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Recent advances in integrated CO₂ capture and utilization: a review

Shuzhuang Sun, Hongman Sun, Paul T. Williams* and Chunfei Wu*

CO₂ is one of the most important greenhouse gases leading to severe environmental issues. The increase of CO₂ emissions from the consumption of fossil fuels has received much research attention. One promising solution to reduce the impact of CO₂ is to integrate CO₂ capture and utilization (ICCU), which shows many advantages compared to traditional separate CO₂ capture and utilization (CCU) processes. The ICCU process shortens the path of CO₂ utilization such as CO₂ transportation and storage, and further negates the need for purification of products owing to the high conversion of CO₂. As an emerging integrated process, the improvement of ICCU performance is crucial for future applications. This review analyses and discusses the influence of the key process parameters of ICCU such as temperature, the presence of O₂ or H₂O in CO₂, GHSV etc., to provide guidance for future investigation. The development and application of dual functional materials (DFMs) in ICCU are investigated and the roles and influence of interaction between adsorbents and catalysts are discussed. CaO showed superiority as an adsorbent to combine with CO₂ utilization catalysts owing to its low cost and high CO₂ capture capacity. The DFM system has opportunities to retard the deactivation of CaO owing to the presence of catalysts and the formed interaction. Nevertheless, there are several considerations from the industrial application perspective such as the reduction of overall cost and the possible post-processing requirements.

1. Introduction

The significant increase of CO₂ emissions, from 280 ppm in 1760 to 410 ppm in 2020, has become a serious global warming problem, resulting in a series of severe climate and environmental changes. The emissions of CO₂ are mainly attributed to fossil fuel consumption, in particular, the power generation sector emits the most CO₂ followed by industrial and transportation vehicles. Therefore, there is an urgent requirement for CO₂ reduction which is recognised by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and the introduction of relevant policies and regulations. The measures to reduce carbon emissions include the improvement of fuel energy efficiency, CO₂ capture, carbon storage and CO₂ conversion. CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) is a technology that decarbonises the use of fossil fuels in industries, such as power plants, steel works, cement kilns and oil refineries. CCS includes three main stages: (1) CO₂ capture; (2) transportation; (3) permanent CO₂ storage by mineralizing or injecting CO₂ into the ground or deep ocean. Porous materials such as activated carbon and zeolites are possible adsorbents for carbon capture. However, the poor adsorption selectivity of physical carbon capture limits its industrial application. Chemical adsorption is more promising and has already been applied in the CO₂ capture process, mainly including aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) adsorption and calcium looping. The captured CO₂ can be released at a high concentration by temperature swing. As for the storage process, CCS could also be combined with the current fossil fuel extraction processes, for example, the underground injection of CO₂ for crude oil enhanced oil recovery. However, the high cost of separation, enrichment and transportation of CO₂ limits the deployment of CCS. Moreover, physical storage methods, such as underground or ocean injection, have negative impacts on natural ecology. Therefore, in addition to the development of CCS, increasing attention is being paid to the application of the captured CO₂ as a feedstock to produce valuable chemicals or fuels.

CO₂ capture and utilization (CCU) is a more sustainable process that can partially close the carbon cycle (as shown in Fig. 1). It is attractive to store the excess and uncertain supply of energy from renewable sources as stable chemical energy (i.e. methane, syngas or liquid chemicals) by integrating with CCU. Nowadays, there are many effective CO₂ utilization routes, including thermal-catalysis, photo-catalysis, electronic-catalysis, plasma-catalysis etc. Several reviews have summarized integrated CO₂ capture and low-temperature utilization, such as the production of formic acid, carbamate, urea, etc., using MOFs/COFs or other chemicals.
However, considering the large scale application in industry, materials with high cost are not reasonable for reducing CO₂ emissions. This review focuses more attention on high-temperature CO₂ capture and in situ utilization technologies using low-cost adsorbents (i.e. MgO and CaO) and commonly used catalysts, such as Ni, Fe-based or low loading of noble metal-based materials. Although high-temperature applications will bring additional energy consumption, ICCU can be operated isothermally and continuously by swinging the inlet gas. Furthermore, rapid and efficient carbon capture can be achieved using low-cost CaO or MgO at high temperatures. Industrially applicable CO₂ hydrogenation can be easily realized using the most common catalysts (i.e. Ni, Fe or Cu-based catalysts). As an important C1 chemical, CO₂ has attracted much attention for various end-use applications including CO₂ methanation, dry reforming of alkanes, the reverse water-gas shift reaction, etc. However, CCU requires high CO₂ concentration for high conversion and inevitable product purification before it can be utilized for the production of useful products. As shown in Fig. 1, the traditional CCU process includes several steps to achieve ideal carbon capture and utilization, and in which the process would be also accompanied by undesirable high costs.

In recent years, increasing studies have been carried out on integrated CO₂ capture and utilization (ICCU) to reduce the cost of the overall process by eliminating transportation and storage of CO₂. As shown in Fig. 1, ICCU achieves in situ CO₂ adsorption, separation and conversion using dual-function materials (DFMs), which consist of CO₂ adsorbents and catalysts. First, DFMs can capture CO₂ from flue gas (~15 vol% CO₂) to effectively reduce carbon emissions. When the carbon capture process is completed, the feed gas is switched to a reducing agent (i.e. H₂, CH₄) for the conversion of the adsorbed CO₂ accomplished with the regeneration of the adsorbents. The reduction of CO₂ in ICCU is carried out under reducing agent-rich conditions, further avoiding the purification of products by significantly improving the conversion of CO₂. Compared with the traditional MEA adsorption and calcium looping process, the ICCU process avoids CO₂ desorption by temperature swing, which is considered as an energy-intensive process. What’s more, the effective in situ CO₂ utilization accompanied by adsorbent regeneration significantly simplifies the overall CO₂ utilization process.

