How street greenery facilitates active travel for university students


Published in:
Journal of Transport and Health

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights
Copyright 2022 the authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Download date:02. Jun. 2022
How street greenery facilitates active travel for university students

Yihang Bai, Mengqiu Cao, Ruoyu Wang, Yuqi Liu, Seunghyeon Wang

Shanghai Academy of Fine Arts, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China
School of Architecture and Cities, University of Westminster, London, United Kingdom
Department of Statistics, London School of Economics and Political Science, London, United Kingdom
UKCRC Centre of Excellence for Public Health/Centre for Public Health, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom
Department of Social Work and Social Administration, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering, The Bartlett School of Environment, Energy and Resources, University College London, London, United Kingdom
Department of Architectural Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Active travel
Health
Equity
Behavioural change
Street greenery
Urban planning

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Active travel is currently gaining popularity worldwide as a sustainable form of travel. However, very few studies have examined how the built environment affects active travel behaviour on university campuses, particularly in China. It is a key feature of Chinese university campuses that they are generally gated communities, which are spatially organised in a very different way from campuses in other countries, and they often also provide for students’ daily needs, meaning that students tend to travel off-campus less frequently.

Aims: This research aims to explore the link between street greenery and the active travel behaviour of students on closed university campuses in China.

Methods: The study combined sensor data from Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Centre (HEMC), China, with individual cross-sectional survey data from university students and applied a multilevel logistic regression model to conduct the analysis. Street-view images were analysed using a deep learning approach, which represents an emerging method for assessing urban green space.

Results: The results demonstrated that street greenery on campuses is positively associated with active travel among university students. Modes of travel also influenced active travel, with university students who owned bicycles tending to participate in active travel more; however, those who travelled by electric bikes were less likely to participate in active travel.

Conclusions: This study suggests that policymakers and transport planners should focus more on greening urban areas and improving walking and cycling environments to achieve green transport goals through urban planning.

1. Introduction

Active travel (AT) can prevent health risks by increasing physical activity (Passi-Solar et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022a), and the relationship between the built environment and AT has received growing attention in recent years (An et al., 2019; Ding and Gebel, 2021).
greenery and the AT behaviour of students on gated university campuses in China using data from street-view images and questions of their socio-economic characteristics (Hasnine et al., 2018), the purpose of travel (essential or leisure) (Moura et al., 2017), and culturally specific practices (Moudon et al., 2016) may also affect AT.

Increasing transport demand has led scholars to pay more attention to sustainable transport patterns within the university environment in order to tackle traffic congestion problems (Shannon et al., 2006). Regarding the influence of the campus environment on college students’ travel behaviours, via a study of students and faculty staff of the University of North Carolina, USA, Rodríguez and Joo (2004) found that built environments, such as pavement layouts and topography, were strongly associated with the tendency to use AT. Wang et al. (2012) used a web-based survey to demonstrate that students who live on or near campus were more likely to
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2. Literature review

2.1. Urban greenery and active travel

Urban greenery is generally regarded as one of the most important factors in building liveable and pleasant city streets for walking and cycling (Hödl et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2019b; Krenn et al., 2015). There is a growing recognition that urban greenery is vital to well-being (Helbich, 2018; Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2017), and exposure to the natural environment appears to have a range of benefits for mental health (Hartig et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2018). However, the association between urban green spaces and active travel (AT) remains unclear. While it has been generally proven by scholars that urban greenery has a positive impact on AT (Nawrath et al., 2019; Vich et al., 2019), some studies have demonstrated either that these effects are weak (Hogendorf et al., 2020), insignificant (Sallis et al., 2020), or even negative (Mäki-Opas et al., 2016; Mertens et al., 2017). For example, a study conducted in the Netherlands found a negative association between residential green space and AT in leisure time (Maas et al., 2008). Sugiyama et al. (2013) conducted a ten-year longitudinal study in Adelaide, Australia, and found no clear association between urban greenery and AT. Some studies have also demonstrated an association between the two, although this association is influenced by how it is measured. Using case studies conducted in Milwaukee and Green Bay from the US, Tsai et al. (2019) concluded that the herbaceous coverage of the living environment is negatively associated with AT. Other researchers have also suggested that the built environment may not be the only factor influencing travel behaviours. Factors such as socio-economic characteristics (Hasnine et al., 2018), the purpose of travel (essential or leisure) (MOURA et al., 2017), and culturally specific practices (Moudon et al., 2016) may also affect AT.

