



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Traditional Chinese herbal medicine for treating novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Li, Y., Liu, X., Guo, L., Li, J., Zhong, D., Zhang, Y., Clarke, M., & Jin, R. (2020). Traditional Chinese herbal medicine for treating novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews*, 9, [75]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01343-4>

Published in:
Systematic Reviews

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2020 The Author(s) Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

PROTOCOL

Open Access



Traditional Chinese herbal medicine for treating novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Yuxi Li^{1†}, Xiaobo Liu^{2†}, Liuxue Guo^{3†}, Juan Li², Dongling Zhong², Yonggang Zhang⁴, Mike Clarke^{5*} and Rongjiang Jin^{2*}

Abstract

Background: A new type of coronavirus, novel coronavirus (COVID-19), is causing an increasing number of cases of pneumonia and was declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by the World Health Organization on 30 January 2020. The virus first appeared in Wuhan, China, in late December 2019, and traditional Chinese herbal medicine is being used for its treatment. This systematic review and meta-analysis will assess studies of the effects of traditional Chinese herbal medicine in COVID-19 pneumonia.

Methods: We will search electronic databases including PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIP), and Wanfang database using keywords related to COVID-19 and traditional Chinese herbal medicine. Reference lists of relevant trials and reviews will be searched. We will manually search gray literature, such as conference proceedings and academic degree dissertations, and trial registries. Two independent reviewers will screen studies (XL and DZ), extract data (YL and LG), and evaluate risk of bias (YL and DZ). Data analysis will be conducted using the Review Manager software (version 5.3.5) and R software (version 3.6.1). Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed using a standard chi-square test with a significance level of $P < 0.10$. Biases associated with study size (e.g., publication bias) will be investigated using funnel plots, Egger's test and Begg's test, and Trim and Fill analysis.

Discussion: This study will provide a high-quality synthesis of the effects of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for COVID-19. The use of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for treatment or prevention of these novel viral infections affecting the pneumonia will be investigated.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO registration number: [CRD42020168004](https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/record/CRD42020168004)

Keywords: COVID-19, Coronavirus, Pneumonia, Traditional Chinese herbal medicine emerging infectious diseases, Systematic review, Meta-analysis

* Correspondence: m.clarke@qub.ac.uk; cdzydxjrj@126.com

[†]Yuxi Li, Xiaobo Liu, and Liuxue Guo contributed equally to this work.

⁵Northern Ireland Clinical Trials Unit and Methodology Hub, Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

²School of Health Preservation and Rehabilitation, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Recently, a new type of coronavirus was identified and named 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. It has been causing an increasing rate of pneumonia cases since late December 2019 [2–4]. Infections were first identified in Wuhan, China, before being detected in other Chinese cities and in more than a dozen countries around the world by early February 2020 [5]. The outbreak was declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by the WHO on 30 January 2020. COVID-19-infected pneumonia is characterized by flu-like symptoms including fever, cough, severe acute respiratory distress syndrome, and in some cases death [6–8]. Human-to-human transmission has been confirmed for the virus [9–11], which is considered related to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS). Like SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, the COVID-19 is a serious threat to human health [7, 12]. As of 16 March 2020, nearly 170,000 people have been diagnosed with COVID-19 in the world [13]. Effective prevention and treatment are crucial in this situation.

Traditional Chinese herbal medicine therapy is a mixture of Chinese herbs prescribed by Chinese herbalists depending on the differentiation of the patient's syndrome according to Chinese diagnostic patterns (inspection, listening, smelling, inquiry, and palpation). Studies have reported that Chinese herbal formula, such as San Wu Huangqin Decoction, Lianhuaqingwen Capsule, and Yinhuapinggan granule, possesses antiviral effects, which might be associated with blocking of the proliferation and replication of the viral particles, and that they might be able to improve lung damage by influenza viruses [14–16]. During the SARS epidemics, traditional Chinese herbal medicine treatments were reported to have successfully prevented and treated SARS [17–19]. Furthermore, traditional Chinese herbal medicine combined with western medicine treatment regimen reduced adverse events and other complications induced by glucocorticoid, antibiotic, and antiviral treatments [20, 21].

