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Ireland’s working-class literature: neglected themes, amphibian academics and the challenges ahead

Irish working-class history, culture, and literature are attracting increasing academic interest. With the publication of *A History of Irish Working-Class Writing* (2017), Declan Kiberd could write that its focus on ‘an astonishing range of writing – from work-songs and political rhymes to poetry and government reports, from novels and plays to biographies by or about working people’, would ‘set many of the terms of cultural debate in the decade to come’. As he also noted, ‘they could hardly be more timely’; while Ireland’s post-Crash society came to grips with the inequalities inherent in the injustices of the financial system and the policies of austerity, class sentiment seemed most manifest in, for example, the anti-water charges protests that gained popular support during the recession period. Rory Hearne noted a nascent, post-Celtic Tiger politicisation that had ‘in particular mobilised lower income and working class areas’. Of course, this is a politicisation that is still resurgent in various, uneven forms across the globe, from Corbynism to Podemos to Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, if in an age when the forces ranged against the left (many of them from among the working class) are also on the march. In this context, it was fitting that the Irish volume was published in parallel with books on British and American working-class literature, and that a chapter on the Irish context will feature alongside studies of South African, Swedish, American, Asian, and other working-class writers in a forthcoming follow-up volume to Magnus Nilsson and John Lennon’s recent *Working-Class Literature(s): Historical and International Perspectives* (2017). Bringing such diverse perspectives on class and literature together was a feature of recent conferences too, of the international Working-Class Studies Association in Kent, and the European Labour History Network in Amsterdam (both September 2019). ‘Class is a relationship, and not a thing’, as E. P. Thompson famously declared, and investigating these relationships across space and time enriches our understanding of class globally and locally,

---

* This article is an extended and revised version of a piece, ‘Back to class: Ireland’s working-class literature’, which was published in the Honest Ulsterman in June 2018, and is published here with thanks to magazine Editor, Dr Gregory McCartney.
in all its complex and contradictory manifestations, providing an important resource for those grappling with class-related scholarly concerns. In Ireland, the experiences of the working class mapped out in history and literature have also empowered those struggling for social change. A sense of continuity with historical struggle was a key resource in recent years, as suggested, for example, by the compelling debates that emerged in Ireland’s sometimes clumsy or contentious celebrations of the centenary of the Revolutionary Period. Some of those debates would focus on what James Connolly might have thought of the Ireland that subsequently emerged – his condemnations of capitalism jarring with the tax-haven Republic’s inevitably thorny celebration of his legacy; as Timothy White and Denis Marnane noted of the opportunities for the left in the Decade of Centenaries,

at a time when the Left in Ireland appears to be getting some traction, the legacy of Connolly may at last be able to come in from the cold … his leftist rhetoric that suggested a fundamental redress of economic inequalities is increasingly appealing among many who suffered from austerity policies.

Connolly, as the subject of lore and song, has endured as a semi-mythic figure in Irish working-class life. And ‘subliterary’ forms such as song have indeed been integral to the formation of working-class culture, but how much effort has been made to unearth, preserve, curate, and indeed complicate our working-class heritage in Ireland, not least in terms of song, poetry, drama, fiction, and life writing? Scholars in recent years have presented in monographs, edited collections, anthologies – and, increasingly, digitised archives – from across British, American, European, and an increasing range of international contexts, a variety of resources for those who wish to consider how to carry the study on Irish working-class writing onto new terrain. They consider class in relation to matters of form, material production, international comparative contexts, and diaspora experiences, among many other categories and themes, which suggest a range of potential directions in Irish Studies and class. Many of these scholars focus on British working-class literature and cultural studies, which have been to the fore of international scholarship in this area and provide a range of approaches that Irish Studies scholars can adopt or adapt, even as – as David Convery has noted – we must be careful not to simply map British experiences of class onto Irish ones.

