



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Exploring the Impact of Illness Perceptions, Self-efficacy, Coping Strategies, and Psychological Distress on Quality of Life in a Post-stroke Cohort

Minshall, C., Ski, C. F., Apputhurai, P., Thompson, D. R., Castle, D. J., Jenkins, Z., & Knowles, S. R. (2021). Exploring the Impact of Illness Perceptions, Self-efficacy, Coping Strategies, and Psychological Distress on Quality of Life in a Post-stroke Cohort. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 28, 174-180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-020-09700-0>

Published in:

Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

Copyright 2020 Springer. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

1 **Exploring the Common Sense Model and interrelationships between illness**
2 **perceptions, coping strategies, psychological distress and quality of life in a**
3 **post-stroke cohort**

4
5 Catherine Brasier (Catherine.Brasier@myacu.edu.au)^{1,2}

6 Pragalathan Apputhurai (papputhurai@swin.edu.au)³

7 Chantal F Ski (Chantal.Ski@unimelb.edu.au)^{2,4,5}

8 David R Thompson (David.Thompson@unimelb.edu.au)^{4,5,6}

9 David J Castle (David.Castle@svha.org.au)^{2,4}

10 Simon R Knowles (sknowles@swin.edu.au)^{2,3,4*}

11 ¹The Australian Catholic University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Melbourne, VIC 3000

12 ²St. Vincent's Hospital, Mental Health Service, Melbourne, VIC 3065, Australia

13 ³Swinburne University, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Health, Arts and Design,
14 Melbourne, VIC 3122, Australia

15 ⁴The University of Melbourne, Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

16 ⁵School of Nursing and Midwifery, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

17 ⁶Australian Centre for Heart Health, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

18 ⁴St. Vincent's Hospital, Department of Neurology, Melbourne, VIC 3065, Australia

19

20 *Corresponding author

21 Running head: Validation of the CSM in a post-stroke cohort.

22 Keywords: Stroke, common sense model, illness perceptions, coping, self-efficacy,
23 depression, anxiety and quality of life

24 Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all the participants for the support and
25 interest in our research.

26 Sources of funding: This work was supported through the Australian Government's
27 Collaborative Research Networks (CRN) program.

28 Disclosure statement: The authors have no competing interests to report.

29

30 **Exploring the Impact of Illness Perceptions, Self-Efficacy, Coping Strategies, and**
31 **Psychological Distress on Quality of Life in a Post-Stroke Cohort**

32 Running head: Validation of the CSM in a post-stroke cohort.

33 Keywords: Stroke, common sense model, illness perceptions, quality of life, anxiety,
34 depression.

35 **Tables and Figures**

36 Table 1. Pearson's correlation and descriptive statistics of the scales

37 Table 2. Summary of the regression analysis between the five predictor variables on QoL

38 Figure 1. Multiple mediation between illness perception and QoL

39

40

41

42

43 Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank all the participants for the support and
44 interest in our research.

45 Sources of funding: This work was supported through the Australian Government's
46 Collaborative Research Networks (CRN) program.

47 Disclosure statement: The authors have no competing interests to report.

48 **Abstract**

49 This study evaluated the mediating role of self-efficacy, coping, depression and anxiety on
50 the relationship between illness perceptions and quality of life in stroke survivors (n=72; 32
51 females; mean [SD] age 65.09 [14.14] years; male mean [SD] age 69.83[11.81]). Illness
52 perceptions (Brief Illness Perceptions Questionnaire; BIPQ), coping styles (Carver Brief COPE
53 scale; B-COPE), depression/anxiety (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HADS), self-
54 efficacy (General Self-Efficacy Scale; GSE) and quality of life (Assessment of quality of life;
55 AQOL-6D) were analysed. Correlation analyses showed illness perception, maladaptive
56 coping, self-efficacy, depression and anxiety to have a significant negative relationship with
57 quality of life. Mediation analyses showed that while maladaptive coping and self-efficacy
58 did not mediate the relationship between illness perception and quality of life, depression
59 and anxiety did. The final model explained 76.74% of the variance in quality of life.
60 Although based on a relatively small sample size, these results provide evidence for the
61 important role of psychosocial factors in quality of life in post-stroke cohorts.

