



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Vaginal estrogen therapy use and survival in females with breast cancer

McVicker, L., Labeit, A. M., Coupland, C. A. C., Hicks, B., Hughes, C., McMenamin, Ú., McIntosh, S. A., Murchie, P., & Cardwell, C. R. (2023). Vaginal estrogen therapy use and survival in females with breast cancer. *JAMA Oncology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4508>

Published in:
JAMA Oncology

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
Copyright 2023 American Medical Association.

This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access
This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

1 **Title**

2 Vaginal estrogen therapy use and survival in women with breast cancer: Analysis of
3 population-based cohorts from Scotland and Wales.

4

5 **Authors**

6 Lauren McVicker PhD¹, Alexander M Labeit PhD¹, Carol AC Coupland PhD^{2,3},
7 Blánaid Hicks PhD¹, Carmel Hughes PhD⁴, Úna McMEnamin PhD¹, Stuart A
8 McIntosh PhD^{5,6}, Peter Murchie MD⁷, Chris R Cardwell PhD¹.

9

10 **Affiliations**

11 ¹Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland.

12 ²Centre for Academic Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham,
13 Nottingham, UK.

14 ³Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford,
15 UK.

16 ⁴School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland.

17 ⁵The Patrick G Johnston Centre for Cancer Research, Queen's University Belfast,
18 Belfast, Northern Ireland.

19 ⁶Breast Surgery Department, Belfast City Hospital, Belfast Health and Social Care
20 Trust, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK.

21 ⁷Division of Applied Health Sciences Section, Academic Primary Care, Foresterhill,
22 Aberdeen, UK.

23

24 **Author for correspondence**

25 Prof Chris Cardwell, Queen's University Belfast, Centre for Public Health, Institute of

26 Clinical Sciences, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, BT12 6BA. Email:

27 c.cardwell@qub.ac.uk.

28

29 **Date of revision:** 28 July 2023.

30 **Word count:** 1200

31

32 **Key points**

33 **Question:** Do women with breast cancer who use vaginal estrogen therapy (vaginal
34 estrogen tablets or creams) have higher risk of breast cancer-specific mortality?

35

36 **Findings:** In two breast cancer cohorts, including 49,237 women, there was no
37 evidence of an increase in early breast cancer-specific mortality with use of vaginal
38 estrogen therapy, compared with no hormone replacement therapy use, after breast
39 cancer diagnosis.

40

41 **Meaning:** These findings should provide some reassurance to clinicians prescribing
42 vaginal estrogen therapy and support guidelines suggesting that vaginal estrogen
43 therapy can be considered in breast cancer patients with genitourinary symptoms if
44 non-hormonal treatments have been unsuccessful.

45 **Abstract**

46 **Importance:** Genitourinary syndrome of menopause can be treated with vaginal
47 estrogen therapy. However, there are concerns about the safety of vaginal estrogen
48 therapy in breast cancer patients.

49 **Objective:** To determine whether women with breast cancer who use vaginal
50 estrogen therapy, compared with women with breast cancer who do not use
51 hormone replacement therapy, have a higher risk of breast cancer-specific mortality.

52 **Design:** In Scotland and Wales, cohorts of women newly diagnosed with breast
53 cancer from 2000 to 2017 were identified and followed for breast cancer-specific
54 mortality up to 2020.

55 **Setting:** Population-based breast cancer cohorts were identified from national
56 cancer registry records in Scotland and Wales.

57 **Participants:** Participants were women aged 40 to 79 newly diagnosed with breast
58 cancer. Women were excluded if they had a previous cancer diagnosis (except non-
59 melanoma skin cancer).

60 **Exposure:** Vaginal estrogen therapy (including vaginal tablets and creams) was
61 ascertained using pharmacy dispensing records from the Prescribing Information
62 System in Scotland and general practice prescription records in Wales.

63 **Main Outcome and Measures:** The primary outcome was time to breast cancer-
64 specific mortality from national mortality records. Time-dependent cox regression
65 models were used to calculate Hazard Ratios (HR) and 95% Confidence Intervals
66 (95% CIs) for breast cancer-specific mortality comparing vaginal estrogen therapy
67 users with hormone replacement therapy non-users adjusting for confounders
68 including stage and grade.