2.2. Travel behaviour of university students

Increasing transport demand has led scholars to pay more attention to sustainable transport patterns within the university environment in order to tackle traffic congestion problems (Shannon et al., 2006). Regarding the influence of the campus environment on college students’ travel behaviours, via a study of students and faculty staff of the University of North Carolina, USA, Rodríguez and Joo (2004) found that built environments, such as pavement layouts and topography, were strongly associated with the tendency to use AT. Wang et al. (2012) used a web-based survey to demonstrate that students who live on or near campus were more likely to
choose AT in preference to motorised travel. Similarly, scholars have pointed out differences in travel characteristics between students who attend urban campuses and those who study at suburban campuses (Khattak et al., 2011). By taking Canadian university students as an example, Cole (2003) found that travel costs and street environment factors impacted on students’ travel mode choices.

In China, university campuses are relatively ‘independent’ communities, and are often cut off from the external transport network by boundary walls (Sun et al., 2011), regardless of whether the university is built in an urban or rural area. Historically, Chinese universities were constructed and governed by a centralised government-run system, which originated in the mid-1950s, and typically were spatially separated from the surrounding urban living space by walls and gates (Liu, 2017). The Chinese central or provincial governments that fund these universities play a crucial role in their operations and governance, with accommodation and other resources on campus mostly provided to university students in the form of welfare (Liu, 2017). This model of governance implies that Chinese universities are spatially independent from other organisations. Therefore, the travel patterns inside gated university campuses are dramatically different from those of open campuses, making local transport planning and management difficult.

Cycling, as a form of AT and an alternative to using motor vehicles, is one of the most sustainable modes of transportation. It is considered the most favourable alternative mode of travel in university campus contexts due to the small range of travel distances involved (Tolley, 1996). Yang et al. (2019) found that cycling facilities are positively associated with cycling behaviours. Factors that encourage people to cycle include physical condition, sustainability, and the cost of travel (Cavill and Watkins, 2007). However, studies that have researched the association between urban greenery and cycling travel behaviour have demonstrated varying results. Some studies have found a positive association between urban greenery and cycling (Fraser and Lock, 2011; Krenn et al., 2014; Porter et al., 2020), while others have found no clear association between them (Christiansen et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017).

The travel behaviour of university student populations differs significantly from that of other social groups (Khattak et al., 2011). For example, there is an association between the built environment and children’s active travel behaviour (Lu et al., 2019b; Moran et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2022b), and an association has been found between built environment factors such as cycling infrastructure and adolescents’ cycling activities (Mäki-Opas et al., 2014; Verhoeven et al., 2017). Similarly, some studies have provided evidence of an association between the built environment and the active travel behaviours of older adults. For instance, it has been found that the built environment has an influence on their mental health which may, in turn, affect older adults’ active travel behaviour (Van Cauwenberg et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019b). Many studies have focused on the association between the built environment and active travel among university students (Cole, 2003; Khattak et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012). Previous studies have found that university students are more likely to participate in AT than other population groups (Bonham and Koth, 2010; Shannon et al., 2006). However, to date, very few studies have examined the travel characteristics of Chinese university students (Sun et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2016), and most of those have only explored travel characteristics in general, rather than examining the relationship between the built environment and the specific travel modes of Chinese university students. In addition, it is also worth mentioning that our study enables the residential self-selection bias to be mitigated, because students are randomly assigned to a university in China. Hence, the self-selection bias is likely to be less of an issue for Chinese students, compared to students in some western countries, for instance (Yang et al., 2021b). Therefore, this study investigates the relationship between the AT behaviour of university students and street greenery within Chinese closed university campuses.

