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ABSTRACT

Using five independent non-clinical cross-cultural samples (total N = 3649; overall Mage = 29.31; 31% male and 69% female), this study explored the extent to which Dark Triad traits were indirectly associated with symptoms of psychopathology through mental toughness. Although Machiavellianism and psychopathy have not been studied extensively in this context, previous research (both cross-sectional and longitudinal) reports that grandiose narcissism increases mental toughness contributing indirectly to positive outcomes such as lower anxiety, stress, and depression. Accordingly, this study examined Machiavellianism, psychopathy, and narcissism in the context of mental toughness and psychopathology. A particular focus was placed on investigating negative relationships between grandiose narcissism and psychopathology. Participants completed self-report measures assessing the Dark Triad, mental toughness, and psychopathology. In all samples, grandiose narcissism exerted moderate negative, indirect associations with anxiety, stress, and depression through mental toughness. Relationships between Machiavellianism and psychopathy and psychopathology were generally weak and positive but varied across countries. Findings provided further cross-cultural support for a mediation model in which grandiose narcissism is related to higher mental toughness and lower psychopathology. Outcomes from this study indicate that exploration of the link between grandiose narcissism and resilience traits such as mental toughness can provide important conceptual insights into the adaptive properties of narcissism, and help to explain why grandiose narcissism is associated with a decrease in some psychopathological symptoms.

1. Introduction

The Dark Triad refers to the three interrelated traits including Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy (Paulhus and Williams, 2002). Christie et al. (2014) derived their conceptualization of Machiavellianism from the writings of the 16th century political and military strategist and leader Niccolo Machiavelli. Hence, the construct denotes individuals who demonstrate strong agreement with the opinions of Machiavelli, such as endorsement of scheming and the use of manipulation. Machiavellianism is characterized by cynicism, indifference to morality, and distrust of others (Dahling et al., 2008). Subclinical narcissism includes facets retained from the clinical syndrome, namely grandiosity, entitlement, dominance, and superiority. Two main types of narcissism exist: grandiose and vulnerable. Grandiose narcissism
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encompasses exhibitionism, lack of humility/modesty, and interpersonal dominance, whereas principal features of vulnerable narcissism include negative affect, distrust, selfishness, and a need for attention/recognition (Dickinson and Pincus, 2003). Historically, psychopathy was investigated in clinical and institutional settings. Illustratively, Hare (1980) developed the Psychopathy Checklist (PCL) as a diagnostic tool to screen for clinical levels of psychopathy. In 1985, Hare established the Self-Report Psychopathy (SRP) scale, which facilitated wider assessment of psychopathy in subclinical research.

The Dark Triad was the initial taxonomy proposed to represent dark personalities. The three traits share a dark core composed of diminished empathy, ruthless exploitation of others (Jones and Figueredo, 2013), and a predisposition to high antagonism (Truhan et al., 2021). Noting these commonalities, investigators have often examined Dark Triad collectively. However, other researchers criticize this approach because Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy differ in important ways, including, for example, their propensities toward impulsivity (Jones and Paulhus, 2011). Studies also report variations within traits as Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy differ in important ways.

3. The current study

Extant research indicates that individuals who score high on both grandiose narcissism and mental toughness may be highly goal oriented, respond proactively to stressors, and exhibit better mental health outcomes. This is consistent with the notion that including subclinical narcissism (or at least its grandiose facet) into the Dark Triad as a trait that links to poor and toxic psychosocial outcomes, requires revision (e.g., Truhan et al., 2020). This proposition is consistent with a large meta-analysis of the Dark Triad literature that failed to report statistically significant correlations between narcissism and various measures of negative psychosocial outcome, such as antisocial tactics, aggression, sex-related issues and mortality problems (with the exception of a weak positive correlation between narcissism and interpersonal difficulties; Muris et al., 2017).

Noting this, additional evidence is needed to examine the relationship between grandiose narcissism and other traits, such as mental toughness, across diverse populations and contexts. This academic work will help to identify and promote narcissism’s adaptive tendencies while delimiting its potential for harm. To achieve this, the present study tested and directly compared the results of a mediation model, across three independent samples (Papageorgiou et al., 2021a,b). The same studies reported that vulnerable narcissism exerted a negative indirect effect on anxiety, stress, and depression through mental toughness in two samples from the UK and Greece (Truhan et al., 2022). In this study, grandiose narcissism and mental toughness were assessed just before the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, while psychopathology was assessed before and during the pandemic. Finally, two cross-sectional studies with five independent Hungarian samples reported that grandiose narcissism was associated with higher mental toughness and resilience and reduced levels of psychopathology (Zhabe, Kun, Balogh, Simon, Csike, 2022).