62

63

64 **Introduction**

65 The Common Sense Model (CSM) developed by Leventhal and colleagues (1980) can be
66 used to understand the psychosocial health of important chronic diseases, such as stroke, by
67 establishing the relationship between illness symptoms and individual outcomes (e.g.,
68 quality of life[QoL]) which are mediated by illness perceptions and coping style. In the past,
69 the CSM has been applied to numerous chronic illnesses including gastrointestinal disorders
70 (Knowles, Wilson, Connell, & Kamm, 2011), diabetes (Breland, McAndrew, Burns, Leventhal,
71 & Leventhal, 2013) and arthritis (Knowles et al., 2016). However, the CSM has been applied
72 to stroke survivors in only few studies (Hagger & Orbell, 2003; Klinedinst, Dunbar & Clark,
73 2012; Phillips, Diefenbach, Abrams & Horowitz, 2015).

74 The CSM proposes that disease activity shares an integral link with the individual's
75 perception of their illness, referred to as 'illness perceptions' (Leventhal, Phillips & Burns,
76 2016). In CSM, illness perceptions refer to the cognitive and emotional perceptions that
77 individuals develop in response to becoming aware of a threat to their health (Leventhal et
78 al., 2016). Concisely described by Broadbent et al. (2006), illness perceptions are comprised
79 of five dimensions: chronicity (is the illness chronic, acute or cyclical?); consequence (how
80 much does the illness impact on my physical and psychosocial well-being?); causes (what
81 factors caused or influenced the illness?); identity (how is one impacted by having an
82 illness?); and cure/control (can the illness be cured or controlled?).

83 The concept of 'coping' is also central to the CSM. According to Lazarus and Folkman
84 (1984), individuals mediate stress through behaviour and cognition. In CSM, 'adaptive
85 coping' strategies seek to change the situation (e.g., planning, problem solving), while

86 'maladaptive coping' strategies seek to regulate emotional distress (e.g., praying, avoiding)
87 (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984).

88 Considering this literature, the CSM may be able to provide new insights into the
89 relationship between illness parameters and psychosocial outcomes in stroke survivors.
90 Stroke is the second highest cause of mortality and the third leading cause of disability
91 worldwide (Feigin, Norrving & Mensah, 2017). Stroke is a neurological condition that occurs
92 when a blockage or bleed in the brain results in oxygen deprivation and associated cell
93 death (Sacco et al., 2013). Stroke can produce myriad symptoms including cognitive,
94 motor/sensory and language impairments (Sacco et al., 2013). In addition, the detrimental
95 impact of stroke on survivor's psychosocial health is widely noted (Frincu, Lupsa, &
96 Stefanescu, 2016; Wang & Wang, 2013). Globally, the economic cost of stroke between
97 2014 and 2015 exceeded \$34 billion in the US (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention,
98 2019) and €45 billion in the European Union (Stroke Alliance for Europe, 2016). With stroke
99 healthcare costs exceeding \$606 million in Australia in 2008-2009 (Australian Institute of
100 Health and Welfare, 2013) the physical, emotional and fiduciary costs of stroke are deeply
101 concerning.

102 Depression, anxiety and reduced QoL as well as other psychosocial maladies are common
103 following a stroke (Ayerbe, Ayis, Wolfe & Rudd, 2013). In fact, recent systematic reviews
104 (Mitchell et al., 2017; Schöttke & Giabbiconi, 2015) estimate that one-third of stroke
105 survivors experience depression, whilst a further 25% experience clinical anxiety (Chun,
106 Whiteley, Dennis, Mead & Carson, 2018). Evidence suggests that psychosocial outcomes
107 such as depression, anxiety and QoL affect each other (Tang, Lau, Mok, Ungvari & Wong,
108 2013; van Mierlo et al.; 2014 Volz, Möbus, Letsch & Werheid, 2016). Although these

109 relationships are not well understood, evidence suggests that depression is linked to poor
110 QoL (Zhang et al., 2017). Meanwhile, self-efficacy has been shown to affect QoL and
111 depression (Robinson-Smith, Johnston & Allen, 2000; Volz, Möbus, Letsch & Werheid, 2016).

112 Given the effectiveness of implementing the CSM in other chronic health conditions
113 and the importance of psychosocial mediators in health, this study sought to explore the
114 CSM in stroke survivors. It was hypothesized that, aligned with CSM, self-efficacy, coping
115 styles, depression and anxiety would act as mediators between illness perceptions and QoL.

