Acid-labile protein-adducted heterocyclic aromatic amines in human blood are not viable biomarkers of dietary exposure: a systematic study
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Abstract

Heterocyclic aromatic amines (HCA) are carcinogenic mutagens formed during cooking of protein-rich foods. HCA residues adducted to blood proteins have been postulated as biomarkers of HCA exposure. However, the viability of quantifying HCAs following hydrolytic release from adducts in vivo and correlation with dietary intake are unproven. To definitively assess the potential of labile HCA-protein adducts as biomarkers, a highly sensitive UPLC-MS/MS method was validated for four major HCAs: 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP), 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx), 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (4,8-DiMeIQx) and 2-amino-3,7,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (7,8-DiMeIQx). Limits of detection were 1-5 pg/ml plasma and recoveries 91-115%. Efficacy of hydrolysis was demonstrated by HCA-protein adducts synthesised in vitro. Plasma and 7-day food diaries were collected from 122 fasting adults consuming their habitual diets. Estimated HCA intakes ranged from 0-2.5 mg/day. An extensive range of hydrolysis conditions was examined for release of adducted HCAs in plasma. HCA was detected in only one sample (PhIP, 9.7 pg/ml), demonstrating conclusively for the first time that acid-labile HCA adducts do not reflect dietary HCA intake and are present at such low concentrations that they are not feasible biomarkers of exposure. Identification of biomarkers remains important. The search should concentrate on stabilised HCA-peptide markers and use of untargeted proteomic and metabolomic approaches.
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1. Introduction

Heterocyclic aromatic amines (HCA) are formed during combustion of tobacco and cooking of protein-rich foods, particularly meat and fish, which provide creatin(in)e and other precursors such as amino acids, sugars or other aldehydes (Cheng et al., 2006; Puangsombat et al., 2012; Aaslyng et al., 2013). 2-Amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo(4,5-b)pyridine (PhilP), 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MelQx) and 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (4,8-DiMelQx) are three of the most abundant HCAs in cooked meat and fish (Lynch et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 2013) although many others have been identified (Ni et al., 2008). Their formation in the parts-per-billion concentration range on the surface of food is highly dependent upon the type of food and the method and degree of cooking, making estimation of HCA dietary exposure difficult (Alexander et al., 2002). HCAs have been extensively studied; particularly their production, metabolism (Turesky et al., 2002), formation of adducts with DNA (Schut and Snyderwine, 1999) and protein (Peng et al., 2012), their quantification (Murkovic, 2011) and implications for human health. There is strong evidence from animal models (Adamson et al., 1990; Shirai et al., 1997; Paulsen et al., 1999) and in vitro studies (Gooderham et al., 2007) of the carcinogenic and mutagenic properties of HCAs. Although several case-control studies in humans have reported positive associations between consumption of well-done red meat (assumed to contain elevated HCA concentrations) and incidence of colon, breast, lung and gastric cancers (Sinha, 2002), evidence correlating HCA dietary intake and cancers can be equivocal (Sugimara et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2013).

To overcome limitations of estimating HCA intake by food frequency questionnaires, direct measurement of in vivo biomarkers of HCA exposure is desirable. Whilst urine HCA metabolic profiles have been described (Holland et al., 2004), urinary biomarkers are transient, representing only daily HCA exposure and allowing intermittent intake to go undetected (Turesky and Le Marchand, 2011). Long-term biomarkers of HCA exposure are still needed for use as internal dosimeters in epidemiological studies. As with many environmental and dietary toxicants (Rappaport et al., 2012), blood protein adducts have been postulated as potential biomarkers. HCAs are subject to in vivo metabolic activation via N-hydroxylation of their exocyclic amine groups. The resulting reactive intermediates bind to cysteine residues of proteins via sulfinamide linkages (Peng and Turesky, 2014; Chepanoske et al., 2004). The arylsulfinamide bonds formed between HCAs and cysteine in
haemoglobin (Hb-Cys$^{93}$) and serum albumin (HSA-Cys$^{34}$) are known to be labile, undergoing hydrolysis under conditions commonly used to digest proteins. This labile characteristic has been used to monitor exposure to other carcinogenic aromatic amines following hydrolytic release of the intact compound from haemoglobin adducts (Gan et al., 2004).