2. Method

2.1. Sample

This study used five independent national samples enrolled through advertisements on social networks and word of mouth. Data collection took place online. Preliminary data screening eliminated data points with z-scores >3.29 or < −3.29 SDs (26 UK, 94 Greece, 15 Italy, 58 Russia, and 1 Canada) (Tabachnick and Fiddell, 2013). Definitive samples included: United Kingdom (UK), 616 participants (433 females and 183 males; Mage = 27.88, SD = 11.15, range = 18–71); Greece, 1238 participants (869 females and 378 males; Mage = 35.30, SD = 13.10, range = 18 to 86); Italy, 428 participants (314 females and 114 males; Mage = 27.40, SD = 10.65, range = 18–69); Russia, 1100 participants (746 females and 354 males; Mage = 37.23, SD = 10.54, range = 18–72); and
Canada (267 participants, 142 females and 125 males; Mage = 18.84, SD = 1.82, range = 18–35).

2.2. Instrument translation

For instrument translation we followed the method suggested by Brislin (1986) and Beaton et al. (2000). Specifically, to enable data collection in Greece, Russia, and Italy, two native speaking external colleagues (i.e., not co-authors) completed forward translation of the Mental Toughness Questionnaire (MTQ10), and the Short Dark Triad questionnaire (SD3; Jones and Paulhus, 2014) (for Greece only). Then, a co-author and an external colleague (an English teacher whose first language is Greek) proficient in English, whose respective native languages are Greek and Russian, evaluated unsatisfactory expressions/lizations and completed back translation. The same approach was followed for the Italian translation of the MTQ10. Back translation is an established technique that has been utilized in cross-cultural survey research throughout the past 50 years (Son, 2018; Denovan et al., 2021a,b; 2022).

2.3. Measures

The Short Dark Triad questionnaire (SD3; Jones and Paulhus, 2014) is a widely used measure of subclinical narcissism, subclinical psychopathy, and Machiavellianism (Vaughan et al., 2019). The SD3 includes 27 items with nine per subscale. Responses are given on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, to 5 = strongly agree). Example items include ‘People see me as a natural leader’ and ‘Payback needs to be quick and nasty’. Satisfactory validity and internal consistency exist (Jones and Paulhus, 2014).

The measure has been adapted to Russian with good reliability results (α ≥ 0.70 for all scales; Egorova et al., 2015). In this study, Machiavellianism demonstrated acceptable reliability (UK α = 0.70, Greece α = 0.70, Italy α = 0.80, Russia α = 0.70, Canada α = 0.84), as did psychopathy (UK α = 0.73, Greece α = 0.74, Italy α = 0.75, Russia α = 0.71, Canada α = 0.75). Narcissism exhibited a lower reliability estimate for Greece (UK α = 0.73, Greece α = 0.61, Italy α = 0.72, Russia α = 0.69, Canada α = 0.67). However, this subscale tends to exhibit lower reliability (Jones and Paulhus, 2014).

The 10-item MTQ10 (Dagnall et al., 2019; Papageorgiou et al., 2018) is a condensed edition of the MTQ48 (Clough et al., 2002). It includes the highest line-adding items in each of the four facets (i.e., challenge, commitment, control, and confidence). Items are presented as statements (e.g., ‘I generally feel in control’) and rated on a 5-point Likert scale. Satisfactory reliability exists for the measure (Papageorgiou et al., 2018). Acceptable internal consistency existed in this study (UK α = 0.84, Greece α = 0.80, Italy α = 0.79, Russia α = 0.74, Canada α = 0.81). Unlike the MTQ48 that assesses total MT and its four facets, the MTQ10 provides an overall MT score only.

