



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Medical students need experience not just competence

Dornan, T., Gillespie, H., Armour, D., Reid, H., & Bennett, D. (2020). Medical students need experience not just competence. *The BMJ*, 371, Article m4298. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4298>

Published in:
The BMJ

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
Copyright 2020 the authors.
This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access
This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

Competence does not guarantee capability. The need for medical students to have sufficient clinical experience

Tim Dornan¹, Hannah Gillespie², Dakota Armour³, Helen Reid⁴, Deirdre Bennett⁵

¹Professor of Medical Education, ²PhD Student, ³Medical student, ⁴Clinical lecturer
Centre for Medical Education
Queens University Belfast
Whitla Medical Building
97 Lisburn Road
Belfast BT9 7BL
UK

⁵Head, Medical Education Unit
School of Medicine
Brookfield Health Sciences Complex
University College Cork
Cork
Ireland

Corresponding author: t.dornan@qub.ac.uk

1 Anticipating a serious workforce shortage, the World Health Organisation advocates a paradigm shift in
2 how we prepare clinicians for practice. (1) This editorial is a critical review of medical student education,
3 which supports that recommendation. Curricula vary internationally: students are health workers in some
4 countries and observers in others; they progress from medical school to hospital generalist training in
5 some countries and direct to specialties in others. We acknowledge that variability so far as a limited
6 evidence-base dominated by anglophone publications allows.

7
8 Britain is the main source of evidence about preparedness for practice. This may be because UK students,
9 with little experience of contributing to practice, shoulder heavy clinical responsibilities as foundation
10 trainees (FTs). Despite this inexperience, FTs' supervision may be 'arms-length' when, for example, a
11 hospital specialist delegates patients' generalist care to them. This baptism of fire may explain why
12 an increasing proportion of UK trainees deviate from the intended training pathway. Two thirds of FTs
13 delay entry to specialties, some taking career breaks out of medicine.(2) The staffing gaps that result
14 make patient care discontinuous, impersonal, and potentially unsafe, and incur eye-watering locum costs.
15 Paradoxically, UK trainees break their training to become better trained. Taking a break from training
16 relieves them from work pressures, unsupportive learning environments, unsatisfactory education,
17 disrupted personal lives, and poor psychological health. Some, also, want longer to choose a specialty.(3)

18
19 These factors, though, are an insufficient explanation for the UK's retention problem. Only 10% of
20 trainees (interns and residents) in the US, Netherlands, and New Zealand take career breaks (4–6) despite
21 negative psychosocial experiences.(7,8) The relative immaturity of UK trainees cannot explain their career
22 breaks because students enter medicine direct from high school in the Netherlands and New Zealand too.
23 Trainees leave when a final negative experience 'brings down the tower of blocks'.(9) Negative
24 experiences start in medical school (3) and intensify when students become trainees.(10) Trainees with
25 the least developed coping strategies are worst affected.(11) Those in the UK certainly need coping
26 strategies because the National Health Service, whilst affording excellent training opportunities, operates
27 under formidable pressure. Promises of ever 'safer' healthcare whilst ever less is spent on public services
28 have resulted in expertise being so thin on the ground that work is often shared out rather than
29 supervised. Students need to be very well prepared for work.

30
31 The competency movement has strongly influenced global reforms in medical education.(12) This new
32 paradigm has shifted the arbiter of being ready for work from having accrued sufficient experience to
33 having demonstrated competence, off-the job, in standardised tests. By that objective definition, 100% of
34 UK students are ready to practise 'safely', yet practice is not demonstrably safer. The General Medical
35 Council's survey of UK graduates' experiences of starting work shows that a progressively falling
36 proportion (66% in 2019, compared with 90% or more in the US) find themselves, subjectively,
37 prepared.(13,14) Subjective unpreparedness might be dismissed as 'soft evidence' but this predicts
38 dissatisfaction with training, poor wellbeing, and burnout for up to seven years after qualification.(13)

39
40 The stressors that test medical graduates' preparedness include feeling incapable of managing a heavy
41 workload against the clock, on unfamiliar wards, on call, and lonely; facing criticism and conflict; and
42 managing very sick patients who deteriorate despite treatment. Trainees who have only learned part-
43 tasks (eg writing a simulated prescription) find themselves incapable of performing the whole task
44 (treating a sick patient). Unpreparedness is 'knowing what' but not 'knowing how'. It is having such a
45 fragile professional identity that you cannot admit uncertainty.(15–17) Competent but incapable
46 graduates are sitting ducks for psychosocial harm.

47

48 There is observational evidence that students can be better prepared by gaining experience in real
49 practice contexts, not just simulation; having longer, better supported experiential attachments; having a
50 placement in a hospital where they will soon work; having generalist rather than specialist experience;
51 and not having constant exam pressures.(18) Narrative evidence suggests that North American students,
52 despite also being in competence-based programmes, have more such experience than UK students. The
53 importance of ensuring that students have had enough experience applies to any job, be it hairdressing,
54 coal-mining, or healthcare. Students become capable trainees by immersing themselves in work,
55 observing and listening, role-modelling, interacting with workers, participating in work practices, being
56 coached, asking and answering questions, reading workplace documents, and writing in them.(19)

57
58 This cautionary tale leads us to propose that medical education does not so much need a paradigm
59 change as a stronger implementation of the World Federation for Medical Education’s paradigmatic
60 standards. These advocate early, progressively increasing involvement in patient care and experience of
61 taking responsibility.(20) Off-the-job training, observing practice, and a relentless diet of assessments
62 cannot substitute for experience. On the job learning, alone, can actualise students’ and trainees’ intrinsic
63 motivation to care well for patients.

