



**QUEEN'S  
UNIVERSITY  
BELFAST**

## **In vitro bioassay investigations of the endocrine disrupting potential of steviol glycosides and their metabolite steviol, components of the natural sweetener Stevia**

Shannon, M., Rehfeld, A., Frizzell, C., Livingstone, C., McGonagle, C., Skakkebaek, N. E., Wielogórska, E., & Connolly, L. (2016). *In vitro* bioassay investigations of the endocrine disrupting potential of steviol glycosides and their metabolite steviol, components of the natural sweetener *Stevia*. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 427, 65-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2016.03.005>

**Published in:**  
Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology

**Document Version:**  
Peer reviewed version

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

### **Publisher rights**

© 2016, Elsevier

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

### **Open Access**

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

1 ***In vitro* bioassay investigations of the endocrine disrupting potential of steviol glycosides**  
2 **and their metabolite steviol, components of the natural sweetener *Stevia***

3 Maeve Shannon<sup>1</sup>, Anders Rehfeld<sup>2,3</sup>, Caroline Frizzell<sup>1</sup>, Christina Livingstone<sup>1</sup>, Caoimhe  
4 McGonagle<sup>1</sup>, Niels E. Skakkebaek<sup>2</sup>, Ewa Wielogorska<sup>1</sup> and Lisa Connolly<sup>1</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup>*Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University,*  
7 *Belfast, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom.*

8 <sup>2</sup>*Department of Growth and Reproduction, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet,*  
9 *Denmark;*

10 <sup>3</sup>*Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Center for*  
11 *Healthy Ageing, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;*

12 ***Word Count:*** 5,033 (excluding references)

13 ***Number of figures:*** 5

14 ***Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed:***

15 Dr. Lisa Connolly

16 Institute for Global Food Security

17 Queen's University Belfast

18 18-30 Malone Road Belfast, BT9 5BN

19 Phone: +44 (0)28 90976668

20 Email: l.connolly@qub.ac.uk

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24 The food industry is moving towards the use of natural sweeteners such as those produced by  
25 *Stevia rebaudiana* due to the number of health and safety concerns surrounding artificial  
26 sweeteners. Despite the fact that these sweeteners are natural; they cannot be assumed safe.  
27 Steviol glycosides have a steroidal structure and therefore may have the potential to act as an  
28 endocrine disruptor in the body. Reporter gene assays (RGAs), H295R steroidogenesis assay  
29 and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  fluorimetry based assays using human sperm cells have been used to assess the  
30 endocrine disrupting potential of two steviol glycosides: stevioside and rebaudioside A, and  
31 their metabolite steviol. A decrease in transcriptional activity of the progesterone receptor was  
32 seen following treatment with 25,000 ng/ml steviol in the presence of progesterone (157  
33 ng/ml) resulting in a 31% decrease in progesterone response ( $p = <0.01$ ). At the level of  
34 steroidogenesis, the metabolite steviol (500-25,000 ng/ml) increased progesterone production  
35 significantly by 2.3 fold when exposed to 10,000 ng/ml ( $p = <0.05$ ) and 5 fold when exposed  
36 to 25,000 ng/ml ( $p = <0.001$ ). Additionally, steviol was found to induce an agonistic response  
37 on CatSper, a progesterone receptor of sperm, causing a rapid influx of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . The response  
38 was fully inhibited using a specific CatSper inhibitor. These findings highlight the potential  
39 for steviol to act as a potential endocrine disruptor.

40 **Key terms:** Endocrine disruptors, *in vitro* bioassays, steviol, reproductive disorders, CatSper;  
41 *Stevia*

42

43

44

45

46        **1. Introduction**

47        Steviol glycosides are high intensity sweeteners that can be isolated and purified from the  
48        leaves of *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bertoni). These glycosides of the diterpene derivative steviol  
49        consist mainly of stevioside and rebaudioside A. These chemicals have sweetening potentials  
50        200-300 times that of sucrose, are thermally stable and account for approximately 5-10% of  
51        dry leaf weight (Soejarto, *et al.*, 1982).

52        The increasing prevalence of diabetes and obesity worldwide, in conjunction with a growing  
53        concern over the safety of chemical sweeteners such as aspartame has led to a growing  
54        demand for natural non-calorie sweeteners such as steviol glycosides which are presumed  
55        safe to eat and are of acceptable taste. With increasing health consciousness, the use of  
56        steviol glycosides as a food additive is now encouraged to slow down the world-wide sugar  
57        consumption and therefore contribute towards combating the increasing diabetes and obesity  
58        rates (Brahmachari, *et al.*, 2011). Steviol glycosides are now authorised for use in a range of  
59        commonly consumed food products (The European Commission, 2011), resulting in steviol  
60        equivalents within a range of 20,000 up to 3,300,000 ng/ml abundantly present in foods  
61        (Table 1).