After the pneumonia outbreak with COVID-19, the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine in China led an expert team to formulate a traditional Chinese herbal medicine treatment program. On 24 January 2020, the first case of a cured patient in Beijing being discharged from hospital after traditional Chinese herbal medicine treatment with symptomatic therapy was reported [22]. Later, another cured patient was reported following traditional Chinese herbal medicine therapy, prompting the wider application of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia [23]. On 27 January 2020, the General Office of the National Health and Health Commission of China

and the Office of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine issued “Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia Caused by Novel Coronavirus Infection (Trial Version 4).” This included the updated traditional Chinese herbal medicine treatment program and required local health and health committees to implement and strengthen the integration of traditional Chinese herbal medicine and western medicine [24].

Although traditional Chinese herbal medicine treatment is being applied for COVID-19 pneumonia, uncertainty remains about its effectiveness. Therefore, we intend to systematically review studies of the application of traditional Chinese herbal medicine in COVID-19 patients in order to examine the empirical evidence of the effects of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for COVID-19 pneumonia. We aim to provide a robust evidence base for clinical practice in treating COVID-19 pneumonia.

Methods/design

Study registration

This systematic review was registered on PROSPERO (CRD42020168004) on 5 February 2020. We have prepared this protocol in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Item for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA-P) statement [25] (Additional file 1), and we will update the PROSPERO record if there are any important amendments.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

Type of studies Randomized trials and quasi-randomized or prospective controlled clinical trials that have tested traditional Chinese herbal medicine with or without western medicine for COVID-19 will be included. There will be no restrictions for blinding, follow-up, or publication status. Publications in English and Chinese will be included.

Type of participant Patients diagnosed with pneumonia caused by COVID-19 without immediately life-threatening co-morbidities will be included. There will be no restrictions with respect to gender, age, or ethnicity.

Type of interventions Traditional Chinese herbal medicine involving extracts from herbs, single or mixture herbal formulas regardless of their compositions or forms. Traditional Chinese herbal medicine combined with one or more other pharmacological intervention will also be included. There will be no restrictions with respect to dosage, frequency, duration, or follow-up time of treatment.

Type of comparators There will be no restrictions with respect to the type of comparator. The comparators are likely to include western medical therapies, supportive care, and other therapeutic methods.

Type of outcome measurements Our primary outcomes will be survival at the end of treatment and at the end of follow-up, and time and rate of the patient becoming negative for the COVID-19. We will also assess the following outcomes at the end of treatment and at the end of follow-up: days to absence of fever, symptom score (based on fever, fatigue, cough, difficulty in breathing, poor appetite, etc.), duration of each symptom, pulmonary function, inflammation index, results of chest computerized tomography, length of stay in hospital, use (including dosage and duration) of corticosteroid, quality of life, and adverse events. If other outcomes are reported in the eligible studies, these will be extracted and reported but we will give particular attention to the possibility of selective reporting bias when using any such outcomes in our review.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria are as follows: (1) patients with life-threatening co-morbidities likely to lead to death within the trial follow-up period, (2) duplicated data or data that cannot be extracted after contacting original authors, and (3) full text cannot be obtained after contacting original authors.

Databases and search strategy

We will search electronic databases including PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIP), and Wanfang database (Wanfang Data) using keywords combination, such as novel coronavirus OR COVID-19 OR 2019-nCoV OR COVID-2019 pneumonia AND traditional Chinese herbal medicine OR Chinese herb OR traditional Chinese medicine. The full search strategy for PubMed is provided in Additional file 2, and similar strategies will be applied to the other electronic databases. Reference lists of relevant trials and reviews will be searched. We will manually search gray literature such as conference proceedings and academic degree dissertations, and trial registries (both through the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICRP) and on the websites of national registries). We will consult experts in the field for possible studies to be included.

Study selection

We will export the identified records in databases into EndNote X9 software and use this to identify duplicates. After removing duplicates, the retrieved records will be checked independently by two reviewers (XL and DZ), who will apply the eligibility criteria based on the title and abstract. Where a study is potentially eligible, the full text will be obtained and checked independently by two reviewers (XL and DZ) to identify the eligible studies. Any disagreements will be discussed and resolved in discussion with a third reviewer (JL).