3. Case study, data and method

3.1. Study area

Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Centre (HEMC) is situated in Guangzhou city, the capital city of Guangdong province, China.
Guangzhou HEMC is a national advanced university settlement that offers integrated learning, research, strong links with industry, senior talent training, scientific research, and good communications. Guangzhou HEMC has a large population, with over 250,000 students and lecturers studying and living there (Hu et al., 2012). It consists of a conglomeration of ten universities: Sun Yat-sen University (A), South China Normal University (B), South China University of Technology (C), Guangzhou University (D), Guangdong University of Technology (E), Guangdong University of Foreign Studies (F), Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (G), Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts (H), Xinghai Conservatory of Music (I), and Guangdong Pharmaceutical University (J). Guangzhou HEMC covers an area of 43.3 km$^2$, and it is located on an island surrounded by the Pearl River (Fig. 1). Guangzhou HEMC is located in the Panyu district, the urban area of Guangzhou City, which has good public transport coverage, including the metro and buses, making it possible to get to the centre of Guangzhou within half an hour. Instead of the scattered pattern of distribution of universities in other cities such as Shanghai and Beijing, Guangzhou HEMC is comprised of a dense distribution of university campuses and it has developed almost exclusively as a hub for higher education. Due to this unique demographic background and development trajectory, it can be regarded as a representative study area for investigating the AT behaviour of Chinese university students living on closed campuses. Additionally, one of the benefits of collecting data on gated university campuses is that self-selection bias is eliminated (Yang et al., 2021b).

3.2. Data

3.2.1. Individual-level survey data

Due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, we conducted an online questionnaire in May and June 2021 via Wenjuanxing (wjx.cn), the largest survey collection platform in China. This online platform has been adopted by more than 30,000 companies and over 90 per cent of Chinese universities (Sun et al., 2020). It provides a rich source of respondents and supports various functions. These advantages made it an ideal choice for collecting our data.

The online questionnaire used for this study followed the guidelines set out by Regmi et al. (2017) for conducting a valid and effective online questionnaire. To assess Chinese university students’ travel behaviour, we designed the questionnaire to encompass both demographic data, including gender, age, educational attainment, and income; and travel characteristics such as travel mode preference (both on-campus travel and off-campus travel), transport ownership and travel satisfaction. After eliminating 154 invalid samples using trap questions and manual screening, a total of 811 valid samples were eventually collected from ten universities in Guangzhou HEMC, with a response rate of 83.5%, of which 44.5% were male, and only 3.33% of respondents were over 30 years old.

3.2.2. Street-view images

Lu et al. (2019b) suggested that there is a stronger relationship between street greening and AT (i.e., walking and cycling) than other greening measures. Therefore, street-view images can be a good indicator of how the environment is perceived by pedestrians travelling along the street. We used Baidu Maps to obtain street view images (BSV), which is a viable data source that has recorded street-view images of 372 cities in China (Zhou et al., 2019b). Baidu Maps’ panoramic images have 360° horizontal and 180° vertical coverage and can be accessed online. As Google Maps is not accessible in China, Baidu Maps can be regarded as a relatively high-quality alternative data source.

The image extraction process worked as follows (Helbich et al., 2019). First, all the street vector elements of the study area were extracted and imported using ArcGIS software. Second, sample points for which images needed to be collected were created within the
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street network, and their latitude and longitude coordinates were recorded automatically using ArcGIS. The sample points in this study took into account the scale of the study area, and were obtained by dividing each street element equally, which made them closer to the 50 m sampling distance used in previous studies (Helbich et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2019b) (Fig. 2). We chose to create sample points along streets, because these images are collected by cars, and as pedestrians are typically active on the street, this provides a better measure of how pedestrians perceive their travel environment. 1316 sample points obtained from the street view images were retained after removing 127 images that did not meet the requirements of the study, for example (1) images that did not match the location; and (2) sample images where the main field of view was obscured by other objects such as large vehicles.

3.2.3. Remote sensing data
Derived from the analysis of remotely sensed images, the normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) (Tucker, 1979) is also used as a parameter for evaluating green exposure. The NDVI is calculated from the reflectance values in the near-infrared band (NIR) and the visible region obtained from satellite images (Wu et al., 2021). The value is between $-1$ and $1$, and a higher value indicates a larger amount of vegetation. The formula used to calculate the NDVI is as follows:

$$\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - R}{\text{NIR} + R}$$ (1)

where $\text{NIR}$ represents the near-infrared band, and $R$ represents the infrared band.