69 **Results:** The two cohorts contained 49,237 breast cancer patients and included
70 5,795 breast cancer-specific deaths. Around 5% (2,551) of breast cancer patients
71 used vaginal estrogen therapy after breast cancer diagnosis. In vaginal estrogen
72 therapy users, compared with hormone replacement therapy non-users, there was
73 no evidence of a higher risk of breast cancer-specific mortality (pooled fully adjusted
74 HR 0.77 95% CI 0.63, 0.94).

75 **Conclusions and Relevance:** In these large population-based breast cancer
76 cohorts, there was no evidence of increased early breast cancer-specific mortality in
77 patients using vaginal estrogen therapy compared with patients not using hormone
78 replacement therapy.

79 **Introduction**

80 Many breast cancer patients experience genitourinary syndrome of menopause¹
81 (such as vaginal itchiness, burning, pain with sexual activity and urinary
82 incontinence). These symptoms may be precipitated by endocrine treatments and
83 contribute to non-compliance to endocrine therapy². Vaginal estrogen therapy is an
84 effective treatment for genitourinary syndrome of menopause³. Trials have shown
85 increased recurrence in breast cancer patients using systemic Hormone
86 Replacement Therapy (HRT)⁴. A recent trial observed a small increase in serum
87 estradiol with use of a vaginal estradiol tablet (10µg)⁵. There have been no large
88 randomised controlled trials of vaginal estrogen therapy in breast cancer patients
89 powered to investigate recurrence or mortality⁶ and observational studies have been
90 limited by small sample size^{7, 8} and unavailable confounders⁹. A recent observational
91 Danish study showed no increase in recurrence in breast cancer patients receiving
92 vaginal estrogen therapy, apart from a subgroup receiving both vaginal estrogen
93 therapy and aromatase inhibitors¹⁰. Consequently, we investigated vaginal estrogen
94 therapy and breast cancer-specific mortality in two large breast cancer cohorts.

95

96 **Methods**

97 We utilised the Prescribing Information System (Scotland)¹¹ and SAIL databank
98 (Wales)¹². Approvals were obtained from SAIL Databank Information Governance
99 Review Panel (Reference: 0965) and the Privacy Advisory Committee of the National
100 Health Service National Services Scotland (number:1617–0374).

101

102 *Cohorts*

103 Population-based cohorts of women, aged 40 to 79, newly diagnosed with breast
104 cancer (ICD code C50) were identified from cancer registries in Scotland (2010 to
105 2017) and Wales (2000 to 2016). Patients previously diagnosed with other invasive
106 cancers (except non-melanoma skin cancer) were excluded.

107

108 *Exposure*

109 Medication use was ascertained from general practitioner (GP) prescribing records
110 (Wales) or pharmacy dispensing records (Scotland). Vaginal estrogen therapy
111 (mainly estriol creams and estradiol tablets) and systemic HRT (including estrogen
112 or tibolone containing products) were identified based upon the British National
113 Formulary classification¹³.

114

115 *Outcome*

116 Breast cancer-specific mortality was identified from national mortality records (an
117 underlying cause of death of C50) up to June 2019 in Scotland and June 2020 in
118 Wales.

119

120 *Covariates*

121 Cancer registry records provided stage, grade, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, surgery
122 and, in Scotland, hormone receptor status. Tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitor and other
123 medication use were identified from prescribing/dispensing records. Charlson
124 comorbidities, anaemia, and hysterectomy/oophorectomy were determined from GP
125 diagnoses and hospital admissions in Wales and from hospital admissions alone in
126 Scotland. Deprivation was based upon the Index of Multiple Deprivation. GP records
127 provided smoking and BMI (Wales only).