The CO₂ adsorption, desorption and catalytic performances are significantly affected by the reaction temperature as well as the combination between catalysts and adsorbents. There are specific requirements for catalysts and adsorbents applied in DFMs, including the interaction between catalysts and adsorbents and the matching of adsorption/desorption efficiency and catalytic performance. ICCU has research gaps in both process optimization and catalytic mechanism investigation. In addition, the interactions and synergy effect among sorbents and catalysts of DFMs need significant research for better DFM design. This review critically introduces recent literature on CO₂ capture integrated with methanation, dry reforming of methane (DRM) and the reverse waste gas shift reaction (RWGS) from the perspectives of ICCU performance (i.e. CO₂ conversion, product yield, selectivity and process parameter optimization), CO₂ adsorbents (i.e. adsorbent system and understanding of the synergistic effect between catalysis and adsorption) and catalysts (i.e. catalytic system, active sites and catalytic mechanism). CO₂ utilization requires a specific reaction temperature which limits the selection and design of adsorbent in DFMs. In contrast, the presence of adsorbents promotes the performance of catalysts by assisting catalyst dispersion and provides close contact with CO₂ and catalysts. The interactions between adsorbents and catalysts are believed to play multifunctional roles in ICCU including promoting the stability of DFMs, providing effective catalytic sites and affecting the optimal parameters of ICCU. Furthermore, this review proposes research directions by pointing out the shortcomings of existing research from the perspective of industrial applications.

2. Progress in integrated CO₂ capture and methanation

Methane is the simplest hydrocarbon with the lowest C/H ratio, and is widely used in transportation, domestic heating and power plants because of its high calorific value (55.7 kJ g⁻¹), ready availability, low cost and clean combustion products. As shown in eqn (1), the conversion between CO₂ and CH₄, representing two important chemicals in C1 chemistry, has attracted great attention. Integrating CO₂ capture and methanation (ICCU-methanation) has advantages in improving the process and energy efficiency.

\[
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 = \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{\text{298 K}} = -252.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1)
\]

2.1 Influence of process parameters on ICCU-methanation

Temperature, reaction time, reducing agent parameters, the presence of O₂ and H₂O, etc., have large influences on the efficiency of ICCU-methanation which normally happens at an intermediate temperature (~300 °C). Table 1 summarizes the
Table 1: The catalyst system, performance and reaction condition details of reported integrated CO₂ capture and methanation research work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMFs</th>
<th>Adsorption process</th>
<th>Hydrogenation process</th>
<th>CO₂ cap.</th>
<th>CO₂ con.</th>
<th>CH₄ yield</th>
<th>CH₄ sel.</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% Ru, 10% CaO/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>10% CO₂/N₂, 20 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>5% H₂/N₂, 20 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20 cycles</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>5% CO₂/N₂, 30 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>5% H₂/N₂, 30 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3 cycles</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Ru, 10% CaO/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂ + 73% N₂, 20 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>5% H₂/N₂, 60 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10 cycles</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Ni catalyst/</td>
<td>15% CO₂/N₂, 100 ml min⁻¹, 1.34 atm, T = 350 °C</td>
<td>10% H₂/N₂, 100 ml min⁻¹, 1.34 atm, T = 350 °C</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.36 mol (kg⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15 cycles</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrotalcite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Ru, 10%</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂/N₂, 300 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>5% H₂/N₂, 300 ml min⁻¹, 30 min, T = 320 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12 cycles</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂/N₂, 15 min, GHSV = 521 h⁻¹, T = 300 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>15% H₂/N₂, 15 min, GHSV = 1389 h⁻¹, T = 300 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50 cycles</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Ni, 6.1%</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂/N₂, 40 min, 30 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>10% H₂/N₂, 60 min, 30 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50 cycles</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Na₂O”/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂/N₂, 40 min, 30 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>10% H₂/N₂, 60 min, 30 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50 cycles</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Ru, 6.1%</td>
<td>7.5% CO₂ + 15% H₂O + 4.5% O₂/N₂, 40 min, 30 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>15% H₂/N₂, 200 ml min⁻¹, T = 320 °C</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20 cycles</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Ru, 10% Ni, 6.1% “Na₂O”/ Al₂O₃</td>
<td>11% CO₂/Ar, 1 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 400 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>10% H₂/Ar, 2 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 400 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Ru, 10% Ni, 6.1% “Na₂O”/ Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pt, 10% Ni, 6.1% “Na₂O”/ Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Pt, 10% Ni, 6.1% “Na₂O”/ Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Pd, 10% Ni, 6.1% “Na₂O”/ Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru₄N₂CO₃</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Ni/CaO</td>
<td>10% CO₂ + 10% H₂O + 80% N₂, 120 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 500 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>90% H₂/N₂, 230 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 500 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Ni/CaO</td>
<td>10% CO₂ + 10% H₂O + 80% N₂, 120 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 600 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>90% H₂/N₂, 230 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 600 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>5 cycles</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Ni/CaO</td>
<td>10% CO₂ + 10% H₂O + 80% N₂, 120 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 700 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>90% H₂/N₂, 230 min, 40 ml min⁻¹, T = 700 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% Ni 15% CaO/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>10% CO₂/Ar, 1 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 520 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>10% H₂/Ar, 2 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 520 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% Ni 15% Na₂O/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>10% CO₂/Ar, 1 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 400 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>10% H₂/Ar, 2 min, 1200 ml min⁻¹, T = 400 °C, 1 atm</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10 cycles</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The performance of ICCU-methanation in relation to catalyst systems and reaction conditions. Most of those reported in the literature have been carried out under isothermal conditions and atmospheric pressure.

Temperature is one of the most important parameters in catalytic processes. For ICCU-methanation, Bermejo-López et al. investigated the influence of a wide temperature range, i.e. 200–600 °C, on ICCU-methanation using Ni–Ca/Al₂O₃ DFM. The temperature showed a positive correlation with CO₂ capture rate. A higher temperature was found to decrease the CO₂ capture capacity and cause excessive oxidation of Ru for the processing of CO₂-containing flue gas. A higher temperature increases the selectivity of CH₄, while a lower temperature significantly limited the catalytic activity. Zheng et al. achieved the best ICCU performance at 320 °C (32.41 ml CO₂ captured and 31.56 ml CH₄ generated). A higher temperature was found to decrease the CO₂ capture capacity and cause excessive oxidation of Ru for the processing of CO₂-containing flue gas (32.86 ml CO₂ captured and 29.73 ml CH₄ generated at 350 °C), while a lower temperature significantly limited the catalytic activity (41.21 ml CO₂ captured and 1.2 ml CH₄ generated at 280 °C).