Folk song traditions indeed suggest potential affinities in relation to class and cultural histories across these islands. When *The Full English*, a digital archive of twelve manuscript collections of English folk songs, was launched in 2013 by the English Folk and Dance Song Society, playwright and screenwriter Lee Hall described it as ‘the most exciting and
significant thing to happen to British folk music in at least a generation… To give everyone
the keys to the archive of our common heritage will be an invaluable inspiration to
generations of musicians and writers’. It was interesting that the scriptwriter of a classic
film of the northern English working class, *Billy Elliot* (2000), had felt such affinities. Here
was an archive – as Billy Bragg also recognised, along with fellow folk musicians and
playwrights such as Hall and Neil Leyshon – which was rich with the history of the common
folk, the peasant, the working class, and which, Bragg stressed, had relevance for
contemporary working-class struggles. Such connections are not merely incidental, but
often woven into the very fabric of this kind of creativity. Irish, British, and other folk song
traditions share common traits; as John Moulden notes, for example, it is ‘widely accepted
that, in terms of the repertory of vernacular song, songs in English in Ireland, Scotland and
England, and to a marked degree, in North America, Australia and New Zealand, are
substantially related.’ Folk songs emerged over centuries as a form of popular entertainment
that could provide, as well as diversion or levity, wellsprings of resilience and rebellion for
the poor. They were easily learned and transcribed, handily stored (often hidden from
authorities) and frequently modified, if need be, to chime with the particularities of place and
time. In *A History of Irish Working-Class Writing*, Moulden draws our attention to the ways
in which, ‘from the poorest in society, the Irish working class created, contributed to,
transformed and consumed the verbal art that impinged upon them in oral or cheap printed or,
ocasionally, more substantial form’, creating, performing and distributing a folk culture that
would transform through space and time. These songs were truly of a ‘folk’ experience –
lamenting injustices or recounting common concerns, such as in ‘The Cottager’s Complaint’,
or eulogising exceptional individuals, as in ‘Hannah Healy the Pride of Howth’, or ‘Biddy
Mulligan the Pride of the Coombe’. Notwithstanding commendable work such as
Moulden’s, and that of the Irish Traditional Music Archive, for example, we could do more to
trace and disseminate the ways in which this music and song, over centuries, has been part of
a tradition that has often mutated and migrated but continually expressed values that can be
theorised in terms of class as well as postcolonial politics. In a broader sense, we could also
do more to consider the scope and substance of the cultural and intellectual life of the Irish
working class at home and abroad. Studies such as Christopher Hilliard’s *To Exercise Our
Talents: The Democraitisation of Writing in Britain* (2006) and Jonathan Rose’s *The
Intellectual Life of the British Working Classes* (2010) suggest possibilities for similar work
in Ireland.
The Irish Labour History Society Museum in Dublin and the recently opened James Connolly Centre in Belfast have made strides in this regard, but in the academy, despite the development, over many decades, of a sophisticated and diverse range of critical approaches in Irish Studies, there is still a relative lack of discussion around how class has shaped and shapes the contours of Irish social, political, and cultural life. Class is indisputably at the heart of Irish society, north and south, its operations, apparatuses, privileges, and anxieties, as scholars such as Liam Cullinane, Colin Coulter, Graham McFarlane, Marilyn Silverman, David Convery, and Christopher J.V. Loughlin have illustrated; it is central to its academies and its intellectual cultures, as others, like Fergal Finnegan, Barbara Merill, and Ciarán Burke have recently shown. And class politics are abundant in literature and the arts. In terms of cultural production, *A History* pointed to the extent of Irish working-class literature that will provide fruitful material for scholars grappling with matters of culture and class in the time to come. Nils Beese’s recent *Writing Slums: Dublin, Dirt and Literature* (2018) suggests potential in the study of literature and working-class space by ‘shed[ding] light on the development of slum literature and what one might class Modernist slum aesthetics’. Susan Cannon Harris’s recent monograph, *Irish Drama and the Other Revolutions: Playwrights, Sexual Politics and the International Left, 1892-1964* (2017), also conveys, for example, the potential in relation to class and intersectional politics in twentieth-century drama, paving a path, as she puts it, ‘to restore to visibility a tradition of left theatre which originated at the intersection between socialist politics and sexual politics’. Cannon Harris looks at New Women and queer socialism in the 1890s, syndicalism and masculinity in the Abbey of the 1910s, and O’Casey’s less-explored relationship with post-realism and the Soviet Union, for example. Her book supports the ‘pursuit of a truly internationalist understanding’ of Irish literature, and that internationalist focus is further enriched by recent scholarship on the Irish emigrant in literature, in which Liam Harte, Tony Murray, Peter Kuch, Margaret Hallissy, John Lutz have been leading the charge, and in which working-class experience is such a strong feature.