128

129 *Statistical analysis*

130 In the primary analysis (see eFigure 1), patients were followed from 6 months after
131 cancer diagnosis to breast cancer-specific mortality (censored on the earliest of
132 death from other causes, end of mortality follow-up and additionally end of GP
133 records in Wales and date of emigration in Scotland). The exposure was modelled
134 as a single time-varying variable, with a lag of 6 months, into the following
135 hierarchical categories: systemic HRT (with or without vaginal estrogen therapy),
136 vaginal estrogen therapy alone and HRT non-user. Analyses were conducted by
137 number of prescriptions and separately for higher dose vaginal estrogen therapy
138 (considered 25µg estradiol tablets). Time-dependent cox regression models were
139 used to calculate Hazard Ratios (HRs), and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs), by
140 exposure adjusting for age, year, deprivation, surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy,
141 tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor use (modelled as time varying covariates with 6 month
142 lags), Charlson comorbidity (before diagnosis), anaemia (before diagnosis), other
143 medication use (including statins, aspirin, metformin and oral contraceptives before
144 diagnosis), hysterectomy/oophorectomy (anytime up to 6 months after diagnosis),
145 cancer stage and grade. Where missing, stage and grade were imputed using

146 multiple imputation with chained equations. Estimates were calculated within each
147 cohort and pooled using random effects meta-analysis models. See the eMethods
148 for further details.

149

150 **Results**

151 The cohorts contained 49,237 breast cancer patients and 5,795 cancer-specific
152 deaths, with medians of 8 (IQR 5-12) and 5 (IQR 3-7) years of follow-up in Wales
153 and Scotland, respectively. Overall, 5% (2,551) of women used vaginal estrogen
154 therapy after diagnosis and 1% (556) systemic HRT.

155

156 Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1, eTable 1 and the eResults. Table 2
157 shows there was no evidence of higher cancer-specific mortality in vaginal estrogen
158 therapy users compared with HRT non-users; indeed, there was a slight reduction
159 (pooled fully adjusted HR 0.77 95% CI 0.63, 0.94). This estimate was similar in users
160 of 5 or more prescriptions and with higher dose therapy use. Table 3 shows that in
161 most sensitivity analyses the associations were similar. In particular, there were no
162 increased risks observed after restricting to women with estrogen receptor positive
163 breast cancer, or women on aromatase inhibitors. See the eResults for further
164 description of findings.

165

166

167 **Discussion**

168 In these large contemporary population-based breast cancer cohorts, there was no
169 evidence that vaginal estrogen therapy was associated with increased risk of early
170 breast cancer-specific mortality.

171

172 Our null finding is similar to that of a Danish study of 8,461 breast cancer patients
173 that observed no association between vaginal estrogen therapy and cancer
174 recurrence (adjusted HR 1.08 95% CI 0.89, 1.32). However, that study observed a
175 39% increase in recurrence in users of both vaginal estrogen therapy and aromatase
176 inhibitors¹⁰. We did not study recurrence, but observed no evidence of an increase in
177 cancer-specific mortality in this subgroup. A case-control study also showed no
178 association between vaginal estrogen therapy and breast cancer recurrence
179 (identified from GP records) in tamoxifen users but did not adjust for stage⁹. Two
180 small cohort studies also showed no increase in cancer recurrence in breast cancer
181 patients using vaginal estrogen therapy^{7, 8} but both included fewer than 10
182 recurrences in the exposed group. Finally, a recent Swedish case-control study
183 showed no increase in cancer-specific mortality in breast cancer patients using
184 estrogen but did not distinguish between vaginal or systemic estrogen¹⁴.

185

186 In the absence of trials of vaginal estrogen therapy in breast cancer, our findings
187 provide some reassurance that breast cancer patients receiving vaginal estrogen
188 therapy are not at markedly higher risk of cancer-specific mortality and would appear
189 to support guidelines suggesting that vaginal estrogen therapy can be considered for
190 genitourinary symptoms if non-hormonal treatments have been unsuccessful^{3, 15}.

191 The systemic HRT associations were included for completeness but should not

192 influence clinical decisions given our wide confidence intervals and the fact that
193 previous trials have observed increased risks of recurrence with systemic HRT use⁴.