Several studies have demonstrated the liberation of HCAs from protein or DNA adducts and their subsequent quantification as the intact HCA. However, these are almost exclusively studies of in vitro HCA-adducts created using liver microsome systems (Lynch et al., 1991) or conjugation using reactive intermediates (Peng and Turesky, 2011; Wang et al., 2015). Furthermore, some studies are applied to cell cultures (Crosbie et al., 2000) or extraction procedures from plasma (Lezamiz et al., 2008) or proteins (Busquets et al., 2006) fortified with free HCAs but not to incurred samples containing known HCA-protein adducts. The concentration of adducts in such in vitro studies may be significantly greater than would be expected in vivo, and the efficacy of hydrolysis procedures to release protein-adducted HCAs is unknown in those studies which employ only fortification with free HCAs. Only one study (Magagnotti et al., 2000) has claimed to quantify an HCA adducted to blood proteins following its release by hydrolysis from the blood of human subjects consuming normal diets. Magagnotti observed 1.5 pg PhIP/mg HSA (equivalent to approximately 70 pg PhIP/ml plasma) in meat-eating participants after mild acid hydrolysis and liquid-liquid extraction followed by immunoaffinity chromatography clean-up. PhIP concentrations ten-fold lower were detected in vegetarian participants. PhIP derived from haemoglobin adducts was half that observed from HSA adducts. The fact that this study has not been reproduced in the following years suggests that release and quantification of protein-adducted HCAs is problematic. The lack of literature concerning labile HCA adducts and the focus of recent studies on HCA-DNA adducts and characterisation of stable HCA-peptide adducts support this idea. However, the candidacy of labile HCA-protein adducts as biomarkers of HCA exposure has not been conclusively ruled out or, it would appear, subjected to systematic study. PhIP-albumin adducts, in particular, continue to be proposed as potential biomarkers (Turesky and Le Marchand, 2011). The current study aims to conclusively assess the suitability of labile HCA adducts in plasma as biomarkers of dietary HCA exposure by employing high sensitivity mass spectrometry, in vitro HCA-protein control adducts to evaluate efficacy of hydrolysis, and a cohort of human blood samples accompanied by detailed HCA dietary intake information collected in a cross-sectional study of participants consuming their habitual diets.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Reference standards 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP), 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx), 2-amino-3,4,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (4,8-DiMeIQx), 2-amino-3,7,8-trimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (7,8-DiMeIQx) and deuterium labelled internal standards (I.S.) D3-PhIP, D3-MeIQx and D3-4,8-DiMeIQx (each isotopically labelled at the methyl group at the N-1 position of the imidazo ring) were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada). Mixed standard solutions prepared in LCMS grade methanol were stored at 4°C. Human liver microsomes (50 donor pool) were obtained from Invitrogen Ltd (Paisley, UK), recombinant human sulfotransferase 1A1 from US Biological (Swampscott, MA, USA), and NADPH regenerating system from BD Biosciences (Oxford, UK). Unless stated, all other chemicals, including human haemoglobin (H7379) and human serum albumin (A3782) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK). Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassettes and Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, IL, USA). Oasis MCX strong cation exchange solid phase extraction cartridges (1 ml, 30 mg) were obtained from Waters Corporation (Milford, MA, USA).

2.2. Cross-sectional study

2.2.1. Participants and food diaries

Healthy cross-sectional study participants, recruited via poster and email advertisement and through word-of-mouth, were given full study information and screened for eligibility. Those under 18 years were excluded, as were smokers, due to potential exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Eligible participants provided written, informed consent. They received 7-day food diaries and detailed instructions on recording their dietary intake, with particular emphasis on describing cooking methods and ‘doneness’ level of any meat consumed. Participants recorded their dietary intake for seven consecutive days and returned within two days of completing their diary. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences Research Ethics Committee of Queen’s University Belfast.
2.2.2. Blood collection

Participants fasted (water only allowed) for at least 12 h prior to attending the cross-sectional study visit to ensure removal of free circulating HCAs from plasma. Participants provided a 50ml blood sample, collected in anticoagulant plasma tubes and serum tubes, by staff trained in venepuncture. Serum tubes were allowed to coagulate at room temperature for 1 h while plasma tubes were refrigerated. Serum and plasma were obtained by centrifugation, buffy coat being discarded from plasma tubes. Serum and plasma were aliquotted and stored at -80°C for long-term storage and at -20°C during the analysis phase.