The 21-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21; Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995) assessed symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress. The DASS-21 includes three 7-item subscales (one each for depression, anxiety, and stress) with a 4-point Likert response format ranging from 0 (Did not apply to me at all) to 3 (Applied to me very much or most of the time). Items are presented as statements (e.g., ‘I found it difficult to relax’ and ‘I felt that life was meaningless’). The measure possesses good reliability (Maya et al., 2022) and evidenced satisfactory internal consistency in this study (depression: UK α = 0.92, Greece α = 0.89, Italy α = 0.89, Russia α = 0.85, Canada α = 0.90; anxiety: UK α = 0.86, Greece α = 0.85, Italy α = 0.86, Russia α = 0.87, Canada α = 0.84; stress: UK α = 0.84, Greece α = 0.85, Italy α = 0.89, Russia α = 0.90, Canada α = 0.87).

2.4. Procedure and ethics

Following advertisements on social networks, interested respondents obtained details of the study’s aims and objectives via an information sheet. Participants supplied informed consent to take part and received a message containing a link to the online questionnaire alongside a unique respondent code. Completion of the measures was self-paced, and participants only advanced to the subsequent page after completing all items. Upon conclusion of the study, participants were debriefed. The project received ethical approval from the lead university. Procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and concurred with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

2.5. Data analysis

Assessment of bivariate correlations investigated basic associations among variables. Analysis (using Mplus 7.4; Muthén and Muthén, 2015) included an assessment of a mediation model with the total sample to investigate the hypothesized indirect/mediating role of mental toughness in relation to Dark Triad traits, symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress. The hypothesized model included direct paths from Dark Triad traits to mental toughness, and from mental toughness to depression, anxiety, and stress, whilst integrating the paths from Dark Triad traits to depression, anxiety, and stress. This method was valuable to examine if the associations of the Dark Triad traits with depression, anxiety, and stress take place in the absence of any intervening variable, or if these are channeled through mental toughness (Tigheza, 2014). Model testing included bootstrapping (1000 resamples) to assess the significance of the indirect relationships relative to 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals (Preacher and Hayes, 2008).

The chi-square statistic, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Root-Mean-Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) and Standardized Root-Mean-Square Residual (SRMR) were used to assess model fit. An acceptable model required CFI > 0.90, SRMR < 0.08, and RMSEA < 0.08 (Browne and Cudeck 1993). For RMSEA the 90% confidence interval (CI) was incorporated. Comparison of models included a Satorra-Bentler chi-square difference test (Bang et al., 2019).

Lastly, a multigroup path analysis tested cross-cultural invariance by comparing two models. Explicitly, a baseline/unconstrained model with no equality constraints (i.e., equal model structure, but freely estimated coefficients) and a constrained model where all parameters were fixed to be equal between the five countries. A significant chi-square during model comparison infers non-invariance in relation to the structural paths (Bang et al., 2019). Effect sizes were interpreted using Kenny’s (2016) criteria of small ≤ 0.01, medium = 0.09, and large ≥ 0.25.

3. Results

3.1. Preliminary analysis

Preliminary assessment of univariate normality revealed that skewness values fell between −2.0 and +2.0, and kurtosis between −4.0 and + 4.0 (Field and Miles, 2010) (Table 1). Bivariate correlations (Table 1) indicated small-to-moderate significant associations between the Dark Triad traits. Mental toughness evidenced weak associations with Machiavellianism and psychopathy, and a moderate relationship with narcissism. Depression, anxiety, and stress correlated negatively with mental toughness and psychopathy, and positively with Machiavellianism and psychopathy.

3.2. Model test for total sample

The mediation model with the total sample was a saturated model, containing as many parameters as data points (Kline, 2005). This is not informative insofar as model fit indices. Accordingly, non-significant paths were trimmed from the model to increase interpretability. The only non-significant path was from narcissism to depression, β = −0.03,
3.3. Multigroup path analysis

The unconstrained model fit the data well, $\chi^2 (5, N = 3649) = 2.30, p = .109$, CFI = 1.0, RMSEA = 0.02 (90% CI of 0.01–0.05), SRMR = 0.01. In addition, this model did not suggest a significantly worse fit than the original model, $-2 \chi^2 (1) = 2.56, p = .109$. This model was retained for subsequent analyses. Fig. 1 displays standardized regression coefficients of this model, which accounted for 33%, 25%, and 28% of the variance in depression, anxiety, and stress respectively.