194

195 Strengths were the large population-based cohorts with up to 20 years of follow-up
196 with linked prescribing/dispensing records, eliminating recall bias, and capturing all
197 HRT prescriptions. However, we cannot confirm medication adherence. The duration
198 of follow-up did not allow the investigation of later cancer-specific mortality and
199 further research with extended follow-up is recommended. We adjusted for many
200 important confounders including stage and grade and, in a sensitivity analysis, BMI
201 and smoking status, but we cannot rule out residual confounding from poorly
202 recorded or unavailable variables (such as physical activity and menopausal
203 status)³. Estrogen receptor status of the tumor was not complete, but results were
204 similar in endocrine therapy users (who will have estrogen receptor positive
205 disease). Finally, patients receiving treatment for genitourinary syndrome of
206 menopause may have lower estradiol levels, and/or better compliance to endocrine
207 therapies, and have lower breast cancer-specific mortality anyway.

208

209 **Conclusion**

210 In summary, in this large real-world analysis, there was no evidence of increased
211 early cancer-specific mortality in breast cancer patients using vaginal estrogen
212 therapy providing some reassurance to clinicians prescribing, and patients using
213 vaginal estrogen therapy.

214

215 **Acknowledgement**

216 **Author contributions:** Chris R Cardwell had full access to all the data in the study
217 and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data
218 analysis.

219 Concept and design: Coupland, Hicks, Hughes, McMenamin, Murchie, Cardwell.

220 Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data: McVicker, Labeit, Coupland, Hicks,
221 Hughes, McMenamin, McIntosh, Murchie, Cardwell.

222 Drafting of the manuscript: Cardwell.

223 Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: McVicker, Labeit,
224 Coupland, Hicks, Hughes, McMenamin, McIntosh, Murchie, Cardwell.

225 Statistical analysis: Cardwell, McVicker, Labeit.

226 Obtaining funding: Mc Menamin, McVicker, Labeit.

227 Administrative, technical, or material support: None.

228 Supervision: None.

229 Other: None.

230

231 **Conflict of interest disclosures:** SMcl reports institutional funding for honoraria
232 from Roche, MSD and AstraZeneca, for advisory boards from Roche, Lilly and MSD,
233 for talks from Roche and MSD, and research funding from Novartis. SMcl also
234 reports personal funding for talks from BD Bard and conference travel and support
235 from Roche and Lilly. The remaining authors declare they have no relevant financial
236 or non-financial interests.

237 **Funding/Support:** This work was supported by funding from Cancer Research UK
238 (reference C37316/A29656 and C53788/A20100) that provided access to the
239 datasets.

240 **Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funding sources were not involved in the design
241 and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the
242 data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the
243 manuscript for publication.

244 **Additional Contributions:** We would like to acknowledge the support of the eDRIS
245 team (Public Health Scotland) for their involvement in obtaining approvals,
246 provisioning and linking data and the secure analytical platform within the National
247 Safe Haven. We would also like to acknowledge support of SAIL Databank for
248 facilitating access to the dataset from Wales. The authors would also like to thank
249 the PPI representatives for providing a patient and public perspective on the study
250 design, findings, interpretation of the study and lay summary materials.

251 **Disclaimer:** None.

252

253 **References**

- 254 1. Baber RJ, Panay N, Fenton A. 2016 IMS Recommendations on womens midlife
255 health and menopause hormone therapy. *Climacteric*. 2016;19:109-150.
- 256 2. Sousa MS, Peate M, Jarvis S, Hickey M, Friedlander M. A clinical guide to the
257 management of genitourinary symptoms in breast cancer survivors on endocrine
258 therapy. *Ther Adv Med Oncol*. 2017;9(4):269-285.
- 259 3. "The 2022 hormone therapy position statement of The North American
260 Menopause Society" Advisory Panel. The 2022 hormone therapy position statement
261 of The North American Menopause Society. *Menopause*. 2022;29(7):767-794.
- 262 4. Poggio F, Del Mastro L, Bruzzone M, et al. Safety of systemic hormone
263 replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-
264 analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2022;191(2):269-275.
- 265 5. Mitchell CM, Larson JC, Crandall CJ, et al. Association of vaginal estradiol tablet
266 with serum estrogen levels in women who are postmenopausal: Secondary analysis
267 of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5(11):e2241743.
- 268 6. Biglia N, Bounous VE, D'Alonzo M, et al. Vaginal atrophy in breast cancer
269 survivors: Attitude and approaches among oncologists. *Clin Breast Cancer*.
270 2017;17(8):611-617.
- 271 7. Dew JE, Wren BG, Eden JA. A cohort study of topical vaginal estrogen therapy in
272 women previously treated for breast cancer. *Climacteric*. 2003;6(1):45-52.
- 273 8. O'Meara ES, Rossing MA, Daling JR, Elmore JG, Barlow WE, Weiss NS.
274 Hormone replacement therapy after a diagnosis of breast cancer in relation to
275 recurrence and mortality. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2001;93(10):754-762.