2.2.3. Food diary review

Completed food diaries were reviewed by a member of the research team asking participants to confirm the food portions they recorded using a photographic Portion Size Atlas (Nelson et al., 2002). Participants were also asked to clarify the cooking methods used and to describe the ‘doneness’ level of any meat consumed by matching it to a series of published colour-printed ‘meat doneness’ pictures, including beef burgers, bacon and steak (Sinha et al., 1998a; 1998b), and steak ‘doneness’ pictures produced by the research team and described elsewhere (Chan et al., 2013). The additional information obtained by this review of food diaries improved the accuracy of the estimated HCA dietary intake.

2.2.4. Food diary analyses

Dietary HCA intake was determined by analysing information from the self-reported food diaries using the dietary analysis program WISP v3.0 (Tinuviel, UK). Certain food items in WISP are available to select under various food codes which differ by cooking method. The database was updated, for the purposes of this study, with additional meat food codes based on cooking methods and varying levels of ‘doneness’. Nutrient data within WISP were also updated to include values for the commonly consumed HCAs (MeIQx, DiMeIQx and PhIP) assigned to the newly updated food codes and cooking methods. Information on HCA content was obtained from the CHARRED online database (US National Cancer Institute, 2015) and a second published database (Alaejos and Afonso, 2011). HCA values were applied directly to ‘meat only’ food codes and, for composite dishes, were calculated from
disaggregated meat content using published data (Fitt et al., 2009) or estimated from average percentage meat content in commercial dishes available from UK supermarkets. Meat 'doneness' level for composite dishes was assumed to be 'well done' for the present analyses. Consequently, mean total HCA intake (ng/day) and intake of the individual HCAs (MeIQx, DiMeIQx and PhIP) were estimated from detailed self-reported information on type, amount, cooking method and 'doneness' of meat consumed.

2.3. Preparation and characterisation of in vitro HCA-protein adducts

To act as positive controls in the analysis of HCA-protein adducts, PhIP and MeIQx were conjugated in vitro to human serum albumin and haemoglobin using human hepatic microsomes essentially as described by Lynch et al. (1993). Human microsomes were selected over the more commonly used rodent microsomes because significant species differences in catalytic activity and regioselectivity of HCA metabolism have been shown and adducts which closely mimic the structures formed by human metabolism in vivo were required for this study (Turesky et al., 2002; Cheung et al., 2005). Proteins (10 mg) were mixed with HCA (25 µg), human liver microsomes (50 µl) and a NADPH regenerating system (100 µl NADP+ solution A and 20 µl enzyme solution B) in a total volume of 1 ml Tris-HCl buffer (50mM, pH 7.6). Reagents were mixed in a 37°C shaking water bath for 18 h then centrifuged at 100 000 g for 1 h at 4°C. Supernatants were diluted with 3 ml deionised water before exhaustive dialysis against saline (4 L changed seven times over 72 h) to remove unbound HCAs. The inclusion in the microsomal reaction mixture of a Phase II enzyme, sulphotransferase-1A1 (with adenosine 3-phosphate 5-phosphosulfate), was investigated but no increase in adduction of PhIP to HSA was evident. Negative control reactions, omitting the liver microsomes, were also conducted to demonstrate that HCA adduction was via covalent binding following enzymatic metabolism rather than non-specific adsorption to the proteins. Total protein concentrations were determined by bicinchoninic acid colourimetric test using protein-specific standard curves. Concentrations of acid-labile HCAs adducted to proteins were determined by LC-MS/MS as described below following acid hydrolysis (0.8M HCl at 80°C for 2 h) and liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl acetate.
2.4. Extraction and quantification of HCAs from blood

A highly sensitive method was developed and validated for the hydrolysis, extraction and quantification by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry of HCAs in human blood.

2.4.1. Adduct hydrolysis methods

A pooled plasma sample was prepared from 13 cross-sectional study participants in the highest tertile of HCA intake based on food diaries described below. The estimated mean daily HCA intake associated with this pooled sample was 1.2 µg HCA/day. Nine acid and alkaline hydrolysis conditions were assessed for release of protein-adducted HCAs from this pooled plasma prior to extraction and quantification as described below. Conditions were based on literature reports of HCA hydrolysis from cooked foods, hair and in vitro blood protein and DNA adducts (Table 1).