Data extraction

In order to achieve a consistency (at least 80%) of extracted items, the data extractors will extract data from a sample of eligible studies. Results of the pilot extraction will be discussed among review authors and extractors. Two independent reviewers (YL and LG) will extract data with a predefined extraction template, which includes the following items: (1) general information—first author, title, journal, year of publication, country, funding source, study design, etc.; (2) characteristics of patients—age, gender, stage and severity of disease, syndrome differentiation, comorbidity, etc.; (3) characteristics of intervention—protocol of Chinese herbal medicine (types, dosage, frequency, duration, etc.) and protocol of comparators (types, dosage, frequency, duration, etc.); (4) characteristics of trial—study setting (ambulatory sector/hospital), sample size (numbers recruited, randomized, or allocated to the interventions by another method, followed up and analyzed), generation of randomization sequence, allocation concealment, blinding, studies' length of follow-up, etc.; and (5) outcomes—all outcomes, main conclusions, adverse events, etc. The original authors will be contacted to request missing data where necessary. Extracted information will be cross-checked by YL and LG. Any disagreements will be discussed and resolved in discussion with a third reviewer (YZ).

Assessment of risk of bias

In order to achieve a consistency (at least 80%) of risk of bias assessment, the risk of bias assessors will pre-assess a sample of eligible studies. Results of the pilot risk of bias will be discussed among review authors and assessors. Two independent reviewers (YL and DZ) will assess the risk of bias of the included studies at study level. We will follow the guidance in the latest version of *Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* [26] when choosing and using tools to assessing risk of bias for randomized trials (version 2 of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials, RoB 2 [27]) and non-randomized trials (the Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies of Interventions, ROBINS-I tool [28]). Any disagreements will be discussed and resolved in discussion

with a third reviewer (RJ). Studies with high risk of bias or unclear bias will be given less weight in our data synthesis.

Data analysis

Statistical analyses will be conducted using the RevMan software (version 5.3.5) and R software (version 3.6.1). If possible, analyses for all outcomes will be done by intention to treat. We will perform analyses to provide effect estimates for dichotomous data and continuous data, with 95% confidence intervals. We will use risk ratios (RR) for dichotomous data and mean differences (MD) for continuous data. We will explore the heterogeneity before we perform meta-analysis for outcomes. Heterogeneity will be detected by using a standard chi-square test with a significance level of $P < 0.10$. The I^2 statistic will be applied to quantify inconsistency across studies and to assess the impact of heterogeneity on the meta-analyses. The Mantel-Haenszel method will be used for dichotomous outcomes, and the DerSimonian and Laird inverse variance method will be used for continuous outcomes. Random-effects model will be used to pool the data.

Subgroup analysis

If an adequate number of studies are identified, we will perform subgroup analysis for the following variables: age and patients with or without other diseases and COVID-19 stage at which the traditional Chinese herbal medicine was given.

We will also consider analyses for other subgroups as reported in the included studies, but we will give particular attention to the possibility of selective reporting bias when using any such subgroups in our review.

Trial sequential analysis

Trial sequential analysis provides the necessary sample size for our meta-analysis and boundaries that determine whether the evidence in our meta-analysis is reliable and conclusive [29, 30]. We will perform a trial sequential analysis to maintain an overall 5% risk of type 1 error and calculate the required sample size.

Sensitivity analysis

To check the robustness of pooled outcome results, we will carry out sensitivity analysis to explore the influence of studies with high risk of bias.

Publication bias

If a sufficient number of articles are included, we will assess small study biases (e.g., publication bias) with funnel plots, Egger's test and Begg's test, and Trim and Fill analysis.

Quality of evidence

Two independent reviewers (DLZ and JL) will assess the quality of evidence for each outcome with the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system [31]. Each outcome will be assessed for each of the five aspects: limitations, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias. They will be rated as high, moderate, low, or very low level.