In addition to the effect of temperature, the reaction time of adsorption and conversion stages also affects the catalytic performance. Zheng et al. investigated the influence of adsorption time on ICCU-methanation. Increasing the reaction time of adsorption benefited the generation of CH₄. However, it was found that the CO₂ adsorption rate dropped significantly after 20 min. In addition, a longer adsorption time resulted in the deactivation of catalysts by inducing excessive oxidation of catalysts in the flue gas. As listed in Table 1, most of the research used more than 20 min reaction time in the first carbon capture stage. This could increase the overall CO₂ capture capacity. However, it might not be beneficial to the overall ICCU-methanation process. Excessive CO₂ adsorption could produce more carbonates than active formate species which are mainly responsible for the formation of methane. For example, Zhou et al. applied a fast adsorption and methanation process (25 s and 35 s for adsorption and methanation) and reported a nearly 100% CO₂ conversion. This was due to the formation of dominant formate species and few carbonates.

The concentration of H₂ is another important parameter that has a significant impact on CH₄ yield. Wang et al. investigated the influence of H₂ partial pressure (5% and 10% H₂/N₂) and found that the presence of more sufficient H₂ could generate more CH₄ (58.66 ml and 131 ml CH₄). The authors reported that a higher H₂ concentration is important for the activation of Ru-based DFM when processing CO₂-containing flue gas. A higher H₂ concentration could also effectively improve the CO₂ conversion by positively promoting the equilibrium of CO₂ methanation. Apart from the gas concentration, GHSV also influences the ICCU performance by altering the gas diffusion pathways within DFM. Generally, a higher GHSV results in an enhanced CO₂ conversion and is accomplished with higher H₂ consumption.

In practice, it is known that contaminants in flue gas such as H₂O and O₂ can affect CO₂ capture and conversion. Martha et al. simulated a flue gas by mixing 4.5% O₂ and 15% H₂O with 7.5% CO₂/N₂ to investigate CH₄ formation using Ni-based DFM. The reduction of ICCU performance in relation to CH₄ production was observed owing to the oxidation of active metals. In addition, the presence of H₂O and O₂ in the feed gas decreases the capacity of CO₂ capture by competitive adsorption. Interestingly, the presence of H₂O might not always play a negative role in ICCU. Miguel et al. found that H₂O can participate in CO₂ desorption, removing CO₂ and promoting CH₄ formation. The presence of H₂O helped to dissolve K₂CO₃, which promoted the formation of bidentate carbonate in the CO₂ adsorption process. The H₂O generated in CO₂ methanation was also suggested to be helpful for CO₂ desorption, especially in the regeneration of sorbent sites.

### 2.2 Development of DFM for ICCU-methanation

#### 2.2.1 Adsorbents in DFM for ICCU-methanation

In this section, the role of sorbents in DFM is reviewed and discussed for ICCU-methanation. As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2, Na₂O, K₂O, MgO and CaO are the most common sorbents for this process. CaO is widely used as a CO₂ absorbent owing to its...
excellent theoretical adsorption capacity and low price. Due to sintering caused by volume change during the transformation between CaO and CaCO₃, highly dispersed CaO could provide better stability than limestone derived CaO. Melis et al. dispersed CaO onto γ-Al₂O₃ and reported an increased CH₄ yield, demonstrating that the spillover of CO₂ from CaO to active sites occurred within DFMs. Due to the temperature limitation of CO₂ methanation, Na₂O and K₂O are also popular for ICCU-CO₂ methanation. Martha et al. studied dispersed alkaline adsorbents, including Na₂O, CaO, K₂O and MgO, and obtained enhanced methanation performance using Na₂O and CaO (0.614, 0.610, 0.466 and 0.213 mmolCH₄ gDFM⁻¹) for Na₂O, CaO, K₂O and MgO, respectively. The doping of Na in γ-Al₂O₃ promotes the formation of Al-O⁻ sites by promoting the formation of reversible bidentate and polydentate carbonates. Mertha et al. found that Na₂O showed the fastest kinetics towards CH₄ production compared to CaO, K₂O and MgO. In addition, the Na₂CO₃-based DFMs showed acceptable stability (i.e. 27% decrease after 10 cycles) and the adsorption performance could be maintained by increasing the reduction time. The adsorption capacity of different alkali metal species varies as well. Bermejo-López et al. investigated oxides (CaO or Na₂O) and hydrated oxides (Ca(OH)₂ or NaOH) as CO₂ storage sites, and reported that the former oxides were more reactive for CO₂ adsorption.

Mg-based adsorbents are widely used in DFMs due to their low cost and medium adsorption temperature (∼300 °C), as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. In addition, MgO does not have serious sintering issues like CaO, and the use of adsorbent carriers can be avoided to achieve more abundant adsorption capacity. Miguel et al. investigated the cycle stability of a Mg-Al hydrotalcite-based DFM. The authors obtained a decreased CO₂ capacity in cycles, from 0.52 mmol g⁻¹ to 0.32 mmol g⁻¹, owing to the formation of irreversible bulk polydentate carbonate from unidentate and bridged carbonates. Thus promoters such as Li were used to stabilize MgO during the carbon capture stage of ICCU. Sun et al. applied alkali metal (Li, Na, and K) promoted MgO in DFMs and obtained ∼4 mmol gDFM⁻¹ CO₂ capacity after 10 cycles at a temperature below 300 °C. Attractively, Zhou et al. synthesized 2D-layered Ni-MgO-Al₂O₃ nano-sheets and obtained prolonged cycling performance at low temperatures (≤250 °C). However, the formation of difficult-to-reduce species, such as Ni-O-Mg and/or Ni-O-Al, suppressed the reducibility of low Ni-loading catalysts. Zhou et al. observed the formation of formate species in the CO₂ capture process, which was formed from the hydrogeneration of carbonate and/or bicarbonate. These formed formate species are desirable for methanation.

The loading of adsorbents in DFMs can directly affect the capacity of CO₂ capture. Melis et al. reported a positive correlation between adsorbent loading and CO₂ capture capacity using dispersed K₂CO₃ as an adsorbent. However, a higher loading of the adsorbent could not always result in a better CO₂ capture capacity when applying other alkali metal-based adsorbents. Melis et al. found an optimal loading of 10% for Na₂CO₃ and MgO. In addition to providing adsorption sites, the presence of sorbent could also promote catalytic performance in relation to the conversion of CO₂. For example, Bermejo-López et al. reported that the dispersion of Ru or Ni was improved with the increase of adsorbent loading.