2.4.2. HCA extraction method

An unusually large plasma sample size (1 ml) was used to maximise method sensitivity. Plasma samples, in 50ml polypropylene centrifuge tubes, were fortified with internal standards (D3-PhIP, D3-MelQx and D3-4,8-DiMeIQx) at 2 pg/ml and hydrolysed with 10 ml of acid or alkali in a shaking water bath for between 1 and 18 h as listed in Table 1. Acid hydrolysed samples were adjusted to pH 11.5 ± 0.5 with 10M NaOH. Double liquid-liquid extraction was performed using 9 ml and 8 ml LCMS grade ethyl acetate (mixing for 60 sec, centrifuge 1500 g for 10 min). Combined supernatant was reduced to approximately 5 ml under nitrogen in a 45°C water bath then acidified with 10 µl glacial acetic acid. Extracts were applied to MCX cation exchange solid phase extraction cartridges previously conditioned with 1 ml each of 5% aqueous ammonia in methanol, 2% acetic acid in methanol, and 100% methanol. Loaded columns were washed with 1 ml each of 40mM HCl in methanol, 100% methanol, and 2% aqueous ammonia in 15% methanol, then eluted with 1 ml of 5% aqueous ammonia in methanol (Holland et al., 2004). Eluates were evaporated to dryness at 55°C under nitrogen, reconstituted in 100 µl of 30mM ammonium formate pH 9.5 in 20% acetonitrile and transferred to 2 ml HPLC microvials containing 200 µl glass inserts.

2.4.3. HCA quantification by LC-MS/MS
HCAs were separated on a BEH C18 1.7 µm column (50 × 2.1 mm) and Acquity UPLC® binary pump system and detected by Xevo TQS tandem mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK). Instrument settings and LC gradient were as published previously (Cooper et al., 2014). Injection volume was increased to 7 ml to maximise method sensitivity. HCA fragmentation transitions are listed in Table 2. Deuterium labelled internal standards were included in all samples prior to extraction and in solvent calibration standards to improve quantification by compensating for extraction efficiency and matrix ion suppression effects. However, D3-PhIP was omitted from quantification of PhIP due to an interfering peak eluting at the same retention time in acid hydrolysed plasma samples. HCA identification was based on peak retention time and the presence of both a primary (quantitative) ion transition peak and a secondary (confirmatory) peak, the ratio of which had to agree to within fixed tolerances of the corresponding ratio in standards (Cooper et al., 2014). Confidence in identification of an analyte is crucial when quantifying a low concentration biomarker, so strict ion ratio tolerances were applied (±30% for PhIP, 25% for MelIQx, 20% for 4,8-and 7,8-DiMeIQx) based on guidance in European Commission Decision 2002/657 for the analytical performance of methods in the veterinary pharmaceuticals field. While this document is not directly applicable to natural carcinogens such as HCAs, it is the opinion of the authors that similar identification criteria should be applied to analysis of suspected carcinogens whenever possible to avoid misidentification, particularly in methods involving acid hydrolysis which increases chromatogram background noise.

2.4.4. LC-MS/MS method validation

The analytical method employing the representative hydrolysis protocol described below was validated using a pool of nominally blank plasma obtained from participants with the lowest HCA intake based on their 7-day food diaries. Seven aliquots of pooled plasma were fortified with HCAs at 10 pg/ml, extracted and quantified as described above. This was repeated on three days to enable calculation of intra- and inter-assay variations (% RSD). Limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were calculated based on signal-to-noise (s/n) ratios of the least intense transition peak for each HCA, using unsmoothed peaks (LOD s/n >3, LOQ s/n >10). In vitro HCA-protein adducts were used as positive controls to prove the efficacy of the hydrolysis and extraction procedures. Blank plasma was fortified with in vitro adducts at 3-20 pg/ml based on the measured acid-labile HCAs incorporated in the adducts (Table 3).
3. Results

3.1. Characterisation of in vitro HCA-protein adducts

Incorporation of acid-labile HCAs into human blood proteins following in vitro incubation with human hepatic microsomes is shown in Table 3. HCAs released by acid hydrolysis (0.8M HCl at 80°C for 2 h) and liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl acetate ranged from 6.5 to 23.5 ng HCA/mg protein.