116 **Methods**

117 Participants were recruited as part of the Stroke and Carer Optimal Health Program (SCOHP)
118 trial, the details of which have been reported elsewhere (Braiser et a. 2016). An a priori
119 power analysis using GPower (version 3.1) with an effect size 0.15, error probability alpha
120 0.05 and power of 0.80 indicated a minimum of 68 individuals to predict QoL with seven
121 predictor variables. The sample of 72 participants surpassed this requirement.

122 **Compliance with ethical standards**

123 This trial was approved by a Melbourne Metropolitan Human Research Ethics Committee
124 (HREC-A 031/12). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The authors
125 declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, the authorship,
126 and/or publication of this article.

127 **Materials**

128 **Brief Illness Perceptions Questionnaire (BIPQ) (Broadbent, Petrie, Main & Weinman,**
129 **2006).**

130 The BIPQ is a 9-item questionnaire evaluating emotional/ cognitive representations of
131 illness across eight dimensions: emotional response, consequences, timeline, personal
132 control, treatment control, identity, concern and understanding (Broadbent, 2006). Items
133 were assessed according to a 11-point rating scale. For example, “How much does your
134 illness affect your life: 0 [not at all] – 10 [severely affects my life]” (Broadbent et al., 2006).
135 Reliability analysis was conducted with if the item deleted until the Cronbach’s alpha above
136 0.7. After removing 2 items (control over illness and helpfulness of treatment), remaining
137 items demonstrated good internal consistency (0.71). The illness perception scale score was
138 created by attaining the average of the subscales, with higher scores reflecting more
139 negative emotional and cognitive representations of illness. Meta-analysis of the BIPQ has
140 demonstrated construct validity in other chronic diseases such as diabetes (Broadbent, et
141 al., 2015).

142 **Carver Brief Coping Questionnaire (Brief-COPE) (Carver, 1997).**

143 The Brief-COPE is comprised of 14-subscale questionnaires, with two items per subscale. A
144 4-point rating scale is used, for example: “1 [I haven’t been doing this at all] to 3 [I’ve been
145 doing this a lot]” (Carver et al., 1997). Due to sample size constraints, the coping subscales
146 could not be derived by factor analysis. Instead, subscales were based on those reported by
147 Carver et al. (1989). Maladaptive coping was based on 12 items (self-distraction, denial,
148 substance use, behavioural disengagement, venting, self-blame) and had good internal
149 consistency (0.71). Emotion-focused coping was based on 10 items (emotional support,
150 positive reframing, humor, acceptance, religion) and had a good internal consistency (0.74).
151 Finally, problem-focused coping was based on 6 items (active coping, instrumental support,

152 planning) and demonstrated good internal consistency of (0.85). All three subscales were
153 created as the average of the respective items.

154 **General Self-efficacy Scale (GES) (Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1995)**

155 The General Self-Efficacy Scale is a 10-item measure which assesses an individual's belief
156 that they can overcome challenges and situations in their own life, utilising a 4-point scale:
157 "1 [Not at all true]" to "4 [Exactly true]" (Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1995, p.38). Items are
158 summed giving a score range of 10 to 40; higher scores signify greater levels of self-efficacy
159 (Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1995). The self-efficacy scale had good internal consistency (0.89).

160 **Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Snaith, 2003)**

161 The HADS is a 14-item self-report questionnaire that assesses depression (7 items) and
162 anxiety (7 items) rated over the previous seven days. Questions are assessed via a 4-point
163 Likert scale. For example, "I feel tense or 'wound up'" 0 = [not at all] to 3 = [most of the
164 time] (Snaith, 2003, p. 2). Scores between 16 and 21 indicate the presence of a mood
165 disorder (Snaith, 2003). The anxiety and depression subscales had good internal consistency
166 (0.84 and 0.83 respectively). The HADS has been validated for use in stroke survivors,
167 reporting 60% specificity and 80% sensitivity (Burton & Tyson, 2015).

168 **Assessment of Quality of Life-6 Dimensions (AQoL-6D) (Allen, Inder, Lewin, Attia & Kelly,** 169 **2013).**

170 The AQoL-6D is a multi-attribute self-reported questionnaire that provides a
171 multidimensional assessment of health-related quality (Allen, Inder, Lewin, Attia & Kelly,
172 2013). It comprises 20 items which assess 6 domains of QoL (relationships – 3 items,
173 independent living – 4 items, coping – 3 items, mental health – 4 items, senses – 3 items and
174 pain – 3 items). Items have between 4 to 6 response options which can be combined to

175 provide an overall score of QoL; higher scores suggest greater impairment in QoL. The QoL
176 scale had good internal consistency (0.91).