Different adsorbents show various performances for the process temperature of ICCU-methanation. Bermejo-López et al. observed that the performance of the CaO-based DFMs showed a significantly more positive correlation with the increase of temperature, while the medium temperature (i.e. 340 °C) is optimal for the Na₂CO₃-based DFMs. In the case of using CaO, a higher temperature was attributed to the decomposition of stable carbonates, while the Na₂CO₃ sorbent could release CO₂ at a relatively lower temperature. The CaO and Na₂CO₃-based DFMs with low adsorbent loadings (i.e. 5 wt%) possess relatively weak basicity, results in decreased CO₂ storage capacity and CH₄ production at higher temperature.

In addition, the carriers used to disperse the adsorbent affect the adsorption performance in ICCU-methanation. For example, Martha et al. studied carrier materials, including CeO₂, CeO₂/ZrO₂, Nazeolite-X, H-mordenite zeolite, SiC, SiO₂ and ZrO₂-Y and demonstrated that γ-Al₂O₃ was the most suitable carrier for DFMs. In addition to acting as a carrier, γ-Al₂O₃ could adsorb CO₂ over Al₂O₃-OH groups. Laura et al. proposed that Al-O⁻−Na⁺ species formed by the interaction between Na₂O and Al₂O₃ allowed CO₂ adsorption by the formation of bidentate carbonates over the sorbent surface using in situ DRIFTS (diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform Spectroscopy) characterization.

As shown in Fig. 2, sorbents for CO₂ capture could be dispersed into supports to enhance the stability of adsorbents, however, it could significantly reduce the capacity of CO₂ capture owing to the reduction of adsorbent content. It is important to develop intermediate temperature adsorbents (∼300 °C) with excellent stability and CO₂ capture capacity for ICCU-methanation to increase the CO₂ throughput of DFMs.

2.2.2 Catalysts in DFMs for ICCU-methanation. The role of catalytic sites in DFMs for ICCU-methanation is discussed in this section. It is known that the reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ is an eight-electron complicated process with significant kinetic
limitations. Therefore, CO₂ methanation requires suitable catalysts to achieve acceptable activity and selectivity. Metal-based catalysts, such as Ni, Ru and Rh, have been widely investigated for this process. As shown in Table 1, Ni was chosen as the methanation catalytic site by many researchers due to its high catalytic activity and relatively low cost. The effect of Ni loading in DFMs on ICCU-methanation was studied by Bermejo-López et al. In general, higher Ni loading promotes CO₂ capture and CH₄ generation owing to the closer contact between the adsorbents and metallic phase. Furthermore, increasing Ni loading could also slightly promote the decomposition of carbonates at lower temperatures.

Notably, the interaction between catalysts and adsorbents could positively affect ICCU-methanation. It was proposed that the reducibility of Ni species was enhanced in the presence of the adsorbent. The adsorbent impeded the close contact between Ni and Al₂O₃, weakening the interaction between both phases and favoring the formation of reducible NiO species.

However, there are shortcomings of Ni-based catalysts in DFMs for ICCU-methanation. When processing O₂-containing flue gas, Ni-based catalysts were oxidized and required much higher temperatures (i.e. 600 °C) to reduce. Other possible causes of the deactivation of Ni-based catalysts at low temperatures are the interaction of the metal particles with CO and the formation of mobile Ni subcarbonyls. Introducing other metals could help to decrease the reduction temperature of oxidized Ni-based DFMs in the step of CO₂ methanation. For example, Martha et al. introduced noble metals (Pt, Pb or Ru ≤ 1%) into Ni-based DFMs and obtained enhanced ICCU performance in the presence of H₂O and O₂. The authors also reported stable capacity of CO₂ capture (0.52 mmol g⁻¹cat) and CH₄ yield (0.38 mmol g⁻¹cat) after 20 cycles of capture and methanation using 1% Ru, 10% Ni, and 6.1%Na₂O/Al₂O₃ at 320 °C, indicating excellent long term stability of the Ru-promoted Ni-based DFM.

Noble metals could not only be used as a promoter of Ni-based DFMs, but also show impressive catalytic performance as the main active metal. Ru was the most promising one owing to its excellent catalytic activity of CO₂ methanation. Melis et al. applied 5 wt% Ru/CaO/γ-Al₂O₃ DFMs in ICCU-methanation and obtained around 290 μmol g⁻¹cat CH₄ yield. Sun et al. utilized 10 wt% Ru/CoO₂-MgO and achieved higher CH₄ yield (7.07 mmol g⁻¹cat) and CO₂ conversion (89%) in ICCU-methanation. Ru-based DFMs have also shown excellent stable performance in ICCU. Wang et al. applied 5% Ru-6.1% Na₂O/γ-Al₂O₃ DFMs and obtained 0.35 mmol g⁻¹cat CH₄ yield after 80 h operation. During the stability test, there was no loss of the BET surface area, CO₂ capture capacity and Ru dispersion. Ru showed acceptable performance in the presence of O₂. For example, Zheng et al. investigated the performance of Ru-CaO/Al₂O₃ DFMs under simulated flue gas conditions and proposed that Ru-based catalysts could be easily reduced after exposure to O₂-containing CO₂ flue gas. As shown in Fig. 3a, it is suggested that H₂ reduced oxidized Ru first, followed by the spillover of CO₂ from CaO to Ru. Lastly, the dissolved CO₂ at Ru sites formed CH₄ with the assistance of H₂.

It is known that the loading of Ru is closely related to the catalytic performance. Bermejo-López et al. proposed that a higher Ru loading in Ru–10%Na₂O/Al₂O₃ resulted in an acceptable ICCU performance even at a notably lower temperature (i.e. 310 °C). However, there is an optimum Ru loading for ICCU-methanation. For example, Sun et al. reported that 10% Ru/CeO₂–MgO showed poorer stability than 5% Ru/CeO₂–MgO. It is mainly attributed to the presence of more oxygen vacancies that remained in 5% Ru/CeO₂–MgO. Better dispersion of Ru also promotes ICCU-methanation. For example, Melis et al. reported that increasing the weight ratio of CaO to Ru promoted CO₂ spillover from CaO to Ru sites and then increased the performance of ICCU-methanation.