- 276 9. Le Ray I, Dell'Aniello S, Bonnetain F, Azoulay L, Suissa S. Local estrogen therapy
277 and risk of breast cancer recurrence among hormone-treated patients: a nested
278 case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2012;135(2):603-609.
- 279 10. Cold S, Cold F, Jensen MB, Cronin-Fenton D, Christiansen P, Ejlersen B.
280 Systemic or vaginal hormone therapy after early breast cancer: A Danish
281 observational cohort study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2022 ;114(10):1347-1354.
- 282 11. Alvarez-Madrazo S, McTaggart S, Nangle C, Nicholson E, Bennie M. Data
283 Resource Profile: The Scottish National Prescribing Information System (PIS). *Int J*
284 *Epidemiol.* 2016;45(3):714-715f.
- 285 12. Ford DV, Jones KH, Verplancke JP, et al. The SAIL Databank: building a
286 national architecture for e-health research and evaluation. *BMC Health Serv Res.*
287 2009;9:157.
- 288 13. Joint Formulary Committee. British National Formulary 80: September 2020-
289 March 2021. (BMJ Group and Pharmaceutical Press: London, UK, 2020).
- 290 14. Sund M, Garmo H, Andersson A, Margolin S, Ahlgren J, Valachis A. Estrogen
291 therapy after breast cancer diagnosis and breast cancer mortality risk. *Breast Cancer*
292 *Res Treat.* 2023. (doi.org/10.1007/s10549-023-06871-w).
- 293 15. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Committee on Clinical
294 Consensus-Gynecology. Treatment of urogenital symptoms in individuals with a
295 history of estrogen-dependent breast cancer: Clinical consensus. *Obstet Gynecol.*
296 2021;138(6):950-960.

Table 1: Patient characteristics by HRT use after diagnosis.