We calculated the NDVI values using Landsat 8 satellite image data with a spatial resolution of 30 m provided by the Geospatial Data Cloud (http://www.gscloud.cn/sources/accessdata/411?pid=1), and the image was taken on November 29, 2013 by satellite. The NDVI values of each university campus were calculated using ArcGIS and included in the data tables. Fig. 3 illustrates the distribution of the NDVI values for Guangzhou HEMC.

3.3. Methods

3.3.1. Deep learning approach
Semantic segmentation methods have been widely used to extract the streetscape green spaces from satellite images (Helbich et al., 2019; Long et al., 2015). In order to do this, the relevant methods can be divided into two types: conventional methods and deep learning methods. Conventional methods include image processing and machine learning, and can manually extract the relevant feature by the use of appropriate feature extractors. For instance, Li et al. (2015) proposed an image processing method using colour channel segmentation for inferring environmental attributes (e.g., green view index). Chacra and Zelek (2016) developed a machine learning based method using the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) algorithm and the Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm, to represent features of the urban physical environment (e.g., roads). In the case of simple scenarios, such as uniform illumination, and the absence of noise from the outdoor environment, conventional methods offer a simple and accurate means of extracting appropriate features (Kang et al., 2020). However, the segmentation of green space is regarded as a more complex scenario, for example if it involves objects of different scales and irregular distribution of illumination. For this reason, conventional methods require relevant features to be manually defined and this process is very time consuming and challenging. Therefore, a deep learning approach, which is data driven, can be used to circumvent the limitations of conventional methods. The primary advantage of this approach is that feature extraction can be automated by replacing the standard feature extractor with a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) (Xiong et al., 2021). There are a variety of semantic segmentation methods based on deep learning approaches, such as fully convolutional network-8s (FCN-8s) and U-Net. In a study similar to ours, Yao et al. (2019) proposed a semantic segmentation method.
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using FCN-8s that could be used for urban perception from street-view images, which demonstrated a good level of accuracy for their task. Therefore, we used a fully CNN (i.e., FCN-8s) to calculate the percentage of street-level greenery for each street view image. FCN-8s can identify common objects at ground level (e.g., trees, vehicles) from street view images and predict the semantic properties of each pixel in the image (Badrinarayanan et al., 2017; Long et al., 2015). This method has been demonstrated to be able to accurately identify 150 categories of objects (Yao et al., 2019). Fig. 4 illustrates the processing structure of the FCN.

We used an image semantic segmentation application and source code provided by Yao et al. (2019) (see https://github.com/whuyao/human-machine-adversarial) and trained our FCN network using the ADE20K dataset developed by MIT (Zhou et al., 2019a). The ADE20K dataset consists of nearly 150 annotated object categories, such as vehicles and trees (Helbich et al., 2019). By feeding street view panorama images into the trained FCN network, the proportion of green space (e.g., grass, meadows and trees) can be determined. The pixel contrast accuracy of this network was 0.814426 on the training dataset and 0.66839 on the test dataset (Yao et al., 2019). Fig. 5 illustrates samples of the results obtained from the segmentation procedure using FCN-8.

Following the image segmentation generated by the FCN method, the proportion of green space (e.g., trees, grass, and shrubs) was determined. The Green Vegetation Index (GVI) for each sample point was calculated from the ratio of green space pixels per image to the total number of pixels per image. Finally, the average GVI scores were computed for each university campus using ArcGIS (Li and Ghosh, 2018) and divided into three classes (low, moderate and high) in relation to 10 university campuses to enable each level of street greenery to be compared. Fig. 6 illustrates the distribution of GVI values at Guangzhou HEMC.

3.3.2. Variables
Following previous studies (Hoedl et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2019b), the tendency to use AT (AT mode tendency) was chosen as a dependent variable in this study. As part of the questionnaire, the respondents were asked to answer the question: ‘Do you prefer to carry out active travel (walking or cycling) daily?’ To avoid sparse data bias (Greenland et al., 2016), we recorded this variable as a binary variable rather than a scale variable, for which a response of ‘Yes’ was recorded as 1 and ‘No’ was recorded as 0.