177 **Statistical analysis**

178 Prior to conducting multiple regression modeling, the relevant assumptions of this statistical
179 analysis strategy were tested. The assumption of singularity was met, as the independent
180 variables were not a combination of other independent variables. An examination of
181 correlations (see Table 1) revealed that no independent variables were highly correlated.
182 The assumptions of multicollinearity, normality, linearity and homoscedasticity were all
183 satisfied (Hair, Black, Babin & Anderson, 2018; Pallant, 2001). Mediation analyses were
184 carried out using PROCESS macro (version 3.4) for SPSS (Preacher & Hayes, 2008).

185 **Results**

186 Participants were 72 stroke survivors (32 females; mean [SD] age 65.09 [14.14] years; male
187 mean [SD] age 69.83[11.81]), of whom 61 percent were married, 7 percent were defacto, 8
188 percent were divorced, 2 percent were separated, 17 percent were single, 3 percent were
189 widowed and 2 percent identified their relationship as 'other'. Mean [SD] time since stroke
190 was 33 months [28.2].

191 Table 1 shows the descriptive and correlational analyses of the study variables.
192 Illness perceptions and maladaptive coping were significantly positively correlated, as well
193 as both having significant positive correlations with anxiety and depression and significant
194 negative correlations with QoL and self-efficacy. Emotion-focused coping had a positive
195 correlation with problem-focused coping and a negative correlation with depression, both
196 relationships were significant. Problem-focused coping had a significant positive correlation
197 with self-efficacy. Maladaptive coping had a significant positive relationship with

198 depression/anxiety and the other two coping strategies, and a significant negative
199 correlation with self-efficacy and QoL. In addition, QoL had a significant negative correlation
200 with depression and anxiety, and a significant positive correlation with self-efficacy. As
201 emotion and problem-focused coping did not have a significant correlation with QoL, they
202 were not included in further analysis.

203 INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

204 To evaluate the study hypothesis that that self-efficacy, coping styles, depression
205 and anxiety act as mediators between illness perceptions and QoL, separate regression
206 analyses were performed to investigate whether each variable predict QoL. As shown in
207 Table 2, illness perception, self-efficacy, maladaptive coping, depression and anxiety each
208 had a unique effect on QoL.

209 INSERT TABLE 2 HERE

210 Mediation analyses with 5000 bootstrap samples were conducted to examine the
211 potential roles of maladaptive coping, self-efficacy, depression and anxiety as mediators
212 between illness perceptions and QoL. As shown in Figure 1, consistent with full mediation,
213 illness perception was no longer a significant predictor of QoL after the mediator variables
214 were included in the model. Maladaptive coping and self-efficacy did not mediate the
215 relationship between illness perception and QoL. This suggests that depression and anxiety
216 fully mediate the relationship between QoL and illness perception. The final model was
217 found to account for 76.74% of the variance in QoL.

218 INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

219 Discussion

220 Whilst the global prevalence of stroke is increasing (Gorelick, 2019), medical advances are
221 leading to improved survival rates (Warner, Harrington, Sacco & Elkind, 2019; Seminog,
222 Scarborough, Wright, Rayner & Goldacre, 2019). While higher survival rates are positive, it is
223 also well-established that post-stroke cohorts report greater rates of depression and anxiety
224 compared to healthy control groups (Campbell Burton et al., 2012; Cumming, Blomstrand,
225 Skoog & Linden; Lincoln et al., 2013) as well as poorer QoL (Abubakar, & Isezuo., 2012;
226 Franzen-Dahlin & Laska, 2012). Given this, the identification of psychosocial variables that
227 can be utilised by psychological interventions to target and promote QoL in stroke survivors
228 is essential. The evaluation of well established psychosocial models of health outcomes,
229 such as the CSM, provide a strong theoretical and practical basis to identify and evaluate
230 psychosocial variables.