Another topic for further investigation is class in the Irish arts infrastructure: we have little research in Ireland on the quantifiably material dynamics behind our cultural and arts institutions and their qualitative impact, though Cultural Policy Observatory Ireland, established in 2015, has been keen to expand the research base in this regard. Britain has, in recent decades, produced significant studies (for example The Warwick Commission Report, which notionally covers the ‘UK’, but lamentably does not include the northern Irish context).
that have pointed to the extent and historical development of class (and race and gender) inequalities and challenges in the arts. In Ireland of late, welcome attention was drawn to gender inequalities in theatre: the #WakingtheFeminists movement revealed, when it emerged in 2015, a staggering bias toward male artists in the Abbey Theatre’s ‘Waking the Nation’ programme. The protests this movement inspired have prompted significant attitudinal and substantive programming changes. But where were working-class women in all of this? As Maggie Armstrong observed, at least one feminist protester felt ill-at-ease during the campaign:

> It was pretty historic. Feminism, so often a stuffy, if not totally threatening, term, was suddenly the New Order. But it was another f-word that had people shifting in their seats, when a silver-haired woman spoke from the crowd. ‘A big fair f***s to everyone,’ said Cathleen O’Neill, working-class activist. She said she felt proud today, but needed to raise another matter – class. Theatre for the working-class is ‘relegated to community arts,’ she said. ‘Don't forget us, sisters,’ she implored the well-heeled theatre-makers on the stage…. Cathleen described how she left the Abbey ‘on fire, empowered by it’. But also, ‘seething’…. She had gone along to support the women's struggle. But she did not feel as supported by them. She felt that in speaking out about their oppression as women, they had divorced their cause from another, more life-threatening form of oppression – poverty…. ‘Theatre is seen as too expensive, exclusive, not for us,’ said Cathleen.

There is little data, qualitative or quantitative, produced in this regard. Sandy Fitzgerald’s 2004 reader on community arts in Ireland, An Outburst of Frankness, grappled, for example, with some of the issues relating to class in the Irish arts scene, such as funding, access and participation, from historical, practitioner and policy perspectives, though it was ‘not an academic book’ and was written in an environment where data on class and the arts was relatively scarce in comparison with the British context. It did, nonetheless, point up some important issues emerging in late twentieth-century Ireland in regard to class and culture: the 1994 study The Public and the Arts, cited in Paula Clancy’s chapter, which showed that, over the previous thirteen years,

in relation to certain types of events [in the Republic], and particularly those that form the focus of the publicly funded arts, there was found to be a widening of the class differential, particularly in relation to the semi-skilled/unskilled working class. These
events included plays, performances of classical music and exhibitions of paintings and sculptures – art forms that traditionally attracted a middle-class audience.  

There were widening gaps too in terms of the purchase of cultural products (including novels, poetry, and music records/tapes), but Clancy points in her chapter to the need for further data on class and region, and this is still very much the case in 2019, leaving many pressing questions unanswered. What are the class dynamics at the Abbey, or in organisations such as the Arts Council, Culture Ireland, Aosdána, or the Dublin Fringe Festival? Who gets funded and supported? Who gets what jobs, and where (in terms of class, ethnicity, gender, and region) do they come from? Who is entertained? The sparseness of thoroughgoing studies on these matters would suggest we have a long way to go in acknowledging the role of class in cultural (and social) production in Ireland.