3.2. LC-MS/MS method validation

LC-MS/MS chromatograms illustrating the quantitative and confirmatory transition peaks for each of the four HCAs fortified into plasma at 5 pg/ml prior to acid hydrolysis and extraction are shown in Figure 1. Analytical method validation data are listed in Table 4. Assay performance was acceptable with all RSDs below 10%, recovery of fortified HCAs ranging from 91-116% and limits of detection ranging from 1-5 pg/ml plasma. PhIP LOD was higher than other HCAs due to the low intensity of its confirmatory peak (Figure 1). Solvent calibration curve linearity was typically $r^2 > 0.98$ over the range equivalent to 1-30 pg/ml plasma. The efficacy of the validated hydrolysis and extraction protocol was demonstrated by fortifying blank plasma with HCA-protein adducts synthesised in vitro. Recovery of HCAs adducted to HSA and Hb was on average 65% for PhIP and 114% for MeIQx based on acid-labile HCAs incorporated in the adducts (Table 3).

3.3. Hydrolysis method selection

None of the four HCAs was observed and confirmed in the plasma pool of high intake participants following any of the nine hydrolysis conditions described (Table 1). In order to analyse a wider range of individual plasmas from the highest HCA participants, a representative hydrolysis protocol was selected. It was observed that use of 6M HCl or incubation at 95°C resulted in higher background noise in chromatograms, potentially masking small HCA peaks. Hydrolysis using 2M HCl for 1h at 80°C was chosen as the representative method (Busquets et al., 2006), supported by an earlier observation of a low concentration PhIP peak (confirmatory peak not visible) in a single plasma sample under similar conditions.
3.4. Cross-sectional study

Blood samples and completed 7-day food diaries were obtained from 122 participants. Participants were categorised into tertiles based on estimated total HCA dietary intake (Table 5) which ranged from zero to 2.5 µg/d.

3.5. Survey of acid-labile HCAs in plasma of high HCA intake participants

Plasma from 35 participants with the highest HCA intakes (estimated 0.7-2.5 µg HCA/day) were tested for acid-labile HCA concentration using the representative hydrolysis protocol. Only one sample was confirmed to contain an acid-labile HCA above the analytical limits of detection: PhIP at 9.7 pg/ml plasma from a participant with estimated HCA intake of 0.9 µg/day. Serum from the same participant contained acid-labile PhIP at 8.1 pg/ml. The paucity of acid-labile HCAs led us to revisit the sample preparation method of Magagnotti et al. (2000) who isolated serum albumin by precipitation before hydrolysis with 0.1M HCl for 1h at 80°C followed by liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl acetate and clean up by immunoaffinity chromatography (as opposed to solid phase extraction used in the current study). We purified 75mg albumin from a pool of serum from high HCA intake participants (mean intake 1.8 µg/d) using ammonium sulphate/acetic acid precipitation before hydrolysis under Magagnotti’s conditions and extraction by our described method. No acid-labile HCAs were detected in this purified albumin sample.

4. Discussion

Residues of HCAs adducted to blood proteins have been postulated for some years as potential medium-term biomarkers of carcinogenic HCA exposure (Peng and Turesky, 2011; Magagnotti et al., 2000; Dingley et al., 1999). However, the viability of quantifying HCAs following their release from such adducts in vivo has not been proven in people consuming diets encompassing the normal range of HCA intakes. To act as meaningful biomarkers, HCAs released from blood proteins must correlate with the known HCA exposure of the individual – primarily their dietary intake in non-smokers. To this end, blood samples were collected from 122 participants along with detailed food intake diaries, which demonstrated estimated HCA dietary intakes ranging from zero to 2.5 µg/d. A highly sensitive liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method was developed and validated for the major
HCAs in plasma. An extensive range of hydrolysis conditions was examined for the release of adducted HCAs in plasma from participants with the highest HCA intakes. A HCA (PhIP at 9.7 pg/ml) was detected in only one plasma sample (participant HCA intake 0.9 µg/d), demonstrating conclusively, to our knowledge for the first time, that acid-labile HCA-blood protein adducts do not reflect dietary HCA intake and are present at such low concentrations that they are not suitable biomarkers of dietary exposure to HCAs.