231 Based on the CSM our study found partial support for the hypothesis in that
232 maladaptive anxiety and depression mediated the relationship between illness perceptions
233 and QoL. This reflects the premise that mental health is a key marker of QoL (Lincoln et al.,
234 2013; Northcott, Moss, Harrison, & Hilari, 2015). Although not found to act as a mediator,
235 self-efficacy was found to be predicted by illness perceptions. Coping styles are complex and
236 have been conceptualised in numerous ways to exemplify their diverse impact. In CSM,
237 coping styles are essential to one's welfare and are thought to determine key outcomes
238 (Lazarus & Folkman., 1984.) In support of other CSM-based research, coping (specifically
239 maladaptive coping), was predicted by illness perceptions (Knowles et al, 2019). The findings
240 that maladaptive coping, and not other forms of coping (emotion- and problem-focused)
241 was predicted by illness perceptions is consistent with past research (Knowles et al, 2017;

242 Knowles et al, 2019). While the influence of QoL by maladaptive coping was not significant,
243 these findings reflect the more influential role of maladaptive coping than adaptive coping
244 styles. It should also be acknowledged that although not tested in this study, both self-
245 efficacy and maladaptive coping may also have a direct impact on anxiety and depression.
246 While this is the first study to provide partial evidence for the CSM in a stroke cohort, it is
247 not without limitations. The first limitation was that no measure of health status was
248 collected, despite being a key predictor variable of illness perceptions in the CSM. The small
249 number of participants and self-reported nature the questionnaires, may bias the results
250 and only reflect the perceptions of participants and not the wider post-stroke population.
251 The study design was cross-sectional and therefore true causal (mediation) relationships
252 could not be evaluated; nor could bi-directional pathways be evaluated. Future research
253 should seek to replicate and extend the current findings by utilisng more advanced
254 statistical approaches such as structural equation modeling (SEM), to better control for
255 measurement error and inter-item correlations, and assess for multiple
256 simultaneous mediational relationships. An extended CSM in a post-stroke cohort could also
257 explore the potential mediating role of other psychosocial variables which have been found
258 to be associated with post-stroke outcomes, like QoL, including optimism (Kim, Park &
259 Peterson, 2011) and carer support (King, Hartke & Houle, 2010). While acknowledging these
260 limitations, the study has identified several potential psychosocial variables, specifically
261 illness perceptions, maladaptive coping, self-efficacy, and depression and anxiety which
262 could be targeted through psychological interventions such as cognitive behaviour therapy
263 (CBT) the promote QoL in a post-stroke cohort.

264 **Conclusion**

265 Along with higher rates of anxiety and depression, post stroke cohorts are also at risk of
266 reduced QoL. Based on the CSM, this study explored the potential mediational role of self-
267 efficacy, coping strategies, and anxiety and depression between illness perceptions and QoL
268 in a post-stroke cohort. The findings suggest that that along illness perceptions, anxiety and
269 depression should be targets for modification using well-established psychological
270 interventions such as CBT to enhance QoL in post-stroke cohorts.

271 **Funding**

272 Supported by the Australian Government's Collaborative Research Networks (CRN)

273

274

275 **References**

- 276 Abubakar, S. & Isezuo, S. (2012). Health related quality of life of stroke survivors: Experience
277 of a stroke unit. *International Journal of Biomedical Science*, *8*, 183-187. no doi.
- 278 Allen, J., Inder, K. J., Lewin, T. J., Attia, J. R., & Kelly, B. J. (2013). Construct validity of the
279 assessment of Quality of Life - 6D (AQoL-6D) in community samples. *Health and*
280 *Quality of Life Outcomes*, *11*, 61-61. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-11-61
- 281 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2013). Stroke and its management in Australia:
282 An update (Vol. Cat. no. CVD 61). Canberra: AIHW.
- 283 Ayerbe, L., Ayis, S., Wolfe, C. D., & Rudd, A. G. (2013). Natural history, predictors and
284 outcomes of depression after stroke: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British*
285 *Journal of Psychiatry*, *202*, 14-21. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.111.107664
- 286 Brasier, C., Ski, C. F., Thompson, D. R., Cameron, J., O'Brien, C., . . . Castel, D. (2016) The
287 Stroke and Carer Optimal Health Program (SCOHP) to enhance psychosocial health:
288 Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. *17*:466. doi: 10.1186/s13063-
289 016-1559-y
- 290 Breland, J. Y., McAndrew, L. M., Burns, E., Leventhal, E. A. & Leventhal, H. (2013). Using the
291 Common Sense Model of self-regulation to review the effects of self-monitoring of
292 blood glucose on glycemic control for non-insulin-treated adults with type 2
293 diabetes. *Diabetes Educator*, *39*, 541-549. doi: 10.1177/0145721713490079.
- 294 Broadbent, E., Petrie, K. J., Main, J., & Weinman, J. (2006). The Brief Illness Perception
295 Questionnaire. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *60*, 631-637. doi: 2005.10.020