	Scotland			Wales		
	No HRT	Systemic HRT	Only vaginal estrogen	No HRT	Systemic HRT	Only vaginal estrogen
Age						
40-49	4207 (17%)	32 (15%)	184 (14%)	3491 (17%)	49 (14%)	184 (15%)
50-59	7444 (29%)	86 (39%)	455 (34%)	6143 (30%)	153 (45%)	411 (34%)
60-69	8231 (32%)	71 (33%)	436 (32%)	6685 (32%)	104 (31%)	394 (33%)
70-79	5506 (22%)	29 (13%)	281 (21%)	4423 (21%)	32 (9%)	206 (17%)
Year of diagnosis						
2000-2004				4795 (23%)	139 (41%)	443 (37%)
2005-2009				6030 (29%)	94 (28%)	422 (35%)
2010-2014	15674 (62%)	155(71%)	1,045(77%)	6967 (34%)	86 (25%)	270 (23%)
2015-2017	9714 (38%)	63(29%)	311(23%)	2950 (14%)	19 (6%)	60 (5%)
Deprivation						
1 st fifth (most deprived)	5580 (22%)	44 (20%)	342 (25%)	3387 (16%)	70 (21%)	158 (13%)
5 th fifth (least deprived)	4240 (17%)	38 (17%)	202 (15%)	4634 (22%)	73 (22%)	313 (26%)
Hysterectomy / oophorectomy ¹						
Before or at cancer diagnosis	1034 (4%)	23-28 ² (11%)	50-55 ² (4%)	1476 (7%)	41 (12%)	87 (7%)
After cancer diagnosis	740 (3%)	< 5 ²	53 (4%)	1092 (5%)	33 (10%)	110 (9%)
Select comorbidity (any time before diagnosis)						
COPD	1413 (6%)	24 (11%)	90 (7%)	781 (4%)	23 (7%)	33 (3%)
Diabetes	1760 (7%)	12 (6%)	101 (7%)	1653 (8%)	21 (6%)	77 (6%)
Chronic kidney disease	250 (1%)	< 5 ²	16 (1%)	1093 (5%)	8 (2%)	48 (4%)
Anaemia	480 (2%)	< 5 ²	33 (2%)	1135 (5%)	18 (5%)	55 (5%)
Medication use (any time before diagnosis)						
Statin	6254 (25%)	59 (27%)	361 (27%)	4920 (24%)	69 (20%)	263 (22%)
Aspirin	3742 (15%)	35 (16%)	213 (16%)	3360 (16%)	53 (16%)	174 (15%)
Metformin	1302 (5%)	8 (4%)	73 (5%)	1054 (5%)	18 (5%)	54 (5%)
Oral contraceptive	1666 (7%)	13 (6%)	83 (6%)	1841 (9%)	23 (7%)	90 (8%)
Hormone receptor status						
Estrogen receptor positive	21287 (84%)	171 (78%)	1136 (84%)			
Progesterone receptor positive	14340 (57%)	136 (62%)	706 (52%)			
HER2 receptor positive	3581 (14%)	25 (12%)	198 (15%)			
Cancer stage						
1	11150 (44%)	119 (55%)	710 (52%)	8475 (41%)	179 (53%)	554 (46%)
2	9513 (38%)	70 (32%)	490 (36%)	6812 (33%)	80 (24%)	331 (28%)
3	1903 (8%)	9 (4%)	65 (5%)	1698 (8%)	8-18 ²	45-55 ²
4	1183 (5%)	7 (3%)	21 (2%)	378 (2%)	<10 ²	<10 ²
Missing	1639 (7%)	13 (6%)	70 (5%)	3379 (16%)	61 (18%)	255 (21%)
Cancer grade						
1	3204 (13%)	39 (18%)	214 (16%)	3120 (15%)	66 (20%)	224 (19%)
2	11899 (47%)	105 (48%)	680 (50%)	9390 (45%)	155 (46%)	535 (45%)
3	8827 (35%)	59 (27%)	406 (30%)	5205 (25%)	60 (18%)	266 (22%)
Missing	1458 (6%)	15 (7%)	56 (4%)	3027 (15%)	57 (17%)	170 (14%)
Cancer treatment						
Surgery	21257 (84%)	196 (90%)	1234 (91%)	18699 (90%)	304 (90%)	1110 (93%)
Chemotherapy	9393 (37%)	67 (31%)	465 (34%)	1500 (7%)	26 (8%)	85 (7%)
Radiotherapy	10726 (42%)	95 (44%)	650 (48%)	6030 (29%)	63 (19%)	315 (26%)
Hormonal treatment (any time after diagnosis)						
Tamoxifen	13864 (55%)	109 (50%)	725 (54%)	12721 (61%)	196 (58%)	690 (58%)
Aromatase inhibitor	12191 (48%)	115 (53%)	769 (57%)	8722 (42%)	164 (49%)	648 (54%)

¹Hysterectomy/ oophorectomy in the following time periods: before cancer or at cancer diagnosis (anytime up to 6 months after cancer diagnosis), and after cancer diagnosis (more than 6 months after cancer diagnosis).

²Range shown to maintain statistical disclosure control.

Table 2: Vaginal estrogen therapy use after diagnosis and cancer-specific mortality pooled in Scotland and Wales.