In vitro control adducts of HCAs in blood proteins were prepared by incubation with human hepatic microsomes. Acid-labile MeIQx in albumin was higher by an estimated factor of five than observed by Lynch et al. (1993) in a similar system, presumably due to our longer reaction time and greater excess of starting MeIQx, since Lynch demonstrated in vitro adduction was time and concentration dependent. Lynch et al. (1993) also demonstrated that in vitro MeIQx adducts were approximately twice as likely to be hydrolysed to intact MeIQx from haemoglobin than from albumin adducts. This was not evident for MeIQx in the current study, but was seen with PhIP adducts. It is generally thought that total HCA adduction to albumin in vivo is substantially greater than to haemoglobin (Turesky and Le Marchand, 2011); however, one study (Magagnotti et al., 2000) demonstrated acid labile PhIP-Hb adducts to be only two-fold lower than albumin adducts. Our protein adducts prepared in vitro contain HCAs at concentrations approximately four orders of magnitude greater than PhIP-protein adducts described in vivo in the few studies which have quantified albumin or haemoglobin adducts in people consuming conventional diets (Magagnotti et al., 2000) or dietary-relevant doses of radiolabelled PhIP (Dingley et al., 1999). This high level of adduction is similar to that reported for in vitro adduction of reactive metabolites of PhIP to albumin (Peng and Turesky, 2011).

The analytical method applied in this study (adduct hydrolysis, HCA extraction by liquid-liquid extraction, clean-up by solid phase extraction and quantification by UPLC-MS/MS) was demonstrated to be fit-for-purpose by several means. Firstly, validation of the method using plasma repeatedly fortified with HCAs and isotopically labelled internal standards at low concentrations demonstrated satisfactory assay performance (analyte recovery, reproducibility and repeatability) and excellent sensitivity, limits of detection ranging from 1 to 5 pg HCA per ml plasma. Secondly, HCA-protein adducts were synthesised in vitro and used to demonstrate the method was capable of releasing intact HCAs by hydrolysis and extracting them quantitatively from plasma at low parts-per-trillion
concentrations. Thirdly, the widest range of HCA hydrolysis conditions yet published was examined, encompassing, but not limited to, published conditions from diverse in vitro and in vivo HCA-adduct studies. While the HCA-protein literature concentrates on acidic hydrolysis conditions, we also included selected alkaline conditions (previously applied to hydrolysis of DNA and melanin adducts of HCAs) to give the broadest opportunity for liberation of protein-bound HCAs. Fourthly, the finding of PhIP in a single plasma sample demonstrated the method was capable of detecting acid-labile PhIP in participants with normal dietary intakes.

Confidence in the identification of analytes is crucial when measuring low concentrations of compounds in complex biological matrices, particularly compounds such as HCAs which share common structures and fragmentation patterns, and samples undergoing hydrolysis which increases chromatogram background noise. The presence of a second fragmentation peak to confirm an analyte’s identity should be a prerequisite in such analyses, although this is not always the case in the published literature of HCAs. The current study insisted on the presence of secondary peaks and included these in the calculation of limits of detection. Furthermore, the ratio of confirmatory to primary quantitation peaks (ion ratio) was monitored in every sample to ensure agreement with the same ratio in calibration standards.

Magagnotti et al. (2000) is currently the only study to have quantified a HCA (PhIP) following hydrolysis of blood proteins from humans consuming conventional diets. They observed 1.5 pg PhIP/mg HSA (equivalent to approximately 70 pg PhIP/ml plasma) in meat-eating participants after mild acid hydrolysis of 100 mg purified albumin, and PhIP concentrations ten-fold lower in vegetarian participants. The methodology described in the current study is easily capable of measuring such quantities, with HCA limits of detection from 1 to 5 pg/ml plasma. It should be highlighted that Magagnotti et al. (2000) did not monitor a secondary peak for PhIP, which could cast doubt on the identification of this analyte. The secondary fragment of PhIP (m/z 225.2>183.2) yields a peak approximately ten-fold less intense than the primary peak used to quantify the compound. Magagnotti reported a PhIP limit of detection similar to that of our current study but without the presence of this confirmatory peak. Thus, our method is capable of confirming the presence of HCAs in plasma to a significantly greater degree of certainty. To our knowledge the work of Magagnotti has not been reproduced in the scientific literature. The relative silence in the literature concerning validated HCA-protein biomarkers, which have been discussed for over two decades, suggests that other
researchers have been unable to build on the promise of this study. The identification and validation of a labile HCA-protein biomarker is undoubtedly hampered by evidence that less than 10% of material derived from HCAs and bound to protein in vivo can be hydrolysed to the intact HCA (Lynch et al., 1993; Turesky et al., 1987).