296 Broadbent, E., Wilkes, C., Koschwanez, H., Weinman, J., Norton, S., & Petrie, K. (2015). A
297 systematic review and meta-analysis of the Brief Illness Perception
298 Questionnaire. *Psychology & Health, 30*(11), 1361-1385. doi:
299 10.1080/08870446.2015.1070851

300 Burton, L. J., & Tyson, S. (2015). Screening for mood disorders after stroke: A systematic
301 review of psychometric properties and clinical utility. *Psychological Medicine, 45*, 29-
302 49. doi: 10.1017/S0033291714000336

303 Campbell Burton, C., Murray, J., Holmes, J., Astin, F., Greenwood, D., & Knapp, P. (2012).
304 Frequency of anxiety after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
305 observational studies. *International Journal of Stroke, 8*, 545-559. doi:
306 10.1111/j.1747-4949.2012.00906

307 Carver, C. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the
308 brief COPE. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 4*, 92-100. doi:
309 10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6

310 Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A
311 theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56*, 267-
312 283.

313 Centres fo Disease Control and Prevention, *Stroke Facts*, accessed December 2019,
314 Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/facts.htm>

315 Chun, H.-Y. Y., Whiteley, W. N., Dennis, M. S., Mead, G. E., & Carson, A. J. (2018). Anxiety
316 after stroke: The importance of subtyping. *Stroke, 49*, 556-564. doi:
317 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020078

318 Cumming, T. B., Blomstrand, C., Skoog, I., & Linden, T. (2016). The high prevalence of anxiety
319 disorders after stroke. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 24*, 154-160. doi:
320 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2015.06.003>

321 Feigin, V. L., Norrving, B., & Mensah, G. A. (2017). Global burden of stroke. *Circulation*
322 *Research, 120*, 439-448. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308413

323 Franzen-Dahlin, A., & Laska, A. (2012). Gender differences in quality of life after stroke and
324 TIA: A cross-sectional survey of out-patients. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 21*, 2386-
325 2368. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.04064.x.

326 Frincu, R., Lupsa, L., & Stefanescu, C. (2016). Pathophysiological mechanisms in post-stroke
327 depression. Psychosocial and economic implications of patient
328 rehabilitation. *Bulletin of Integrative Psychiatry, 22*, 31. no doi.

329 Gorelick, P. (2019). The global burden of stroke: Persistent and disabling. *The Lancet*
330 *Neurology, 18*, 417-418. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30030-4

331 Hagger, M. S., & Orbell, S. (2003). A meta-analytic review of the common-sense model of
332 illness representations. *Psychology & Health, 18*, 41-184. doi:
333 10.1080/088704403100081321

334 Hair Jr., J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. & Anderson R. E. (2018). *Multivariate Data Analysis*.
335 Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

336 Kim, E. S., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2011). Dispositional optimism protects older adults from
337 stroke: The Health and Retirement Study. *Stroke, 42*, 2855-2859.
338 doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.613448

339 Klinedinst, N. J., Dunbar, S. B., & Clark, P. C. (2012). Stroke survivor and informal caregiver
340 perceptions of poststroke depressive symptoms. *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing*,
341 *44*, 72-81. doi: 10.1097/JNN.0b013e3182477944

342 Knowles, S. R., Nelson, E. A., Castle, D. J., Salzberg, M. R., Choong, P. F. M., & Dowsey, M. M.
343 (2016). Using the common sense model of illness to examine interrelationships
344 between symptom severity and health outcomes in end-stage osteoarthritis
345 patients. *Rheumatology*, *55*, 1066-1073. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kew022

346 Knowles, S. R., Wilson, J. L., Connell, W. R., & Kamm, M. A. (2011). Preliminary examination
347 of the relations between disease activity, illness perceptions, coping strategies, and
348 psychological morbidity in Crohn's disease guided by the common sense model of
349 illness. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease*, *17*, 1-7. doi: 10.1002/ibd.21650

350 Knowles, S., Austin, D., Sivanesan, S., Tye-Din, J., Leung, C., Wilson, J., . . . Hebbard, G.
351 (2017). Relations between symptom severity, illness perceptions, visceral sensitivity,
352 coping strategies and well-being in irritable bowel syndrome guided by the common
353 sense model of illness. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, *22*, 524-534. doi:
354 10.1080/13548506.2016.1168932

355 Knowles, S., Apputhurai, P., O'Brien, C., Ski, C., Thompson, D., & Castle, D. (2019). Exploring
356 the relationships between illness perceptions, self-efficacy, coping strategies,
357 psychological distress and quality of life in a cohort of adults with diabetes
358 mellitus. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 1-15. doi:
359 10.1080/13548506.2019.1695865.