‘Class is always in some sense present’

Working-class people don’t often get to see themselves in Irish theatres and not enough in television drama or fiction, and when they do, the depiction is often negative. Recently, fiction writers E. R. Murray, Dave Lordan, and Sheena Wilkinson discussed the lack of ‘champions for children from poorer backgrounds’ in young-adult fiction, for example.  

According to Murray: ‘When we speak about diversity within publishing, we’re frequently referring to gender, sexuality, race, language and disabilities. All of these badly need to be represented … but I wonder, where are the champions for the lower socio-economic backgrounds?’ Wilkinson’s inclusion of working-class characters in her young-adult novels relates to alienating personal experiences: ‘I grew up with the only available Belfast narratives focusing on religion and cultural identity, when what I saw around me suggested that the divisions were at least as much to do with social class’. Lordan, from Cork, furthermore points to the difficulty of becoming a working-class writer: ‘Class is a huge factor. Many of the successful authors I know around my age and younger receive huge backing from well-off parents over the decade or so it takes to get established.’

Jeremy Hawthorne would note that, ‘for some people, “working-class novel” is a contradiction in terms’. He identified the material barriers to writing for the less well-off: ‘such things as literacy, leisure time for reading, publishers sympathetic to their values (not to mention teachers and lecturers), have all been much more easily obtained by upper- and
middle-class people than by members of the working class.'\textsuperscript{32} Despite the Arts Council and Combat Poverty’s joint report, ‘Access and Participation in the Arts’ (1997), pointing some decades ago to these inequalities in Ireland, little has been done since in terms of research that might support policy change.\textsuperscript{33} As a more recent report (2014) highlighted (quoting here Peter Lunn and Elish Kelly):

While there remains a lack of data about the resources dedicated to cultural inclusion, there is evidence to indicate that people from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds with lower levels of educational attainment display equal levels of interest in the arts but are ‘many times less likely’ to participate in cultural events than their better-off counterparts…. Despite an Oireachtas (Parliamentary) Committee hearing in 2012 to consider how best to support and ensure the participation of disadvantaged groups in cultural life, no recommendations or actions have been issued.\textsuperscript{34}

John Kirk begins his study of the British working class in film, literature, and television by observing how ‘class is always in some sense present: whether in our refusal to accept it, our inclination to acknowledge it or insist on it or, as in some cases, our being privileged enough not to have noticed it.'\textsuperscript{35} It is often when class is least spoken about, or when it is most comfortably acknowledged to be old-fashioned or irrelevant, that it is most pressing in our social machinery and everyday lives, not least in cultural terms. In Ireland, there is nothing of the detailed abundance of work by Mike Savage, in Britain, or Pierre Bourdieu, in France, in the sociological study of class – a lamentable absence that leaves cognate research impoverished.\textsuperscript{36}

The general theorisation of social class in Ireland, north and south, is relatively poor, which in turn has hampered connectivity between disciplines in relation to how class manifests itself in things like publishing or arts participation.\textsuperscript{37} Some work, by scholars like Marilyn Silverman, Chris Eipper, and, more recently, Fergal Finnegan, for example, has shown how the shame, anger, deprivation and defiant pride that underpin class relations elsewhere are manifest in Irish life.\textsuperscript{38} The work of Helena Sheehan on Irish television drama pointed to the extent of the national broadcaster’s role in reproducing class inequalities.\textsuperscript{39} But Sheehan’s trailblazing work has yet to be followed by such significant interrogations of class in RTÉ’s more recent decades: what are the unthinking class biases in \textit{Love/Hate} or \textit{Fair City}, for example? As Tom Maguire has recently noted of RTÉ comedy,
the station has repeatedly presented material the humour of which relies on caricatures of the poor. As an example, Andrew Quirke created two characters, Damo and Ivor initially within a sketch show, *The Republic of Telly* in 2011. In 2013 a stand-alone television show debuted on RTÉ Two. As David Toms comments, the show ‘derives its apparent humour from the contrasting of two rather lazy stereotypes of Dublin, one of a northside “skanger” called Damo, and the other of an equally lazy Ross O’Carroll-Kelly imitation called Ivor.’ The use of “skanger” or “knacker” in Ireland parallels the use of “chav” within British culture (Jones) to vilify the poor. Quirke’s characterisation of Damo exploits the working-class stereotype: stupid, lazy, drunken, foul-mouthed and aggressive. It is notable that Quirke as creator and performer is the son and heir of a millionaire businessman…. The generation of these stereotypes in the media has also found an echo in the wider culture.\(^40\)