Assessment of indirect effects using the bootstrap procedure (Table 2) indicated that mental toughness significantly mediated the association between all Dark Triad variables (Machiavellianism, narcissism, psychopathy) and depression, anxiety, and stress. Specifically, mental toughness appeared to contribute to the Dark Triad variables exerting a weaker association with depression, anxiety, and stress.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Skew</th>
<th>Kurt.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.16**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>25.43</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.06**</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.69**</td>
<td>.71**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UK sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>27.98</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>23.41</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td>.10**</td>
<td>.14**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>31.86</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.60**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.69**</td>
<td>.69**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greece sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>26.62</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.11**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>32.85</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.53**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>.68**</td>
<td>.71**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.34**</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.21**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.71**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>.70**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Russia sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.06**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.24**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>33.11</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.36**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td>.75**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.76**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canada sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machiavellianism</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.20**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissism</td>
<td>26.14</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.31**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychopathy</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental toughness</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>.68</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.60**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05, **p < .001.

$p = .028$. A model without this path revealed good fit, $\chi^2 (1, N = 3649) = 2.56, p = .109$, CFI = 1.0, RMSEA = 0.02 (90% CI of 0.01–0.05), SRMR = 0.01. In addition, this model did not suggest a significantly worse fit than the original model, $-2 \chi^2 (1) = 2.56, p = .109$. This model was retained for subsequent analyses. Fig. 1 displays standardized regression coefficients of this model, which accounted for 33%, 25%, and 28% of the variance in depression, anxiety, and stress respectively.

In comparison with the unconstrained model, a non-significant difference existed, $-2 \chi^2 (24) = 35.88, p = .056$. A significant difference was apparent with the fully constrained model, $-2 \chi^2 (32) = 119.02, p < .001$. These outcomes suggested that some of the paths were moderated by country (Table 3). Findings suggested that the paths varied from Machiavellianism to mental toughness, narcissism to mental toughness, mental toughness to depression, mental toughness to anxiety, mental toughness to stress, Machiavellianism to depression, anxiety, and stress, and psychopathy to anxiety. Subsequently, a partially constrained model was computed, in which the paths that differed by country were allowed to vary. Good fit existed, $\chi^2 (29, N = 3649) = 39.02, p = .056$, CFI = 1.0, RMSEA = 0.02 (90% CI of 0.01–0.04), SRMR = 0.02.
Previous research has found that grandiose narcissism associates negatively with symptoms of psychopathology indirectly through
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increasing resilience (e.g., Papageorgiou et al., 2019a,b,c). Five samples from distinct cultural backgrounds were analyzed to explore cross-culturally the association of grandiose narcissism as well as psychopathy and Machiavellianism with resilience (mental toughness) and psychopathology (stress, anxiety, and depression).

Of the dark traits, grandiose narcissism revealed the most consistent pattern of results. Firstly, grandiose narcissism exhibited significant and negative associations with stress, anxiety, and depression through mental toughness across the five samples. The tested model explained a small-to-moderate (depending on the country and type of psychopathology) amount of variation in psychopathology scores that ranged from approximately 2%–10%. Secondly, the model demonstrated slightly stronger relationships among grandiose narcissism, mental toughness, and depression (compared to either anxiety or stress). Conceptually, grandiose narcissism can be perceived as the opposite of depression. In this context, individuals scoring high on grandiose narcissism have unrealistic and self-enhancing views about themselves. Individuals scoring high on symptoms of depression also have an unrealistic view about themselves, such that they self-devaluate. As such, grandiose narcissism may be particularly adaptive with regards to depression because it primarily encapsulates traits of self-belief. This is in line with the results of a recent meta-analysis, which reported that self-enhancement was positively associated with psychological adjustment across sex, age, cohort, and culture (Dufner et al., 2019).

Thirdly, the model explained the highest amount of variation (on average) in psychopathology in the UK, followed by Canada and Italy. Interestingly, the model revealed almost identical results for the Greek and Russian samples. Looking at differences among the samples, the Greek and Russian samples were of similar age and had the highest mean age as compared to the other three samples. It could be that with increasing age, the dark traits lose some of their significance (positive or negative) for important life outcomes. The possible decrease in malevolent traits with age aligns with the maturity principle; that is, personality may change in a way to be more socially mature (i.e., emotionally stable, agreeable, and conscientious), communal, responsible, and self-controlled (Luo et al., 2022; Roberts et al., 2008).