All the descriptive analyses and definitions of the variables are illustrated in Table 1. We followed previous studies and controlled for some demographic variables, such as gender, age, educational attainment, income, travel tools ownership, and hukou status (a system of household registration used in China) (Cao, 2019; Helbich et al., 2019; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021b; Yin and Wang, 2016). In order to investigate the travel status of Chinese university students, we also controlled for travel satisfaction, which was recorded for different travel modes: car, bus, metro, bike/e-bike, and walking. Three options were available: low, moderate, and high. We also included the question: ‘Which university campus do you live on?’ to establish whether our data were hierarchical in structure. The GVI and NDVI values are also shown in the table.

3.3.3. Statistical analysis
Following previous studies (Helbich et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2020), because of the hierarchical nature of our data, we used a multilevel logistic regression model to investigate the relationship between the natural environment and the tendency to use AT. The equations used for the analysis are as follows (Goldstein, 2011):

$$\text{logit} \left(p_{ij}\right) = \log \left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} \beta_k x_{ki}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$\beta_0 = \gamma_0 + \mu_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Which can be combined into:

$$\text{logit} \left(p_{ij}\right) = \log \left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \gamma_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} \beta_k x_{ki} + \mu_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Fig. 4. FCN processing structure.
where $p_{ij}$ is the probability of AT for the $i$-th individual of the $j$-th university; $\beta_{0j}$ represents the random intercept; $x_{kij}$ represents the covariate and $\beta_k$ denotes its corresponding coefficient; $\gamma_{00}$ is the fixed component in the random intercept; $\mu_{0j}$ is the level 2 (university-level) residual.

Individuals at level 1 were nested within the university campuses at level 2 in the models. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) is a parameter used to describe how strongly units in the same group resemble each other:

$$ICC = \frac{\sigma^2_{00}}{\sigma^2_{00} + \pi^2/3}$$

where $\sigma^2_{00}$ represents the between-group variance and $\epsilon_{ij}$ has a standard logistic distribution (with mean 0 and variance $\pi^2/3 \approx 3.29$).

In this study, we adopted a stepped approach to test the impact of the natural environment on university students’ AT behaviour. First, we fitted a baseline model that contained only socio-economic, demographic variables (Model 1). Second, we regressed the
association between respondents’ tendency to use AT and street greenery (Model 2). Third, as former studies have claimed that there is an association between travel satisfaction and AT behaviour (Mouratidis, 2019), Model 3 was further controlled for individual travel satisfaction covariates: car travel satisfaction, bus travel satisfaction, metro travel satisfaction, bike/e-bike travel satisfaction, and walking satisfaction.

3.3.4. Sensitivity and robustness tests

Next, two additional sensitivity tests were conducted for the best-fit model to ensure the robustness of the relationship between the natural environment and AT behaviour (Models 2a–3b). As vehicle ownership may influence the tendency to use AT among university students (Etminani-Ghasrodashti et al., 2018), we excluded individuals who owned cars from the sample and re-ran the adjusted model (Models 2a–3a). We then repeated our analyses with a binary classified variable (the most commonly used travel mode in the last two weeks) replacing the active tendency variable (Models 2b–3b). Respondents who chose cycling and walking as their most commonly used travel mode were considered to have an AT tendency, while those who did not were considered to have no AT tendency. Last, the NDVI was calculated using remote sensing images from overhead perspectives. Thus, the results are different from those obtained using street view greenery and can be regarded as a comparable measurement of green space (Wang et al., 2019a). As the impact of green exposure may also be influenced by the methods that are used to measure greenery (Wang et al., 2019a), we changed the independent variables to the NDVI values (Models 2–5), in order to investigate the measurement difference between the GVI and the NDVI.