Discussion

Like the outbreaks caused by SARS and MERS, the recent outbreak of COVID-19 in China is creating a substantial public health challenge. In 2002, traditional Chinese herbal medicine played an important role in the treatment of SARS, and 58.3% of confirmed cases received traditional Chinese herbal medicine [32]. A Cochrane Review [33] found that Chinese herbs combined with western medicine significantly improved symptoms of SARS, including decreasing body temperature, cough and breathing difficulties, dosages of corticosteroids, improving absorption of pulmonary infiltration, and quality of life. A review [34] of 90 studies of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for SARS revealed positive but inconclusive results about the efficacy of combined treatment, using traditional Chinese herbal medicine as an adjuvant. Based on previous experience of treating SARS with traditional Chinese herbal medicine, the General Office of the National Health and Health Commission of China and the Office of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine encouraged the integration of traditional Chinese herbal medicine and western medicine. Different prescriptions are recommended in different stages of disease.

This is the first systematic review to examine empirical evidence of the application of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for COVID-19 pneumonia. It will provide an overview of the application of traditional Chinese herbal medicine for treating COVID-19 patients and assess the strengths and limitations of available evidence. Furthermore, it will be guided by the PRISMA statement [25] and A Measurement Tool to Assess systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) 2 checklist [35] to achieve as high a level of quality as possible in reporting and methodology. This protocol may have the limitation that we developed it based on designs of current registered clinical trials, but we will update our proposed methods promptly on our PROSPERO record should these methods change. This review will help explore the potential role for traditional Chinese herbal medicine in the treatment or prevention of viral infections affecting the pneumonia.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01343-4>.

Additional file 1. PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist.

Additional file 2. Search Strategy for PubMed.

Abbreviations

CBM: Chinese Biomedical Literature Database; CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials; CNKI: China National Knowledge Infrastructure; COVID-19: The novel coronavirus; MERS: Middle East respiratory syndrome; PROSPERO: Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews; SARS: Severe acute respiratory syndrome; VIP: Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Rongjiang Jin, Yonggang Zhang, and Juan Li designed the study. Yuxi Li, Xiaobo Liu, Liuxue Guo, Juan Li, Dongling Zhong, and Mike Clarke drafted the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Education Foundation of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The funder has no role in developing this protocol.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No ethics approval is required for this systematic review and meta-analysis because we will be using information from published studies. Our findings will be published in a peer-reviewed journal according to the PRISMA guidelines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹School of Acupuncture-Moxibustion and Tuina, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China. ²School of Health Preservation and Rehabilitation, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China. ³Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China. ⁴West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ⁵Northern Ireland Clinical Trials Unit and Methodology Hub, Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK.