Machiavellianism and psychopathy displayed weaker (as compared to grandiose narcissism) and positive (as opposed to negative) relationships with psychopathology through mental toughness. These results were similar in terms of direction and effect size with the exception of Machiavellianism in the Russian and Canadian samples (where the indirect effects were not significant). The similar results for psychopathy and Machiavellianism could be linked to the way these two traits are typically assessed. Specifically, previous research contends that psychopathy and Machiavellianism scales measure the same concept, and that Machiavellianism assessment tools fail to capture the construct as articulated in theoretical descriptions (Miller et al., 2017).

This investigation should be viewed in light of some important limitations. Firstly, the current study cannot fully explain the cross-cultural differences discussed above. However, the results highlight the need for further cross-cultural research investigating the ways in which the Dark Triad is expressed and associated with mental health. The study is cross-sectional, which precludes definitive conclusions concerning the causal order of the variables. However previous semi-longitudinal work supports the notion that mental toughness mediates the effect of grandiose narcissism on psychopathology (Denovan, et al., 2021). Despite evidence suggesting the Dark Triad is multifaceted (Truhan et al., 2022), this study has explored dark traits as unidimensional constructs. This is problematic, especially in the context of cross-cultural research. For example, while a recent cross-cultural study failed to report significant differences in narcissism assessed with the SD3 in two samples from the UK and Russia (Papageorgiou et al., 2022), it reported a significant, theoretically relevant difference between the two countries on the narcissism Antagonism facet using the Five-Factor Narcissism Inventory Short Form (Sherman et al., 2015). Incorporating in cross-cultural research other (to grandiose) facets of narcissism—such as its antagonistic and vulnerable facets—will help to provide a more balanced account of the positive and negative correlates of narcissism.

While large samples from five distinct cultural backgrounds were collated, other variables (e.g., differences in socioeconomic conditions among the countries) that could explain cross-cultural differences in the tested model have not been assessed. Future research should investigate ways through which narcissism may differentially impact resilience (e.g., through narcissism and MT’s possible association with sleep patterns, see Sabouri et al., 2016a,b) and mental health in different cultural and socioeconomic environments. Another limitation refers to the demographic differences among the cross-cultural samples. Specifically, some of the differences in the main study variables among different countries could be influenced by the age differences among the samples. As such, direct cross-cultural comparisons and their interpretation become difficult as these may be confounded by demographic differences in the samples. Finally, self-report data may be influenced by common-method variance (Podsakoff et al., 2003) and social desirability. In the present study reliance on self-report measures may be problematic. Specifically, it could be that individuals high in grandiose narcissism may not objectively possess greater mental toughness and lower levels of psychopathology; rather, their perception of mental toughness could be inflated due to overconfidence. As such, while self-report methods hold value, it is important to acknowledge the possibility that self-perceptions might not align with objective reality. Narcissistic individuals may believe themselves to be more resilient, but this should be approached cautiously and should be investigated further. Despite the common criticism of the self-report method, two points should be made here: (1) It appears that the self-report method remains the workhorse of personality research with few alternatives (Papageorgiou et al., 2023); (2) Research suggests that most of the hypothesized trait-outcome associations in personality research can be successfully replicated (Soto, 2019).

5. Conclusion

The present investigation has direct theoretical and indirect applied implications. The findings build on existing evidence regarding the positive association between grandiose narcissism and psychopathology, primarily depression, through increasing resilience. Although several recent papers have reported this finding, the aetiology of this association remains unexplored. Indeed, it is fascinating that grandiose narcissism correlates positively with Machiavellianism and psychopathy, as well as with higher resilience and lower levels of common psychopathology with these findings replicating consistently across cultures. Cross-cultural research has the potential to effectively uncover adaptive and maladaptive aspects of the Dark Triad. For example, previous work has shown that the Dark Triad is sensitive to socioeconomic conditions (Yu et al., 2022). As such, future work may explore whether grandiose narcissism is particularly adaptive in relation to psychopathology in the presence of extreme adversity, and whether these adaptive properties come at a cost (e.g., peer problems due to negative stereotypes about narcissism). Considering the malleability of personality traits, joint intervention programmes with general populations could promote the adaptive—rather than maladaptive—aspects of narcissism to reduce levels of psychopathology.
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