Intersectionality is also at issue. While in *An Irish Working Class* (2001) Silverman addressed the everyday injuries of class in much more intimate and interpersonal contexts in small-town Ireland, it remains to be asked how have those experiences changed and intersected with issues of race, for example, in recent decades, as newcomers join the Irish working class in unprecedented numbers? And what of those other intersectional experiences documented by scholars like Beverley Skeggs in Britain – the specific manifestations of class for women, or LGBTQ people and BME groups in Ireland? At the intersection of feminism and class-analysis, Nicola Wilson, in her study of British working-class domestic space in fiction, recently noted the continuing merit in Ken Worpole’s observation of more than three decades ago that ‘the two major traumas that dominate the working class life are, not the strike, not the factory accident, but early and unwanted pregnancy and hasty marriage, or the back-street abortion’;\(^41\) such experiences in Ireland are captured in poetry, stories, and plays, by Paula Meehan, Christina Reid, and Roddy Doyle, among others. Recent work by Heather Laird and Tom Maguire is important in opening this matter to further scholarly inquiry. As Maguire suggests, in his exploration of aspects of violence and working-class women on the Irish stage, ‘naturalistic dramatic representation of the home as a domestic sphere for poor women may confound nationalist discourses of the country as home, yet may fail to resist the systemic violence of the state against its most precarious citizens.’\(^42\) His focus on popular cultural demonisations of the poor and theatrical responses to them is welcome and chimes with Laird’s commentary on representations of motherhood in Irish fiction, both essays, as Laird puts it of her own, laying ‘the foundations for much-needed further scholarly work on
the representation of working-class Irish women’. Playwrights and novelists such as Doyle, Donal O’Kelly, Ken Harmon, Brian Campbell, Dermot Bolger, Vincent Higgins, Jim O’Hanlon, Bisi Adigun, Charlie O’Neill, Mirjana Rendulic, and Ursula Rani Sarma have been answering questions about immigrant experiences of class in recent years, in often contradictory ways, but few scholars have pointed to this intersectionality. Traveller experiences of class, such as that depicted in Rosaleen McDonagh’s *Rings* (2012), are also less considered. Developing interdisciplinary perspectives is difficult when the disciplines are only beginning to communicate on such matters. This was precisely the challenge impelling the foundation of the Irish Centre for the Histories of Labour and Class in 2013, at the Moore Institute in NUI Galway – a commendable forward step in encouraging conversations between historians, sociologists, cultural and literary studies scholars and others in developing broader perspectives on class.

Class, culture, and education

Ruth Sherry observed some thirty years ago that ‘the concept of Irish working-class writing is not a well-established one.’ The same could be said today in general terms, though at the least, through recent and ongoing PhD studies and the publication of scholarly work in the field, it is evident that the language of class politics in Irish literary studies scholarship is here to stay. That Sherry could identify some of the major prose writers of the Irish working class prior to the publication of her article, ‘The Irish Working Class in Fiction’, in 1985, suggests, however, that the relative neglect of working-class writing since has been inexcusable. Despite book-length studies on Brendan Behan, Seán O’Casey, Sam Thompson, Christy Brown, Stewart Parker, Roddy Doyle and others, research that links such writers together as ‘working class’ was until recently quite rare and is still in its infancy. Some of this has of course to do with the very structure of our education system; scholarly attention to marginalised and disadvantaged communities is often initiated by people who emerge from those communities into the academy, but what if few outsiders get through? Does the academy buttress the inequality in how cultural (and social, and other forms of) capital are distributed in Irish society, north and south, through the education system?