64
65 Word count: 791 words
66

67 Competing interests statement

68 We have read and understood the BMJ Group policy on declaration of interests and have none to declare
69

70 Contributions

71 Tim Dornan wrote the editorial and headed the team of authors. Hannah Gillespie and Dakota Armour
72 searched information sources to provide the evidence-base for it. Helen Reid and Deirdre Bennett
73 contributed to the drafting and revision of the editorial. All authors approved all drafts, including the final
74 submitted version.
75

76 License

77 The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all
78 authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and
79 media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and
80 store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints,
81 include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create
82 any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the
83 Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever
84 it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above.
85

86 Acknowledgements

87 We thank Dr Huon Snelgrove and Professor Hiroshi Nishigori for constructive criticisms of our original
88 submission, which helped us focus and strengthen our argument.
89

90 References

- 91 1. World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030
92 [Internet]. Geneva; 2016. Available from:
93 https://www.who.int/hrh/resources/global_strategy_workforce2030_14_print.pdf?ua=1

- 94 2. General Medical Council. 2019 F2 Career Destinations Survey. London; 2019.
- 95 3. General Medical Council. Training pathways 2 : why do doctors take breaks from their training?
96 2018;
- 97 4. Bustraan J, Dijkhuizen K, Velthuis S, Van Der Post R, Driessen E, Van Lith JMM, et al. Why do
98 trainees leave hospital-based specialty training? A nationwide survey study investigating factors
99 involved in attrition and subsequent career choices in the Netherlands. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(6):1–8.
- 100 5. Medical Council of New Zealand. The New Zealand Medical Workforce in 2018.
- 101 6. Lu DW, Hartman ND, Druck J, Mitzman J, Strout TD. Why residents quit: National rates of and
102 reasons for attrition among emergency medicine physicians in training. *West J Emerg Med*.
103 2019;20(2):351–6.
- 104 7. van Vendeloo SN, Prins DJ, Verheyen CCPM, Prins JT, van den Heijkant F, van der Heijden FMMA,
105 et al. The learning environment and resident burnout: a national study. *Perspect Med Educ*.
106 2018;7(2):120–5.
- 107 8. Pereira-Lima K, Gupta RR, Guille C, Sen S. Residency Program Factors Associated with Depressive
108 Symptoms in Internal Medicine Interns: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Acad Med*. 2019;94:869–75.
- 109 9. Liang R, Dornan T, Nestel D. Why do women leave surgical training? A qualitative and feminist
110 study. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10171):541–9.
- 111 10. Mata DA, Ramos MA, Bansal N, Khan R, Guille C, Di Angelantonio E, et al. Prevalence of depression
112 and depressive symptoms among resident physicians a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*
113 - *J Am Med Assoc*. 2015;314(22):2373–83.
- 114 11. Teunissen P, Westerman M. Opportunity or threat; ambiguity in the consequences of transitions in
115 medical education. *Med Educ*. 2011;45:51–9.
- 116 12. Cooke M, Irby DM, O’Brien BC. *Educating Physicians: A Call for Reform of Medical School and
117 Residency*. Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass;
118 2010.
- 119 13. General Medical Council. *Progression Reports: Foundation Year 1 Preparedness*. London;
- 120 14. Association of American Medical Colleges: *Medical School Graduation Questionnaire 2019 All
121 Schools Summary Report* [Internet]. 2019. Available from:
122 <https://www.aamc.org/download/498790/data/2019gqallschoolssummaryreport.pdf>
- 123 15. Illing JC, Morrow GM, Rothwell nee Kergon CR, Burford BC, Baldauf BK, Davies CL, et al.
124 Perceptions of UK medical graduates’ preparedness for practice: a multi-centre qualitative study
125 reflecting the importance of learning on the job. *BMC Med Educ*. 2013;13:34.
- 126 16. Monrouxe L, Bullock A, Cole J, Gormley G. *How Prepared are UK Medical Graduates for Practice?*
127 *Final report from a programme of research commissioned by the General Medical Council*
128 [Internet]. 2014. Available from: [http://www.gmc-](http://www.gmc-uk.org/How_Prepared_are_UK_Medical_Graduates_for_Practice_SUBMITTED_Revised_140614.pdf_58034815.pdf)
129 [uk.org/How_Prepared_are_UK_Medical_Graduates_for_Practice_SUBMITTED_Revised_140614.p](http://www.gmc-uk.org/How_Prepared_are_UK_Medical_Graduates_for_Practice_SUBMITTED_Revised_140614.pdf_58034815.pdf)
130 [df_58034815.pdf](http://www.gmc-uk.org/How_Prepared_are_UK_Medical_Graduates_for_Practice_SUBMITTED_Revised_140614.pdf_58034815.pdf)
- 131 17. Lee C, McCrory R, Tully M., Carrington A, Donnelly R, Dornan T. Readiness to prescribe: using
132 educational design to untie the Gordian Knot. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020; Available from:
133 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227865>
- 134 18. Medical Board of Australia and Ahpra. *Medical Training Survey 2019*. 2020.
- 135 19. Billett S, Choy S. Integrating Professional Learning Experiences across University and Practice
136 Settings. In: Billett S, Harteis C, Gruber H, editors. *International Handbook of Research in
137 Professional and Practice-based Learning*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2014. p. 485–512.
- 138 20. Basic Medical Education. *WFME Global Standards for Quality Improvement*. 2015 Revision.

139
140

Copenhagen; 2015.