360 Korpershoek, C., van der Bijl, J., & Hafsteinsdóttir, T. B. (2011). Self-efficacy and its influence
361 on recovery of patients with stroke: A systematic review. *Journal of Advanced*
362 *Nursing, 67*, 1876-1894. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05659.x

363 Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer.

364 Leventhal, H., Meyer, D., & Nerenz, D. (1980). The common sense model of illness danger.
365 In S. Rachman (Ed.), *Contributions to medical psychology* (pp.7-30). New York:
366 Pergamon Press.

367 Leventhal, H., Phillips, L., & Burns, E. (2016). The Common-Sense Model of self-regulation
368 (CSM): A dynamic framework for understanding illness self-management. *Journal of*
369 *Behavioral Medicine, 39*, 935-946. doi: 10.1007/s10865-016-9782-2

370 Lincoln, N. B., Brinkmann, N., Cunningham, S., Dejaeger, E., De Weerd, W., Jenni, W., . . . De
371 Wit, L. (2013). Anxiety and depression after stroke: A 5 year follow-up. *Disability &*
372 *Rehabilitation, 35*, 140-145. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2012.691939

373 Mitchell, A. J., Sheth, B., Gill, J., Yadegarfar, M., Stubbs, B., Yadegarfar, M., & Meader, N.
374 (2017). Prevalence and predictors of post-stroke mood disorders: A meta-analysis
375 and meta-regression of depression, anxiety and adjustment disorder. *General*
376 *Hospital Psychiatry, 47*, 48-60. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2017.04.001

377 King, R. B., Hartke, R. J., & Houle, T. T. (2010). Patterns of relationships between background
378 characteristics, coping, and stroke caregiver outcomes. *Topics in Stroke*
379 *Rehabilitation, 17*, 308-317. doi: 10.1310/tsr1704-308

380 Northcott, S., Moss, B., Harrison, K., & Hilari, K. (2015). A systematic review of the impact of
381 stroke on social support and social networks: Associated factors and patterns of
382 change. *Clinical Rehabilitation, 30*, 811-831. doi: 10.1177/0269215515602136

383 Pallant, J. (2001). SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for
384 Windows version 10. Buckingham: Open University Press.

385 Phillips, L. A., Diefenbach, M. A., Abrams, J., & Horowitz, C. R. (2015). Stroke and TIA
386 survivors' cognitive beliefs and affective responses regarding treatment and future
387 stroke risk differentially predict medication adherence and categorised stroke risk.
388 *Psychology & Health, 30*, 218-232. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2014.964237

389 Preacher, K., & Andrew, H. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and
390 comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models, *Behavior Research Methods,*
391 *40*, 879. No doi.

392 Robinson-Smith, G., Johnston, M. V., & Allen, J. (2000). Self-care self-efficacy, quality of life,
393 and depression after stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation, 81*, 460-
394 464. doi: 10.1053/mr.2000.3863

395 Sacco, R. L., Kasner, S. E., Broderick, J. P., Caplan, L. R., Connors, J. J., Culebras, A., . . .
396 Vinters, H. V. (2013). An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: A
397 statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart
398 Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke, 44*, 2064-2089. doi:
399 10.1161/STR.0b013e318296aeca

400 Schöttke, H., & Giabbiconi, C., M. (2015). Post-stroke depression and post-stroke anxiety:
401 Prevalence and predictors. *International Psychogeriatrics, 27*, 1805-1812. doi:
402 10.1017/S1041610215000988

403 Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale. In J. Weinman, S.
404 Wright, & M. Johnston (Eds.), *Measures in health psychology: a user's portfolio.*
405 *Causal and control beliefs.* (pp. 5–37). NFER Nelson: Windsor.