Analysis	Events	Person years	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	P	Adjusted ¹ HR (95% CI)	P	Fully adjusted ² HR (95% CI)	P
Pooled								
No HRT use	5624	285342	1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)	
Systemic HRT use	51	3894	0.75 (0.57, 0.98)	0.038	0.90 (0.63, 1.28)	0.555	0.98 (0.68, 1.40)	0.902
Only vaginal estrogen therapy use	120	11437	0.66 (0.55, 0.80)	<0.001	0.72 (0.60, 0.86)	<0.001	0.77 (0.63, 0.94)	0.011
1-4 vaginal estrogen therapy prescriptions	105	9374	0.70 (0.58, 0.85)	<0.001	0.75 (0.62, 0.92)	0.005	0.81 (0.67, 0.99)	0.04
5+ vaginal estrogen therapy prescriptions	15	2062	0.49 (0.30, 0.82)	0.007	0.55 (0.32, 0.97)	0.04	0.57 (0.34, 0.96)	0.033
Lower dose vaginal estrogen therapy	92-97 ⁴	9098	0.65 (0.53, 0.80)	<0.001	0.71 (0.55, 0.93)	0.011	0.77 (0.56, 1.07)	0.122
Higher dose vaginal estrogen therapy ³	23-28 ⁴	2339	0.69 (0.39, 1.21)	0.197	0.78 (0.53, 1.15)	0.215	0.81 (0.55, 1.21)	0.311
Scotland								
No HRT use	2293	115520	1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)	
Systemic HRT use	15	859	0.91 (0.55, 1.51)	0.72	1.14 (0.69, 1.90)	0.61	1.26 (0.73, 2.16)	0.41
Only vaginal estrogen therapy use	45	3979	0.65 (0.48, 0.88)	<0.001	0.78 (0.58, 1.05)	0.1	0.88 (0.65, 1.19)	0.4
Wales								
No HRT use	3331	169822	1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)		1.00 (ref. cat.)	
Systemic HRT use	36	3035	0.69 (0.49, 0.95)	0.025	0.78 (0.56, 1.09)	0.145	0.86 (0.61, 1.21)	0.383
Only vaginal estrogen therapy use	75	7458	0.67 (0.53, 0.85)	0.001	0.68 (0.54, 0.86)	0.001	0.71 (0.56, 0.90)	0.005

¹Adjusted model for age, year, deprivation, cancer treatment (surgery, radio, chemo), tamoxifen (as time varying covariate), aromatase inhibitors (as time varying covariate), Charlson comorbidities (before diagnosis), anaemia (before diagnosis), medication use (before diagnosis: statin, aspirin, metformin, oral contraceptives) and hysterectomy/oophorectomy (before or at diagnosis).²Model contains variables in ¹ and stage and grade using multiple imputation. ³Higher dose vaginal estrogen therapy contains 25µg estradiol tablets and lower dose consists of all other vaginal estrogen therapy. ⁴Range shown to maintain statistical disclosure control.

Table 3: Sensitivity analyses for the association between vaginal estrogen therapy use compared with no HRT use after cancer diagnosis.