The distance between the active metals and the adsorbents also affects the performance of ICCU-methanation. Melis et al. compared physically mixed 10% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ and 10% CaO/γ-Al₂O₃ with 10% Ru-10% CaO/γ-Al₂O₃ for ICCU-methanation. The physically mixed DFMs showed a poor ICCU performance (0.12 g-mol CH₄/kg DFM) owing to the longer distance between active sites within the DFMs decreasing the effective CO₂ spillover. However, Sun et al. reported different conclusions by comparing physically mixed Ni/CeO₂–CaO with impregnated Ni/CeO₂ DFMs. The longer distance between Ni and CaO prevented the coverage of Ni from the formation of CaCO₃. Furthermore, the introduction of a CeO₂ support would promote the dispersion of Ni and increase the performance of ICCU-methanation.

The addition of additives could promote the catalytic performance of DFMs for ICCU-methanation. For example, Stefano et al. introduced various alkali metals (Li, Na, and K carbonates vs. nitrates) into 1% Ru/Al₂O₃ DFMs and found that...
both the capture capacity of CO₂ and activity of methanation were enhanced via doping with Li (nitrates), which could react with Al₂O₃ to form a mixed spinel phase.

Investigating the catalytic mechanism helps to develop DFM for ICCU-methanation. The mechanism and key intermediates of CH₄ formation from the ICCU process were studied in situ diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS). Proaño et al. investigated the two steps of ICCU-methanation, including CO₂ adsorption and hydrogenation, over 5% Ru–6.1% Na₂O/Al₂O₃ DFM. The authors found that CO₂ absorbed on the AlO−Na⁺ species formed bidentate carbonates (i.e. 1st step in Fig. 3b), and then the adsorbed bicarbonates and bidentate carbonates spilled over onto the Ru-support interface during the CO₂ methanation process, with formates as reaction intermediates (i.e. 2nd and 3rd steps in Fig. 3b), which is consistent with the results reported by Sun et al. In addition to the adsorbent, Ru as the catalytic site also showed the capacity of CO₂ adsorption, generating carbonyl groups.

A lot of research has been conducted on the production of CH₄ by ICCU, but there is no valuable economic evaluation research in this field yet. However, ICCU-methanation can be combined with renewable H₂, and it still has a very broad prospect as integrated hydrogen storage and carbon-neutral solution.

### 3. Progress in integrated CO₂ capture and DRM

Compared to CO₂, the greenhouse effect of CH₄ is 22 times higher. Currently, CH₄ is widely used to produce H₂ by chemical looping reforming or steam methane reforming. Dry reforming of methane (DRM) utilizing these two major greenhouse gases, as shown in eqn (2), has received increasing attention in recent years. In addition to CH₄, C₂H₆, and other low-carbon alkanes could also be used for dry reforming.

Integrated CO₂ capture and dry reforming (ICCU-DRM) provides a promising solution for utilizing low carbon alkanes accompanied by the reduction of CO₂ emissions. Synthesizing liquid fuels or high-value hydrocarbons by Fischer–Tropsch synthesis using the products from the ICCU-DRM process could be a practical route for upgrading alkanes.

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 = 2\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \quad \Delta H_{298\text{ K}} = +247 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\]  

#### 3.1 Influence of process parameters on ICCU-DRM

In this section, the influences of process parameters on the performance of ICCU-DRM are reviewed and discussed. As shown in Fig. 4a, there are various side reactions in ICCU-DRM, including CH₄ decomposition and the reverse-water-gas shift reaction (RWGS), which would generate coke on the surface of DFM and affect the ratio of H₂/CO in the final product.

Temperature is one of the most effective parameters to influence the balance of DRM and side reactions. Molina-Ramirez et al. studied different temperatures (600–700 °C) of ICCU-DRM using a non-supported Ni–Ba bifunctional catalyst. The conversion of CH₄ increased with the increase of temperature (11.04% at 600 °C and 18.57% at 700 °C), while the selectivity to CO showed an opposite trend (14.89% at 600 °C and 4.69% at 700 °C). The side reactions, especially CH₄ decomposition, are favored at a higher temperature. The ratio of H₂/CO increased from 5.7 at 600 °C to 20.4 at 700 °C, which was accompanied by a significant carbon deposition on the surface of the DFM. By applying dry reforming of ethane, Ahmed et al. investigated the influence of reaction temperature on Niₓ₀@(K–Ca)ₓ₀/(γ-Al₂O₃)ₓ₀ over ICCU and found that the yield of syngas continuously increased with increasing temperature. Ethane conversion was positively correlated with temperature and could be fully converted at a higher

---

**Fig. 4**  (a) Gibbs free energy of related reactions in integrated CO₂ capture and DRM as a function of temperature.  (b) Coupled CO₂ capture and conversion reactions: molar flow rate of the effluent gas in the first cycle of the coupled CO₂ capture–conversion process and schematic description of the main processes occurring in the reactor.
temperature (>650 °C), while the CO₂ conversion equilibrated at ~65% (>600 °C).

For ICCU-DRM, a higher temperature is not always preferred. Molina-Ramírez et al.⁶⁶ reported that the optimal temperature of Ni–Ba DFMs for CO₂ adsorption is 650 °C (0.37 mmol g⁻¹), capturing 0.23 and 0.13 mmol g⁻¹ CO₂ at 600 and 700 °C, respectively. It is suggested that a higher temperature enables the adsorption to approach equilibrium faster, but reduces the adsorption capacity at equilibrium. In terms of the desorption temperature for ICCU-DRM, Kim et al.¹ proposed that a reaction temperature of around 720 °C could steadily release CO₂. Too fast desorption would cause excessive CO₂ release at the initial time of the conversion stage, while slow desorption would decrease the overall efficiency of ICCU-DRM.

