrosis lung disease. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2013;48:1151-9.

158

159 5. McClean M, Stanley T, Goldsmith CE, *et al.* Determination of optimum incubation
160 time for release of bacteria from sputum of patients with cystic fibrosis using dithiothreitol
161 (sputasol). *Br J Biomed Sci* 2010;67:89-91.

162

163 6. Anon. Laboratory standards for processing microbiological samples from people with
164 cystic fibrosis. Report of the UK Cystic Fibrosis Trust Microbiology Laboratory Standards
165 Working Group. 2010. UK Cystic Fibrosis Trust, London, UK.

166

167 7. Anon. SMI B 57: investigation of bronchoalveolar lavage, sputum and associated
168 specimens. Bacteriology B57;3.5:1-38. Standards Unit, Microbiology Services, Public Health
169 England.

170

171 8. Gilligan PH, Kiska DL, Appleman MD. Cystic Fibrosis Microbiology. Cumitech:
172 Cumulative Techniques and Procedures in Clinical Microbiology. 2006;43, 1-36, American
173 Society for Microbiology Press, Washington DC, USA.

174

175 **FIGURE LEGEND**

176

177

178

179 **Figure 1:** Improved methodology for the isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* from the
180 sputum of patients with cystic fibrosis (CF)

181

182

183

184

185

Freshly expectorated CF sputum (*circa* 1mL)

↓

186

+ Sputasol (1:1 volume ratio)/Incubate at 37°C for 20 min

187

↓

188

500µl into Mannitol Salt Broth (10mL)

189

↓

190

Incubate 37°C/48h

191

↓

192

10µL inoculation onto

193

↓

194

Baird Parker agar _____ or _____ Brilliance Staph agar

195

↓

196

Incubate 37°C/48h

198

↓

199

Confirmation & further characterisation

200

201

202

203

204

205