A review of potential HCA biomarkers by Prof Robert Turesky et al. (2011) stated that “the inefficient binding of HCAs to haemoglobin will probably preclude the development of HCA-Hb adducts as biomarkers in humans”, and “…it is unlikely that the sulfonamide adduct of MeIQx with human serum albumin can be used as a dosimeter for human…exposure.” Prof Turesky continued, saying “further investigations on the implementation of PhIP blood protein adducts in human population studies are warranted.” In the opinion of the authors, the current study provides conclusive proof for the first time, using state-of-the-art mass spectrometry, that blood plasma protein adducts of both PhIP and MeIQx, even if they are present in significant concentrations in people consuming normal diets, are insufficiently acid-labile to yield intact HCAs which may act as biomarkers of HCA exposure.

Recent HCA biomarker studies have focused on detection and characterization of HCA-DNA adducts (Tang et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2001) which reflect the actual detrimental impact of these carcinogens at the genomic level rather than the indicative harm represented by protein adducts. Other studies are aiming to detect HCA-peptide adducts following proteolysis by stabilising the adduct’s arylsulfonamide bond via oxidation to an arylsulfonamide bond which is resistant to acid, heat and reducing agents commonly used in proteolytic digestion (Peng et al., 2012; Peng and Turesky 2013; 2014). While characterization of such stabilised adducts is ongoing (Peng and Turesky, 2014), their existence has yet to be demonstrated in human blood in vivo. However, an alternative approach is also warranted. Markers of HCA status, or more general dietary factors such as red meat intake, may be revealed via untargeted proteomic, lipidomic or metabolomic analyses. Preliminary metabolomic studies of the cohort of blood samples collected in this study are currently underway at the Institute for Global Food Security at Queen’s University Belfast.

5. Conclusions
Carcinogenic and mutagenic heterocyclic aromatic amines are known to form adducts with blood proteins. The characterisation and use of such adducts as internal dosimeters in epidemiological studies of HCA exposure have been studied for many years without a clear candidate adduct being proven in vivo. The hydrolytic release from protein adducts of intact HCAs which distinguished between vegetarians and meat-eaters was demonstrated fifteen years ago (Magagnotti et al., 2000) but correlation with dietary HCA intake by this method has not been repeated since. The current study systematically assessed a range of hydrolysis conditions for release of HCAs from in vivo plasma adducts measured by validated mass spectrometry (limits of detection 1-5 pg/ml plasma). Food diaries from cross-sectional study participants demonstrated HCA intakes ranging from 0-2.5 µg/day. Despite sufficient assay sensitivity and use of positive control HCA-protein adducts synthesised in vitro, a HCA was detected in only one sample (PhIP, 9.7 pg/ml) demonstrating that acid-labile HCA adducts do not reflect dietary HCA intake as previously postulated and are present at such low concentrations that they are not viable biomarkers of HCA exposure. This conclusion is in keeping with the current direction of research in this area which aims to fully characterize the structure of HCA-protein adducts and to utilize stabilised HCA-peptide adducts as dosimeters following protein digestion.
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Highlights

- Sensitive LC-MS/MS method validated for carcinogenic food-derived heterocyclic aromatic amines in plasma (LoD 1-5 pg/ml).
- Extensive range of hydrolysis procedures demonstrated HCAs released from plasma protein adducts in only 1 of 122 samples.
- Acid-labile HCAs adducted to blood proteins are not viable biomarkers of HCA dietary exposure.
- Search for HCA biomarkers should concentrate on stabilised HCA-peptide markers and emerging untargeted -omic approaches.
## Tables