Reaction time is another important parameter in ICCU-DRM. For example, Kim et al.¹ studied different stages of ICCU through continuous online gas analysis. As shown in Fig. 4b, three reaction stages were proposed: (1) in the pre-breakthrough stage, CO₂ and CH₄ were almost fully converted, while the CO₂ mole ratio remained stable during the following 18 min, namely the breakthrough stage. (2) The H₂ mole flow rate gradually decreased due to the reduction of CO₂ release, while the H₂ yield remained stable during the following ~18 min, namely the breakthrough stage. (3) The H₂ mole flow rate gradually decreased in the post-breakthrough stage due to the deactivation of the catalyst, which was caused by the deposition of carbon generated by CH₄ decomposition in the previous stages. Therefore, the ratio of H₂/CO in the product can be controlled by adjusting the reaction time at different stages of ICCU-DRM.

3.2 Development progress of DFMs for ICCU-DRM

3.2.1 Adsorbents in DFMs for ICCU-DRM. The current CO₂ adsorbents applied in ICCU-DRM are mainly alkali metal oxides. High-temperature adsorbents, especially CaO-based materials, are the most commonly applied adsorbents owing to the thermodynamic requirements of DRM. However, the sintering of CaO is the most severe problem in this process. Kim et al.¹ applied CaO as the adsorbent and observed a significant decrease of CO₂ capture capacity after several cycles of ICCU-DRM. What’s more, the sintering of CaO would shorten the pre-breakthrough stage, as shown in Fig. 4b and 5a, which was suggested as the best stage for ICCU-DRM.

The presence of catalysts also affects the performance of adsorbents in ICCU-DRM. As shown in Fig. 5b, Tian et al.² reported that introducing catalysts in adsorbents significantly promoted the decomposition of CaCO₃ (~3 times faster). A similar promotion effect of catalysts on adsorbents was also reported by Ahmed et al.⁷⁷

Other adsorbents were also applied in ICCU-DRM, however with poor adsorption performance. For example, Molina-Ramírez et al.⁶⁶ synthesized a Ni–Ba unsupported DFM and obtained 0.232 mmol g⁻¹ capacity of CO₂ capture. In addition, MgO is not a suitable adsorbent for ICCU-DRM as Ahmed et al.⁷⁷ reported that introducing catalysts significantly promoted the decomposition of CaCO₃ (~3 times faster). A similar promotion effect of catalysts on adsorbents was also reported by Ahmed et al.⁷⁷

3.2.2. Catalysts in DFMs for ICCU-DRM. As DRM requires a large amount of energy to process owing to its thermodynamic properties,⁶⁶ the introduction of catalysts such as Ni, Ru, Mo or Co-based catalysts can reduce the thermodynamic barrier and reduce the reaction temperature. The interaction between metals and adsorbents plays a key role in the ICCU-DRM process. Tian et al.² investigated two NiO forms in CaO–Ni bifunctional sorbent-catalysts by XPS and H₂-TPR. The proportion of interacted NiO increased from 64.0 to 80.7 atomic % with the increase of the Ca/Ni ratio. It was suggested that the presence of interacted NiO enhanced the ICCU-DRM. The authors also proposed that the sintering of catalysts could be prohibited by strengthening the metal–support interaction and/or the confinement effect of the support in the material matrix.

![Fig. 5](image-url) (a) Molar flow rate and H₂/CO ratio of the effluent gas as a function of cycle number: (--) 1st, (- - -) 5th, and (---) 10th cycles. The arrows highlight the trends with cycle number.¹ (b) Comparison of decarbonation kinetics as a function of time at 1073 K between CaO–Ni bifunctional sorbent-catalysts by XPS and H₂-TPR. The proportion of interacted NiO increased from 64.0 to 80.7 atomic % with the increase of the Ca/Ni ratio. It was suggested that the presence of interacted NiO enhanced the ICCU-DRM. The authors also proposed that the sintering of catalysts could be prohibited by strengthening the metal–support interaction and/or the confinement effect of the support in the material matrix.²
Fe-based catalysts also showed a good performance for ICCU-DRM. For example, Zhao et al. demonstrated a Ca–Fe chemical looping reforming process for ICCU. The authors observed the occurrence of the reaction between CH₄ and CaCO₃ and Fe₂O₃. As shown in Fig. 6b, in the 1st stage, the rapid full CH₄ oxidation reaction occurred and all Fe₂O₃ was changed into Fe₃O₄ (eqn (3) and eqn (4)) without CO generation.

In the 2nd stage, the interaction between Fe-based oxygen storage materials and CO₂ adsorbents accompanied by the CH₄ reforming of CaCO₃ (eqn (5) and eqn (6)) achieved pure syngas production. Fe₂O₃ was gradually transformed into Fe and FeAl₂O₄ with the grain size change in this stage. Along with further interactions, FeO rather than Fe was detected at the 3rd reaction stage. This might be attributed to the influence of the oxygen sources, including CO₂, lattice oxygen from the incompletely reduced Fe-based oxygen storage materials, or even lattice oxygen from the Al₂O₃ support. In the final stage, there was a reappearance of CaCO₃, which suggested that when the spine FeAl₂O₄ was reformed by methane, the unwanted byproduct CO₂ was recaptured by the carbonation reaction and thus utilized. In conclusion, the Ca–Fe DFM could restore its state and activity after oxidation and carbonation in flue gas and showed acceptable cycle stability.

$$12Fe₂O₃ + CH₄ → 8Fe₃O₄ + CO₂ + 2H₂O \quad ΔG_{1173 \text{ K}} = -532.33 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$6Fe₃O₄ + CH₄ → 4Fe₃O₄ + CO₂ + 2H₂ \quad ΔG_{1173 \text{ K}} = -300.08 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$CaCO₃ + CH₄ → CaO + 2CO + 2H₂ \quad ΔG_{1173 \text{ K}} = -74.96 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$3CaCO₃ + CH₄ → 3CaO + 4CO + 2H₂O \quad ΔG_{1173 \text{ K}} = -84.07 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

Introducing specific additives promotes ICCU-DRM. Ahmed et al. proposed that a K-introduced DFM showed better reducibility than a Na-introduced DFM by enhancing catalyst–support interactions. The promotion effect of Ni–Ca interactions was also verified by comparison with that of Ni–Mg DFMs. It was noted that with the introduction of alkaline metals, the ratio of H₂/CO was affected. For example, the K-introduced DFM showed a higher H₂/CO ratio owing to the higher conversion of dry reforming ethane and other side reactions.