4. Results

4.1. Characteristics of the study population

Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the study population: 68.8% of the respondents had a low willingness to participate in AT,
while 31.2% had a strong tendency to participate in AT; 27.5% of the university students who responded lived on campuses with a low GVI, and 37.4% lived on university campuses with a high GVI. Overall, the average age of the respondents was 22 years old, 83.1% had a bachelor’s degree or lower, and more than half had a driving licence. Approximately 48% of the respondents had a monthly income of less than RMB 3,000, more than half were female, and about one-third had a local hukou, while 35.3% of the respondents had a partner. Regarding transportation, 40.3%, 21.7%, and 6.29% of the respondents owned a bicycle, an e-bike, and/or a car, respectively. More than half of the respondents had a high level of satisfaction with car travel (50.9%), bus travel (56.7%), and walking (58.2%). About two-thirds of the respondents were very satisfied with metro travel and cycling, with ratings of 75.0% and 69.1%, respectively.

### 4.2. Baseline results

The multilevel logistics regression model results are illustrated in Table 2, linking the AT activities of university students to street greening. Model 1 illustrates the relationship between the covariates and the respondents’ tendency to use AT. The results indicate that, holding all the other variables constant, within the university student population, those with a bachelor’s degree or lower are more likely to be willing to use AT compared to those with a master’s degree or higher (OR = 0.628, 95% CI: 0.400–0.985). Other individual-level socio-demographic variables such as age, gender, and monthly income had no statistically significant effect on AT behaviours.

In Model 2, transport tool ownership was added to Model 1. Meanwhile, Model 3 added travel satisfaction variables to Model 2, namely walking satisfaction, cycling satisfaction, bus travel satisfaction, metro travel satisfaction, and satisfaction with private car travel. Street greenery was positively associated with the likelihood of respondents being willing to participate in AT according to both Model 2 and Model 3. Participants who were exposed to moderate street greening were four times more likely to be involved in AT than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Baseline model predicting active travel tendencies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed part</strong></td>
<td>Model 1 OR. (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVI (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Covariates</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (ref: male)</td>
<td>0.872(0.617–1.231)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.005(0.970–1.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate and above (ref: undergraduate and below)</td>
<td>0.628**(0.400–0.985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving licence (ref: no driving licence)</td>
<td>0.964(0.682–1.363)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income level (ref: ≤3000 RMB per month)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3000 RMB per month</td>
<td>0.684(0.453–1.032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local hukou (ref: non-local hukou)</td>
<td>1.204(0.847–1.713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner relationship (ref: no partner relationship)</td>
<td>0.990(0.691–1.421)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport tool ownership</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike ownership (ref: no bike ownership)</td>
<td>2.030**(1.422–2.890)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-bike ownership (ref: no e-bike ownership)</td>
<td>0.590**(0.397–0.876)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car ownership (ref: no car ownership)</td>
<td>0.705(0.358–1.390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel satisfaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car travel satisfaction (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1.597(0.847–3.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.252(0.658–2.390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus travel satisfaction (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1.038(0.559–1.928)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.252(0.656–2.390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro travel satisfaction (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1.038(0.559–1.928)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.609(0.862–3.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike/E-bike satisfaction (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1.176(0.480–2.882)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.540(0.240–1.216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking satisfaction (ref: Low)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>2.211(0.789–6.197)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; AIC = Akaike information criterion. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
those exposed to a small amount of street greenery (Model 2: OR = 4.093, 95% CI: 1.213–13.794; Model 3: OR = 3.674, 95% CI: 1.162–11.616). Similarly, respondents with high exposure to street greening were also more likely to have a stronger intention to participate in AT than those with low exposure to street greening (Model 2: OR = 5.047, 95% CI: 1.803–14.126; Model 3: OR = 3.863, 95% CI: 1.443–10.340).

In terms of how ownership of a mode of transport may affect AT behaviours, respondents who owned a bicycle were twice as likely to have the intention to travel actively than those who did not, holding all the other variables constant (Model 2: OR = 2.030, 95% CI: 1.422–2.890; Model 3: OR = 2.053, 95% CI: 1.392–3.028); however, participants who owned an e-bike were less likely to participate in AT than respondents who did not own an e-bike (Model 2: OR = 0.590, 95% CI: 0.397–0.876; Model 3: OR = 0.632, 95% CI: 0.413–0.968). Respondents who owned a private car were not significantly more likely to be active travellers than those who did not own a private car. In terms of travel satisfaction, respondents with a high level of walking satisfaction were more likely to participate in AT than those with a low level of walking satisfaction (OR = 5.687, 95% CI: 3.152–10.262), and respondents with a moderate level of walking satisfaction were similarly more likely to participate in AT than those with a low level of walking satisfaction (OR = 2.349, 95% CI: 1.304–4.232). Satisfaction with other travel modes, such as private car, bike, and e-bike, did not significantly influence respondents’ intentions to participate in AT.