In a recent comparative study of the experiences of working-class English and Irish university students, Finnegan and Barbara Merrill found promising strides forward through ‘widening participation’ measures in higher education, but also observed, more depressingly, the endurance of feelings of alienation amongst working-class higher-education students. A
high proportion had, at university, experienced ‘a feeling of dislocation, or at least a sense of social distance, from the dominant culture in universities’, one of the Irish students describing university as ‘a foreign country’.47 Those attending elite institutions were particularly alienated:

in some cases interviewees discussed going through the difficult and painstaking process of cultural adaptation … [and] [t]hese accounts of fitting or not fitting in at university were often discussed as something which was felt as embodied and as deeply emotional by the students.48

Secondary school can be similarly alienating; Roddy Doyle’s Paula Spencer learns in school ‘that I wasn’t good at all’, and that her teachers ‘all the same, cunts. Cunts. I hated them.’49 Such sentiments are frequent in Irish working-class writing.50

While questions of access have come to the fore in universities on these islands, how many have asked how the class of people working and studying in universities influences the class of subjects researched and taught, and how they are taught? bell hooks once argued, in a US context, that ‘nowhere is there a more intense silence about the reality of class differences than in educational settings’.51 There has been some quantitative and mixed-method research on the underrepresentation of the working class in Irish higher education in recent decades, but only ‘a small number of qualitative studies have explored the potential barriers to HE’ in the Republic.52 Research on teachers has confirmed ‘the significant under-representation of, inter alia, certain lower socio-economic groups’ in the Irish teaching profession, and while I am not aware of similar research on academics, it is not unreasonable to suspect that this more prestigious tier of the education system is just as, if not more, elitist.53 How does this skewing distort the study and teaching of Irish life? How does it impact curricula and matters of epistemology? If, as Keane, Heinz, and Lynch note, for example, ‘research has found that working-class teachers positively impact working-class pupils’, what is the impact of a system that produces and embeds classism?54 This is to say, as Skeggs has, that ‘the ability to claim and promote an identity is often based on access to sites of representation such as higher education and the media; the working class (women and men, black and white) have always had restricted access to where these claims are most frequently made’.55 In Ireland, this is also demonstrably the case, but not a lot of scholars seem to be making that case.

Thus, to adapt a turn of phrase of Terry Eagleton’s, there is no need to bring class into literature; it has been there right from the start. In Irish literature, we are beginning to see
welcome attention, from a range of thinkers, to the ways in which the canonical has been shaped by class, and the ways in which class has emerged in cultural production – whether in the form of political poems in the pages of the *Irish Worker*, the ground-breaking and controversial novels and TV series of Roddy Doyle, or the mesmeric rap-poetry of Emmet Kirwan. The recent publication of *The Children of the Nation: An Anthology of Working People’s Poetry from Contemporary Ireland* (November 2019), edited by Jenny Farrell, and author Paul McVeigh’s announcement of his forthcoming collection, *The 32: An Anthology of Irish Working-Class Voices* (scheduled for publication in 2020) are very promising developments too. McVeigh explained recently, of the impetus behind his book, which seeks to follow on the success of Kit de Waal’s British collection, *Common People: An Anthology of Working-Class Writers* (2019), ‘too often, working class writers find that the hurdles they have to leap are higher and harder to cross than for writers from more affluent backgrounds…. *The 32* will see writers who have made that leap reach back to give a helping hand to those coming up behind.’\(^{56}\) Fittingly, some profits from the publication will be set aside for development workshops with fledgling working-class authors. There is undoubted evidence here of a recent impetus in the exploration and encouragement of working-class cultural production in Ireland. In the academy, this work will hopefully lead to new ways of conceptualising Irish cultural history and indeed of coming to grips with the dynamics of Irish society now and into the future. Often it is being conducted by working-class ‘amphibians’ – as Michelle Tokarczyk terms them, in her commentary on the study of American working-class writers – those critics from working-class backgrounds who, she argues, ‘act as a bridge between working-class and academic sensibilities’.\(^{57}\) Certainly, in a context of continued austerity, and with growing student expenses at HE in Ireland north and south, these ‘bridges’ are needed to challenge the ways in which the working class is written, or written about.
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