4. Progress in integrated CO₂ capture and RWGS

The reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction is another important reaction in C1-chemistry, which can connect with the production of valuable hydrocarbons through Fischer–Tropsch using the produced syngas. As shown in eqn (7), the RWGS reaction is an endothermic process with lower Gibbs energy than DRM. Although more expensive H₂ is used, the RWGS process has fewer side reactions than DRM. The integrated CO₂ capture and RWGS (ICCU-RWGS) process possesses the potential opportunity to directly produce pure syngas from flue gas.

$$CO₂ + H₂ = CO + H₂O \quad ΔH_{298 \text{ K}} = +41.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

4.1 Influence of process parameters on ICCU-RWGS

In this section, several key parameters, including temperature, CO₂ concentration and the presence of contaminants, are reviewed for ICCU-RWGS. As shown in Fig. 7b, Luis et al. reported that a higher temperature improved both CO₂ conversion and CO selectivity owing to the endothermic properties of the reaction.
RWGS. A similar trend in relation to the influence of temperature was also reported by Jo et al. using Ni/CoO DFMs. Shao et al. analyzed the temperature-programmed desorption of ICCU-RWGS using a Fe₃Co₄Mg₁₀CaO DFM and found that CO could be generated at 550 °C, which was earlier than CO₂ release. Within a certain temperature range (<650 °C), operating ICCU-RWGS at a higher temperature could generate more CO due to the release of more CO₂. However, too fast CO₂ release rate at high temperatures could reduce its conversion to CO.

O₂ and H₂O are present in flue gas and affect the performance of ICCU-RWGS. Luis et al. investigated the influence of the presence of O₂ or H₂O on ICCU-RWGS using FeCrCu/K/hydratolite DFMs (Fig. 7a). The realistic conditions resulted in a poorer ICCU-RWGS performance owing to the deactivation of active sites (e.g. by surface oxidation or adsorption). The presence of H₂O could suppress ICCU-RWGS by competitively adsorbing CO₂ and affect RWGS equilibrium. Compared with the presence of H₂O, the existing O₂ has a more negative impact on ICCU-RWGS. The presence of O₂ would significantly decrease CO₂ conversion owing to the oxidation of catalytic sites.

The CO₂ concentration could affect not only the performance of CO₂ adsorption, but also the following RWGS process. With the increase of CO₂ concentration from 5.8% to 9.5%, the efficiency of CO₂ capture and CO₂ conversion slightly decreased at temperatures under 450 °C, i.e. from 100% to 98% and from 80% to 67%, respectively. In contrast, CO selectivity was increased from 90% to 92%.

4.2 Development of DFM progress for ICCU-RWGS

4.2.1 Adsorbents in DFMs for ICCU-RWGS. CaO, a cheap and abundant adsorbent with excellent CO₂ capacity, has been widely used in ICCU-RWGS due to its mature application in calcium chemical looping. In order to alleviate the sintering of CaO in cyclic adsorption and desorption, constructing a porous structure or better dispersion helps to improve the cycle performance of the adsorbent. The sol–gel method is a simple and effective way to synthesize porous materials, and was applied by Shao et al. and Sun et al.

In addition, introducing materials with high thermal stability also helps to limit excessive sintering of CaO. Sun et al. reported that introducing CeO₂ as a physical barrier retarded the sintering of CaO. Similarly, Shao et al. achieved impressive cycle stability (no decrease after 10 cycles) by adding MgO into the DFMs.

Apart from the widely used CaO, hydrotalcite could also be a potential adsorbent, due to its structural stability at high temperatures. DFMs using hydrotalcite as an adsorbent showed excellent stability (stable after 750 cycles).

4.2.2 Catalysts in DFMs for ICCU-RWGS. RWGS is a popular research topic due to its potential industrial application in C1 chemistry. As shown in Fig. 8, noble metals (Pt, Ru, Au, and Rh), and Fe-based, Ni-based, Cu-based, and Co-based metals are the most popular catalysts. It can be clearly observed that RWGS is favored at a higher reaction temperature. However, as shown in Fig. 8, there are obvious equilibrium limitations that limit the possibility of obtaining syngas with high purity from traditional RWGS. ICCU-RWGS can be the solution to facilitate the application of RWGS. Due to the unique reaction mechanism of ICCU, RWGS can be carried out at high hydrogen concentration, making it possible to obtain a high CO₂ conversion and produce high-purity syngas.

In the existing ICCU-RWGS research work, transition metal-based catalysts have shown excellent catalytic performance. Shao et al. applied bimetallic Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ and Co³⁺/Co²⁺ redox couples in a hierarchical porous CaO/MgO composite and achieved an excellent ICCU-RWGS performance. The bimetallic couples significantly lowered the electric potential difference of Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ through the newly formed Fermi level in Fe₂O₃/MgO/FeCaO which made the electron spillover easier to improve the catalytic activity. It was suggested that the same content of Fe and Co could achieve optimal ICCU-RWGS performance. The authors also proposed that Fe²⁺ was the active catalytic site, whereas Co acted as the catalytic promoter in Fe₄Co₄Mg₁₀CaO DFMs. The catalytic process is as follows: firstly, CO₂ was catalytically reduced to CO by magnetite (Fe₃O₄). Secondly, hematite (Fe₂O₃) was regenerated by H₂ with Co as the promoter. The well-dispersed Fe can ensure the continuous and efficient process of this catalytic process. Luis et al. synthesized FeCrCu/K/MgO-Al₂O₃ DFMs for ICCU-RWGS under realistic conditions, and the key functions of Cu and K were suggested for efficient CO₂ reduction and capture, respectively.

Supports can also play key roles in the catalytic process by promoting and stabilizing active catalytic sites. Sun et al. synthesized Ni–CeO₂/CoO DFMs and achieved almost 100% CO selectivity, 51.8% CO₂ conversion and remarkable cycle stability after 20 cycles of ICCU. The oxygen vacancies and interaction between Ni and CeO₂ were believed to play key roles in promoting ICCU-RWGS. It was suggested that the formed interaction would effectively retard the agglomeration of NiO.