Received: 11 February 2020 Accepted: 30 March 2020

Published online: 08 April 2020

References

- Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Report-1 [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200121-sitrep-1-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=20a99c10_4].
- The 2019-nCoV Outbreak Joint Field Epidemiology Investigation Team, Li Q. An Outbreak of NCIP (2019-nCoV) Infection in China — Wuhan, Hubei Province. *China CDC Weekly* 2020. 2019–2020;2(5):79–80.
- Wenjie T, Xiang Z, Xuejun M, Wenling W, Peihua N, Wenbo X, George FG, Guizhen W. A novel coronavirus genome identified in a cluster of pneumonia cases — Wuhan, China 2019–2020. *China CDC Weekly*. 2020;2(4):61–2.
- Tan WJZX, Ma XJ. A novel coronavirus genome identified in a cluster of pneumonia cases—Wuhan, China 2019–2020. *China CDC Weekly*. 2020;2:61–2.
- Munster VJ, Koopmans M, van Doremalen N, van Riel D, de Wit E. A novel coronavirus emerging in China—key questions for impact assessment. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;S0140-6736(0120):30183–5.
- Editorials: Stop the Wuhan coronavirus. *nature* 2020, 557.
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020.
- Nishiura H, S-m J, Linton NM, Kinoshita R, Yang Y, Hayashi K, Kobayashi T, Yuan B, Akhmetzhanov AR. The extent of transmission of novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, 2020. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(330).
- Wu P, Hao X, Lau EHY, Wong JY, Leung KSM, Wu JT, Cowling BJ, Leung GM. Real-time tentative assessment of the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus infections in Wuhan, China, as at 22 January 2020. *Euro Surveill* 2020, 25(3):10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.2825.2803.2000044.
- Chan JF-W, Yuan S, Kok K-H, To KK-W, Chu H, Yang J, Xing F, Liu J, Yip CC-Y, Poon RW-S et al: A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet* 2020;S0140-6736(0120)30154-30159.
- Lippi G, Plebani M. The novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak: think the unthinkable and be prepared to face the challenge. *Diagnosis*. .
- Novel Coronavirus(2019-nCoV) Situation Report-56 [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200316-sitrep-56-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=9fda7db2_6].
- Ma Q, Yu Q, Xing X, Liu S, Shi C, Luo J. San Wu Huangqin decoction, a Chinese herbal formula, inhibits influenza A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) virus infection in vitro and in vivo. *Viruses*. 2018;10(3):117.
- Ding Y, Zeng L, Li R, Chen Q, Zhou B, Chen Q, Cheng P, Wang Y, Zheng J, Yang Z. The Chinese prescription lianhuaqingwen capsule exerts anti-influenza activity through the inhibition of viral propagation and impacts immune function. *Bmc Complementary & Alternative Medicine*. 17(1):130.
- Du H, Zhou H, Wan H, Yang J, Lu Y, He Y, Wan H. Antiviral effects and mechanisms of Yinhuapinggan granule against H1N1 influenza virus infection in RAW264.7 cells. *Inflammopharmacology*. 2018;26(6):1455–67.
- Liu D, Liang B, Huang L, Fang Y, Zheng J, Wang G, Zhang S, Lin W, Huang T, Cai Q: Clinical observation on the preventive effect of kangdu bufei decoction on acute severe respiratory syndrome. *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine* 2004, 24(685-688).
- Tong X, Li A, Zhang Z, Duan J, Chen X, Hua C, Zhao D, Xu Y, Shi X, Li P. TCM treatment of infectious atypical pneumonia—a report of 16 cases. *J Tradit Chin Med*. 2004;24(4):266–9.
- Liu B, He L, Liang Z, Tong X, Hu J, Ni Q, Liu X, Jiao Q, Xie Y, Li P. Effect of Chinese herbal medicine on adrenal glucocorticoids in SARS. *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*. 2005;30(23):1874–7.
- Hai X. Clinical experience of SARS treatment in Guangdong province. *Tianjin Journal of Traditional Chinese*. 2003;20(3):24–5.
- Jia J. Traditional Chinese Medicine integrated western medicine for SARS. *Tianjin Journal of Traditional Chinese*. 2003;20(3):28–30.
- A patient diagnosed with 2019-nCoV pneumonia was discharged from Beijing [http://wjw.beijing.gov.cn/wjwh/ztl/xgzqbd/202001/t200124_1621276.html].
- One patient with 2019-nCoV pneumonia was discharged today in Beijing, with a total of two discharged [http://wjw.beijing.gov.cn/xwzx_20031/vnxw/202001/t20200125_1621329.html].
- Pneumonitis Diagnosis and Treatment Program for New Coronavirus Infection (Trial Version 4). In. Edited by The General Office of the National Health and Health Commission of China, Office of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine. Beijing; 2020.
- Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015; 349(1):g7647.
- Higgins JPT, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, JAC S: Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0 (updated 2019): Cochrane; 2019.
- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng H-Y, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2019; 366:l4898.
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, Henry D, Altman DG, Ansari MT, Boutron I, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for

- assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed). 2016;355:i4919.
29. Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, Wetterslev J. Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2008;61(8):763–9.
 30. Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, Gluud C. Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2008;61(1):64–75.
 31. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, Guyatt GH, Harbour RT, Haugh MC, Henry D, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed). 2004;328(7454):1490.
 32. The opening lecture of Symposium on treating SARS by Traditional Chinese Medicine. Symposium on treating SARS by Traditional Chinese Medicine. In: Edited by China BSAoTCMPsRo; 2003.
 33. Liu X, Zhang M, He L, Li Y. Chinese herbs combined with Western medicine for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;10:CD004882.
 34. Leung PC. The efficacy of Chinese medicine for SARS: a review of Chinese publications after the crisis. *Am J Chin Med*. 2007;35(4):575–81.
 35. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, Moher D, Tugwell P, Welch V, Kristjansson E, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed). 2017;358:j4008.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