4.3. Robustness of the effects

Table 3 summarises the results of the robustness tests for the GVI and respondent AT correlations. Despite a few differences in the odds ratio coefficients, the association between street GVI and AT tendency remains statistically significant, and its coefficient remains constant across all models used in the robustness tests.

We also re-analysed the association between AT and green space by replacing the GVI with the NDVI. Table 4 illustrates the relationship between AT intentions and the NDVI, in contrast with the models using the GVI. Both Model 4 and Model 5 demonstrated that the relationship between the NDVI and AT is not significant, indicating a difference between street greenery measured using street-view images and greenery analysed vertically via remote sensing.

5. Discussion

5.1. Urban greenery and travel mode

Urban green spaces fulfill important sensory functions that have visual effects and reflect the aesthetic landscape (Yang et al., 2009). However, only greenery that can be seen from the pedestrian’s viewpoint can actually influence or reflect the pedestrian’s real experience of green exposure (Li et al., 2015). In this study, green spaces were analysed from street-view images, demonstrating that exposure to green street space was statistically significantly and positively associated with university students’ willingness to participate in AT after controlling for individual socio-demographic and transport characteristics. These findings confirm those of previous studies (Astell-Burt et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2018; 2019b).

Compared with the travel behaviour of university students in other countries (Chen, 2012; Limanond et al., 2011), Chinese university students do not have much need to travel, which is most likely due to the functionality of how Chinese university campuses are designed. Therefore, green street space on campus is one of the most significant ways in which students are exposed to green space and it can potentially promote AT. Our findings suggest that bicycle ownership among university students positively influences participation in AT, while e-bike ownership diminishes the tendency to use AT. This might be because bicycle use on Chinese university campuses is already sufficient to meet mobility needs due to the relatively short travel distances involved. A previous study demonstrated that 86.6% of Chinese university students choose to walk when travelling less than 1 km, and 41.6% chose to cycle within 1–4 km (Zhan et al., 2016), which would cover a large area of a university campus.

E-bikes are now more accessible, so most students who choose to travel by e-bike would be able to travel a longer distance. Lee et al. (2017) reported that the GVI increases with longer travel distances. Long-distance travel can reduce the probability of using AT; therefore, e-bike ownership may negatively affect the intention to participate in AT. The non-significant effect of private cars on university students’ decision to participate in AT may be caused by fewer students owning private vehicles. Our study also found that walking satisfaction among Guangzhou university students had a positive effect on AT. At the same time, other modes of travel were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 2a</th>
<th>Model 3a</th>
<th>Model 2 b</th>
<th>Model 3 b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR. (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR. (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR. (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR. (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No car ownership</td>
<td>No car ownership</td>
<td>Change the dependent variable</td>
<td>Change the dependent variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVI (ref: low)</td>
<td>GVI (ref: low)</td>
<td>GVI (ref: low)</td>
<td>GVI (ref: low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
<td>406.093</td>
<td>−367.767</td>
<td>−502.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>838.185</td>
<td>781.534</td>
<td>1031.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; AIC = Akaike information criterion. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
urban environment that encourages green travel. Therefore, if similar studies were conducted in western universities, the findings may differ, so a further comparative study would be useful. Secondly, the precise residential locations of greenery and active travel on Chinese university campuses and the influence of university students living in a gated environment versus an open environment. Therefore, it is necessary to increase exposure to campus greenery.

5.2. Differences between university students and other social groups

Previous studies have suggested that the effect of green space on AT may vary by gender, age, educational attainment, and income status (Astell-Burt et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019b). However, our study found no statistically significant association between AT and individual demographic factors, such as the gender, age, hukou status, and income of Guangzhou university students. This might be due to the small differences in demographic characteristics among the university student population. The study also found that university students with a postgraduate degree had a lower tendency to participate in AT than those with a bachelor’s degree or below after excluding transport characteristics. This result may be because graduate students’ travel is not confined to the university campus, due to family life and work commitments, resulting in longer travel distances.