![Fig. 8 CO₂ conversion of RWGS and integrated CO₂ capture and RWGS](image-url)
5. Progress in low temperature ICCU

The widely applied CO₂ capture (i.e. MEA adsorption and calcium looping) and utilization (i.e. CO₂ methanation and RWGS) consume a large amount of energy for sorbent regeneration and CO₂ conversion. To overcome the drawbacks of the above processes, many researchers paid attention to photo-, electro- and plasma-promoted catalytic processes. The integrated process can achieve promising performance under more mild conditions (ambient temperature and pressure) with the introduction of photo, electronic or plasma energy.

The traditional CO₂ capture and utilization process can achieve excellent process efficiency. However, it needs either high temperature (>500 °C) or high pressure (>20 bar). Furthermore, these processes required relatively expensive reducing agents (i.e. H₂ and CH₄) to convert CO₂. Alternatively, photo-catalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O has emerged as a promising option. Hybrid MgAl(LDO)/TiO₂, NH₂-Uio-66/TiO₂, Mg(OH)₂/CuO/Cu₂O etc. are applied in integrated CO₂ capture and utilization and achieved promising results. Imidazolium ionic liquids, imidazolylidene heterocyclic carbones, and zeolitic imidazol frameworks are also commonly used materials to realize this process. Integrated CO₂ capture and photo-catalytic conversion provide a sustainable solution for CO₂ emission reduction and utilization, which faces challenges in the improvement of catalytic efficiency.

The electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) to produce valuable chemicals with renewable energy inputs is an attractive route to convert intermittent green energy sources. The CO₂RR remains a huge challenge due to multiple proton and electron transfer processes and the chemical inertness of CO₂ molecules. Therefore, many researchers developed various finely designed materials to improve the efficiency of CO₂ conversion. For example, Jiang et al. applied a wet chemistry and pyrolysis method to synthesize Sb SA/NC and achieved efficient formate production (faradaic efficiency of 94.0% at −0.8 V vs. RHE). Recently, Lee et al. achieved an electrochemical upgrade of CO₂ from amine capture solution, promising progress in large-scale application of integrated CO₂ capture and electro-catalytic conversion. Electrocatalysis has a very high energy utilization efficiency. The possible drawbacks are the high requirements for the purity of the reactants to avoid the poisoning effect of impurities on the catalysts and equipment.

Non-thermal plasma (NTP) catalyzed CO₂ conversion has also become a promising method to significantly reduce the reaction temperature because plasma can activate CO₂ at room temperatures and atmospheric pressure. In addition to DFM containing adsorbents and catalysts, the membrane reactor is also gaining researchers’ attention. Chen et al. realized integrated CO₂ membrane separation and NTP CO₂ conversion with 91.8% carbon capture efficiency and 71.7% carbon utilization efficiency. This integrated process was also verified by Li et al. ICCU with NTP can achieve excellent reaction efficiency and scalable CO₂ throughput. However, energy consumption related to NTP-ICCU needs to be particularly investigated.

Nowadays, low-temperature ICCU has gradually become a promising direction, and many finely designed materials are used in ICCU under mild conditions and have achieved excellent catalytic performance. CO₂ reduction and neutralization should deal with a concentrated CO₂ in industry (i.e. power plants and cement factories), which requires high CO₂ throughput. High material costs may not be suitable for large-scale processing, and the resistance to impurities in the CO₂ sources will also determine the availability of related CCU technologies.

6. Conclusions and prospects

In this paper, we have critically reviewed the state-of-the-art progress in integrated high temperature CO₂ capture and catalytic conversion, including CO₂ methanation, DRM and RWGS, from the perspective of process parameters and catalytic materials. This process can not only decrease the overall CO₂ utilization cost by eliminating CO₂ enrichment and transportation, but also achieve outstanding CO₂ conversion performance owing to the reducing agent rich conditions. However, there are several research gaps in this field that need to be addressed. For example, comprehensive considerations from the perspective of engineering are needed, including process design, and economic and technical analysis. The energy consumption for high temperature operations is noteworthy. Therefore, developing low-cost materials that can process ICCU at lower temperatures is promising. Furthermore, more research is needed for the development of dual functional catalysts for application in ICCU, including an in-depth understanding of the synergies between catalysts and adsorbents in addition to reaction intermediates.

For ICCU-methanation, an intermediate process temperature (300 °C) shows better catalytic activity and CH₄ selectivity. Ni-based DFM can achieve excellent ICCU-methanation performance only in the absence of O₂. The noble metal-based DFM can obtain impressive ICCU performance, however, with inevitable high cost. The spillover of CO₂ from adsorbents to catalytic sites is a key step for ICCU-methanation, which can be promoted by the interactions between adsorbents and catalysts. More research on the interactions within DFM is necessary for a better understanding of the ICCU-methanation process and effective catalyst design. Furthermore, an economic evaluation will guide the development of ICCU-methanation.

For ICCU-DRM, a high temperature (>500 °C) is necessary to promote reactions. Therefore, both CaO and Ni are applicable and affordable. The reaction time is a critical parameter to control the coke formation in DFM and optimize the H₂/CO ratio of syngas in ICCU-DRM. The sintering of CaO and active metals at high temperatures is usually the main reason for the decrease of catalytic activity owing to the coverage of active sites and agglomeration of metals. The environmentally unfriendly CO generated from the coke in DFM in the adsorption step is also worthy of attention.

ICCU-RWGS is a promising integrated process owing to its lower Gibbs energy and excellent selectivity of syngas. The
ICCU-RWGS process shows great potential for surpassing the equilibrium limitation of CO\(_2\) conversion in traditional RWGS. The interaction among different components within DFMs plays multifunctional roles in ICCU-RWGS, including prohibiting the sintering of adsorbents, dispersing active metals and providing active sites. Apart from the investigation on the interaction within DFMs, the H\(_2\)/CO ratio of syngas from ICCU-RWGS also deserves more attention.

In conclusion, CO\(_2\) capture and in situ catalytic conversion are still in their infancy. Reducing CO\(_2\) emissions is not necessarily a high-cost industry. In contrast, it is possible to integrate the abundant research on CO\(_2\) capture and conversion and provide a solution for an urgent environmental need. The integration of CO\(_2\) capture and utilization can also be expanded to other processes, including producing methanol or other valuable C\(_2\)+ chemicals. By producing more valuable chemicals, ICCU has the potential to be profitably accompanied by CO\(_2\) emission reduction.
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