Analysis	Non-user events (person years)	Vaginal estrogen events (person years)	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Adjusted ¹ HR (95% CI)	Fully adjusted ² HR (95%CI)
Main analysis	5624 (285342)	120 (11437)	0.66 (0.55, 0.80)	0.72 (0.60, 0.86)	0.77 (0.63, 0.94)
Using 1 year lag	5132 (262441)	104 (10202)	0.67 (0.55, 0.81)	0.72 (0.59, 0.87)	0.77 (0.63, 0.94)
Using 2 year lag	3932 (218204)	76 (8046)	0.63 (0.42, 0.95)	0.72 (0.57, 0.90)	0.75 (0.60, 0.95)
Restricted to age 55 to 79 years at diagnosis	3880 (187722)	86 (7745)	0.67 (0.54, 0.83)	0.76 (0.61, 0.95)	0.82 (0.63, 1.07)
Including age 18 to 79 years at diagnosis	6062 (299018)	121 (11725)	0.64 (0.53, 0.77)	0.69 (0.57, 0.82)	0.74 (0.61, 0.90)
Restricted to stage 1 to 3	3551 (243892)	90 (9329)	0.73 (0.59, 0.90)	0.75 (0.60, 0.92)	0.80 (0.65, 0.99)
New HRT users ³	5046 (233546)	68 (6572)	0.66 (0.52, 0.84)	0.70 (0.55, 0.90)	0.76 (0.59, 0.97)
Adjusting for prior HRT use	5624 (285342)	120 (11437)	0.66 (0.55, 0.80)	0.77 (0.64, 0.92)	0.81 (0.67, 0.98)
Estrogen receptor positive breast cancer ⁴	1516 (98591)	35 (3366)	0.69 (0.49, 0.97)	0.83 (0.59, 1.16)	0.88 (0.62, 1.25)
Estrogen receptor negative breast cancer ⁴	732 (15438)	10 (579)	0.53 (0.28, 0.98)	0.55 (0.29, 1.03)	0.68 (0.36, 1.28)
Stratifying entire cohort ⁵					
No tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor use	1752 (60805)	21 (2207)	0.51 (0.33, 0.78)	0.56 (0.36, 0.86)	0.67 (0.43, 1.04)
Tamoxifen only use	595 (88062)	14 (3433)	0.86 (0.51, 1.48)	0.89 (0.52, 1.53)	1.01 (0.52, 1.95)
Aromatase inhibitor use (with or without tamoxifen)	3277 (136474)	85 (5797)	0.68 (0.54, 0.84)	0.70 (0.57, 0.87)	0.72 (0.58, 0.91)
Stratifying only vaginal estrogen therapy users ⁶					
No tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor use	5624 (285342)	21 (2207)	0.61 (0.37, 1.01)	0.58 (0.36, 0.94)	0.68 (0.35, 1.33)
Tamoxifen only use	5624 (285342)	14 (3433)	0.26 (0.15, 0.43)	0.33 (0.20, 0.56)	0.41 (0.21, 0.79)
Aromatase inhibitor use (with or without tamoxifen)	5624 (285342)	85 (5797)	0.94 (0.76, 1.17)	0.98 (0.79, 1.22)	0.99 (0.79, 1.24)
Adjusting for stage and grade (complete case) ⁷	3788 (231575)	88 (8886)	0.71 (0.54, 0.93)	0.72 (0.54, 0.94)	0.82 (0.66, 1.01)
Additionally adjusting for smoking and BMI (multiple imputation) ⁷	3331 (169822)	75 (7458)	0.67 (0.53, 0.85)	0.68 (0.54, 0.86)	0.73 (0.57, 0.92)
Breast cancer as any cause of death	6489 (285342)	144 (11437)	0.68 (0.58, 0.80)	0.73 (0.62, 0.86)	0.77 (0.65, 0.92)
Cardiovascular death	919 (285342)	42-47 (11437)	0.80 (0.30, 2.11)	0.77 (0.28, 2.15)	0.78 (0.28, 2.16)
All-cause mortality	9612 (285342)	290 (11437)	0.73 (0.58, 0.91)	0.78 (0.69, 0.88)	0.80 (0.71, 0.90)

¹Adjusted model contains, except where otherwise stated, age, year, deprivation, cancer treatment (surgery, radio, chemo), tamoxifen (as time varying covariate), aromatase inhibitors (as time varying covariate), Charlson comorbidities (before diagnosis), anaemia (before diagnosis), medication use (before diagnosis: statin, aspirin, metformin, oral contraceptives) and

hysterectomy/oophorectomy (anytime before or up to 6 months after diagnosis). ²Fully adjusted model contains, except where otherwise stated, variables in ¹ and stage and grade using multiple imputation. ³Restricted to individuals not using HRT before breast cancer diagnosis. ⁴Scotland only. ⁵Stratifying entire cohort by endocrine therapy use e.g. vaginal estrogen therapy users not on tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor are compared with HRT non-users not on tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors. ⁶Stratifying only vaginal estrogen therapy users by endocrine therapy use and hence the comparison group is all HRT non-users in each analysis e.g. vaginal estrogen therapy users not on tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor compared with all HRT non-users. ⁷Wales only.