We also found that the green spaces on campuses, as measured by the NDVI, were less associated with AT. This is in line with the findings of Lu et al.’s (2019a) study. It may be that previous studies that failed to confirm the association between green space and AT used inappropriate measurement approaches (Lu et al., 2019b). For example, Vich et al. (2019) and Mäki-Opas et al. (2016) found that green space is significantly negatively associated with walking time. However, these studies used remote sensing data as the measurement method and, consequently, they may not provide an accurate picture of the green space, nor do they assess the quality of the green environment. However, the green spaces on closed university campuses are scattered, and most of them take the form of street greenery. This may also have an impact on the green space assessed using remote sensing.

5.3. Implications for urban design and planning

As a study that explores gated university campuses in China, several conclusions can be drawn about urban planning based on the findings. First, green space on streets within Chinese university campuses can have a positive impact on AT. In order to build environmentally friendly and sustainable cities, urban planners and designers need to focus on the use of accessible green spaces in cities. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the quantity and quality of green spaces that citizens are exposed to (Ta et al., 2021), rather than simply increasing the number of parks, which has been the case in the past. This argument is also in line with Zhou et al. (2022) findings shown that simply increasing urban built environment areas is not effective in improving travel times. This could be done by combining greenery with the travel routes that people commonly use, for instance, linking workplaces and residences by ecological corridors. However, further research is still needed to explore what measures would be most effective at increasing people’s exposure to greenery. Second, this study demonstrates that the tendency to use AT is influenced by bicycle ownership. The lack of a good cycle path network in urban areas may restrict cycling trips due to concerns about traffic safety (Lu et al., 2019b). Therefore, in addition to increasing the amount of accessible green exposure, urban planners should also improve conditions for cycling trips, including cycle routes, cycle lanes, and shortcuts. It is also possible to increase bicycle use effectively by linking street greenery, large green spaces, and other cycling infrastructure to create integrated bicycle networks.

5.4. Strengths and limitations

This study is one of the first to examine the relationship between natural outdoor green environments and active travel behaviour among university students on gated campuses in China. It has three strengths. Firstly, we measured exposure to the natural environment based on street-view images from the human-eye perspective. Secondly, we explored the association between street-level exposure to greenery and active travel on Chinese university campuses and the influence of university students’ travel characteristics on active travel behaviour. Thirdly, this study provided policymakers and urban planners with recommendations for creating an urban environment that encourages green travel.

Our study also has several limitations. Firstly, it is set in a unique built environment context in China, where university campuses are generally gated, whereas most universities in western countries are open. Therefore, if similar studies were conducted in western universities, the findings may differ, so a further comparative study would be useful. Secondly, the precise residential locations of
respondents were geocoded through self-reported university campuses. These locations may not be as accurate as locations tracked using Global Positioning System (GPS) data, and it was also not possible to identify specific green space exposure routes and exposure times. To some extent, this may prevent us from identifying a direct, reliable link between street greenery and active travel on university campuses. Third, although online surveys have the advantage of high response rates and saving time, their drawbacks include limited relevance and duplicate responses. Finally, this research was conducted during the Covid-19 pandemic, and therefore results might differ from those that would be obtained in normal times.

6. Conclusions

Chinese university campuses have different travel mode patterns to those of wider society. This study is the first to explore the association between exposure to visible street greenery on closed university campuses and the AT behaviours of university students. It used street-view images, questionnaire data, and multilevel logistic regression modelling to explore these relationships. We found that campus street greenery and transport ownership were statistically significantly associated with the intention to use AT. Street greenery on campus is positively associated with university students’ tendency to participate in AT, and travel modes also affect AT. Bike ownership is positively associated with AT, while owning an e-bike has a negative impact on AT. From a methodological perspective, the data source of street view images may benefit further research on sustainable urban and transport development. To achieve the goal of green transport through urban planning, policymakers need to focus on both greening urban areas and improving the environment for cycling and walking.
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