Table 1. Acid (hydrochloric acid, HCl) and alkaline (sodium hydroxide, NaOH) hydrolysis conditions assessed for release of protein-adducted HCAs from human plasma pooled from high HCA intake participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid / Alkali</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temp.(°C)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reference target analytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1M HCl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Magagnotti et al., 2000</td>
<td>PhIP/MeIQx in vivo HSA/Hb adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2M HCl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Peng &amp; Turesky, 2011</td>
<td>PhIP in vitro HSA adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5M HCl</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Lynch et al., 1991</td>
<td>MeIQx in vitro Hb adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M HCl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Busquets et al., 2006</td>
<td>PhIP in vitro fortified Hb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M HCl</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M HCl</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M HCl</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Kataoka et al., 2010</td>
<td>PhIP cooked meat/fish protein adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5M NaOH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Crosbie et al., 2000</td>
<td>PhIP in vitro cell culture DNA adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Bessette et al., 2009</td>
<td>PhIP in vivo hair melanin adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyte</td>
<td>( t_R ) (min)</td>
<td>Primary transition (m/z)</td>
<td>Confirmatory transition (m/z)</td>
<td>Collision energy (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhIP</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>225.2&gt;210.1</td>
<td>225.2&gt;183.2</td>
<td>26 / 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3-PhIP</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>228.2&gt;210.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeIQx</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>214.2&gt;199.1</td>
<td>214.2&gt;131.1</td>
<td>24 / 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3-MeIQx</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>217.1&gt;199.2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,8-DiMeIQx</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>228.2&gt;131.1</td>
<td>228.2&gt;213.1</td>
<td>36 / 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,8-DiMeIQx</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>228.2&gt;212.0</td>
<td>228.2&gt;213.1</td>
<td>35 / 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3-4,8-DiMeIQx</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>231.2&gt;213.1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Acid-labile heterocyclic aromatic amines incorporated into human proteins following in vitro incubation with human hepatic microsomes and exhaustive dialysis. HCAs were quantified by LC-MS/MS following acid hydrolysis and liquid-liquid extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCA</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>ng HCA/mg protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhIP</td>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhIP</td>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeIQx</td>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeIQx</td>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Assay validation parameters for the hydrolysis (2M HCl, 1h, 80°C), extraction and quantification by LC-MS/MS of HCAs fortified in human plasma at 10 pg/ml.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PhiP</th>
<th>MeIQx</th>
<th>7,8-DiMeIQx</th>
<th>4,8-DiMeIQx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean recovery (%)</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>103.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean within day RSD (%)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between day RSD (%)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection (pg/ml)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of quantification (pg/ml)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Internal standard corrected recovery, except for PhiP where d3-PhiP was omitted

*Relative Standard Deviation
Table 5. Cross-sectional study participants (n=122) categorised in tertiles by total dietary HCA intake (ng/day) estimated from 7-day food diaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HCA tertile 1 (n=41)</th>
<th>HCA tertile 2 (n=40)</th>
<th>HCA tertile 3 (n=41)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years, SD)</td>
<td>32.8 (9.5)</td>
<td>34.0 (13.4)</td>
<td>30.1 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, %)</td>
<td>18 (43.9)</td>
<td>16 (40.0)</td>
<td>13 (31.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n, %)</td>
<td>23 (56.1)</td>
<td>24 (60.0)</td>
<td>28 (68.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HCA intake (ng/d, SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeIQx</td>
<td>29.3 (30.0)</td>
<td>102.2 (55.8)</td>
<td>201.8 (104.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiMeIQx</td>
<td>12.9 (23.5)</td>
<td>18.4 (17.3)</td>
<td>44.2 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhIP</td>
<td>53.6 (50.1)</td>
<td>344.4 (135.9)</td>
<td>912.0 (349.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HCA</td>
<td>95.8 (70.6)</td>
<td>464.9 (149.8)</td>
<td>1157.6 (431.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. ESI–LC–MS/MS MRM chromatograms of primary quantitation and secondary confirmatory peaks of four heterocyclic aromatic amines extracted from human plasma fortified at 5 pg/ml. Samples were acid hydrolysed (2M HCl, 1 h, 80°C) and extracted with ethyl acetate and cation exchange SPE. Analyte names, m/z transitions and peak heights are listed.