406 Seminog, O., Scarborough, P., Wright, F., Rayner, M., & Goldacre, M. (2019). Determinants
407 of the decline in mortality from acute stroke in England: Linked national database
408 study of 795 869 adults. *BMJ*, 365, L1778. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l1778

409 Snaith, R. P. (2003). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Health & Quality of Life*
410 *Outcomes*, 1, 29. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-1-29

411 Stroke Alliance for Europe. (2016). *The burden of stroke in Europe.* SAFE: London.

412 Tang, W. K., Lau, C. G., Mok, V., Ungvari, G. S., & Wong, K. S. (2013). Impact of anxiety on
413 health-related quality of life after stroke: A cross-sectional study. *Archives of Physical*
414 *Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 94, 2535-2541. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2013.07.012

415 van Mierlo, M. L., Schröder, C., van Heugten, C. M., Post, M. W. M., de Kort, P. L. M., &
416 Visser-Meily, J. M. A. (2014). The influence of psychological factors on health-related
417 quality of life after stroke: A systematic review. *International Journal of Stroke*, 9,
418 341-348. doi: 10.1111/ijss.12149

419 Volz, M., Möbus, J., Letsch, C., & Werheid, K. (2016). The influence of early depressive
420 symptoms, social support and decreasing self-efficacy on depression 6 months post-
421 stroke. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 206, 252-255. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.041

422 Wang, L., & Wang, K. S.. (2013). Age differences in the associations of behavioral and
423 psychosocial factors with stroke. *Neuroepidemiology*, 41, 94-100. doi:
424 10.1159/000350018

425 Warner, J. J., Harrington, R. A., Sacco, R. L., & Elkind, M. S. (2019). Guidelines for the early
426 management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018
427 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke, 50*, 3331-
428 3332. no doi.

429 Zhang, L., Sui, M., Yan, T., You, L., Li, K., & Gao, Y. (2017). A study in persons later after
430 stroke of the relationships between social participation, environmental factors and
431 depression. *Clinical Rehabilitation, 31*, 394-402. doi: 10.1177/0269215516641300

432 Zhang, Maochen, Hong, Liwen, Zhang, Tianyu, Lin, Yun, Zheng, Sichang, Zhou, Xiaolin, . . .
433 Zhong, Jie. (2016). Illness perceptions and stress: Mediators between disease
434 severity and psychological well-being and quality of life among patients with Crohn's
435 disease. *Patient Preference and Adherence, 10*, 2387-2396. doi:
436 10.2147/PPA.S118413

437

438

439

440

441

442 **Appendix 1.**

443 **Table 1.** Pearson's correlation and descriptive statistics of the scales

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Cronbach's alpha	Mean (SD)
1. Illness perceptions	-							0.71	6.49 (2.28)
2. Maladaptive coping	0.33**	-						0.71	1.65 (1.96)
3. Emotion-focused coping	-0.06	0.20*	-					0.74	2.26 (0.54)
4. Problem-focused coping	0.19	0.33**	0.66**	-				0.85	2.58 (0.80)
5. Self-efficacy	-0.35*	-0.35**	0.14	0.19*	-			0.89	30.04 (5.27)
6. Anxiety	0.49**	0.51**	-0.03	0.14	-0.42**	-		0.84	7.16 (4.07)
7. Depression	0.48**	0.41**	-0.23*	-0.09	-0.46**	0.63**	-	0.83	6.33 (4.24)
8. Quality of Life	-0.56**	-0.51**	0.03	-0.06	0.53**	-0.69**	-0.77**	0.91	0.63 (0.21)

444 *Note: *p < .05 and **p < .001.*

445 **Table 2.** Summary of the regression analysis between the five predictor variables on QoL.

Variable	B	SE	β	t	CI	R²
Illness perception	-0.06	0.01	-0.56**	-5.22	[-0.09, -.04]	31.6%
Maladaptive Coping	-0.28	0.05	-0.51**	-6.20	[-0.37, -.19]	25.7%
Self-efficacy	0.03	0.01	0.53**	6.77	[0.02, .03]	28.0%
Anxiety	-0.04	0.01	-0.69**	-10.57	[-0.04, -0.03]	48.0%
Depression	-0.04	0.01	-0.77**	-13.06	[-.05, -.03]	58.5%

446 *Note: **p < .001.*


447

448

449

450

451



452

453

454

455 **Figure 1.** Multiple mediation between illness perception and QoL (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.001$).