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 *Table 1: Examples of authorised uses of steviol glycosides (E-Number 960, expressed as*  
70 *steviol equivalents) in food.*

<b>Food category</b>	<b>Maximum level steviol equivalents (ng/ml or ng/g )</b>	<b>Restrictions/Exceptions</b>
<b>Cocoa and Chocolate products; as covered by Directive 2000/36/EC</b>	270,000	Only energy-reduced or with no added sugars
<b>Chewing gum</b>	3,300,000	Only with no added sugar
<b>Flavoured Drinks</b>	80,000	Only energy reduced or with no added sugar
<b>Potato – cereal, flour or starch-based snacks</b>	20,000	
<b>Jam, Jellies and marmalades and sweetened chestnut puree; as defined by Directive 2001/113/EC</b>	200,000	Only energy-reduced jams, jellies and marmalades
<b>Fruit and vegetables (preparations excluding compote)</b>	200,000	Only energy-reduced


71

72 The safety of steviol glycosides for the proposed use as food additives has been evaluated by  
73 the Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), a scientific advisory body of Food  
74 and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, and the World Health  
75 Organisation (WHO). JECFA have established an ADI (Acceptable Daily Intake) for steviol  
76 glycosides (expressed as steviol equivalents) of 4 mg/kg bw/day (FAO, 2010). This ADI  
77 takes into account a no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) and applies a 100-fold safety  
78 uncertainty factor extrapolated from a 2-year carcinogenicity study on rats consuming 2.5%  
79 stevioside in the diet, equating to 967 mg stevioside/kg bw/day or 388 mg steviol

80 equivalents/kg bw/day (Xili *et al.*, 1992). However, conservative estimates of steviol  
81 glycoside exposure, both in adults and in children, suggest that the ADI would in some cases  
82 be exceeded at the maximum proposed use levels (The European Commission, 2011).  
83 Consumption studies demonstrate that intact steviol glycosides are poorly absorbed by  
84 humans after oral exposure (Pawar, *et al.*, 2013). However, they may be hydrolysed to the  
85 metabolite steviol by intestinal microflora in the colon, where the majority is absorbed across  
86 the gut wall and the rest is excreted in the faeces. To aid excretion from the body, absorbed  
87 steviol is rapidly transformed to steviol glucuronide in the liver via conjugation to an acyl-  
88 glucuronide (Brusick, 2008). Consequently, while steviol glycosides and steviol are not  
89 detected in the blood or urine of human subjects, steviol glucuronide has been reported in  
90 urine and steviol in faeces (Wheeler, *et al.*, 2008).

91 Human studies into “safe” levels of steviol glycoside consumption have shown that daily  
92 doses of rebaudioside A up to 1,000,000 ng/person/day were well-tolerated by individuals  
93 with type-2 diabetes mellitus or normal glucose metabolism (Maki *et al.*, 2008). This dose  
94 equates to approximately 16,600 ng/kg bw/day for a 60 kg person and corresponds to  
95 approximately 330,000 ng steviol equivalents/person/day or to 5,500 ng steviol  
96 equivalents/kg bw/day (Maki *et al.*, 2008).

97 Steviol glycosides and steviol possess a steroidal structure (*Fig.1*) and therefore may have the  
98 potential to act as an endocrine disrupting chemical (EDC).



99 *Figure 1: Chemical structure of steviol, stevioside and rebaudioside A*

100 The WHO defines an EDC as “an exogenous substance or mixture that alters function(s) of  
101 the endocrine system and consequently causes adverse health effects in an intact organism, or  
102 its progeny, or (sub)populations” (WHO, 2002). It is now known that EDCs can act via  
103 multiple mechanisms within the cell and body. These mechanisms may include the  
104 mimicking or blocking of transcriptional activation elicited by naturally present hormones via  
105 binding to hormone receptors or interference with hormone production, secretion and control  
106 systems in the steroidogenesis pathway (Tabb and Blumberg, 2005).

107 A limited number of studies have been presented to date on the effects of steviol glycosides  
108 on the endocrine system. Some studies conversely report no adverse effects on the  
109 reproduction, organs, sperm or foetal development of male or female rats (EFSA, 2010). The  
110 majority of these studies have used *Stevia rebaudiana* extracts as opposed to the pure steviol  
111 glycosides.

112 *In vitro* bioassays are extremely useful tools for the detection and mechanistic study of EDCs  
113 (Connolly *et al.*, 2011). The current study aims to investigate the endocrine disrupting  
114 activity of the natural sweeteners stevioside and rebaudioside A and their metabolite steviol.  
115 Mammalian reporter gene assays (RGAs) incorporating natural steroid receptors have been  
116 used to assess effects on nuclear receptor transcriptional activity (Willemsen *et al.*, 2004),  
117 while the H295R human adrenal carcinoma cell line which has all the important enzymes and  
118 genes needed for steroidogenesis has been used as a model to study effects on hormone  
119 production (Hecker and Giesy, 2008).  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  fluorimetry based assays using human sperm  
120 cells, have also been used to assess the effects of EDCs on the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channel of sperm  
121 (CatSper), which has progesterone as its natural ligand (Schiffer *et al.*, 2014; Tavares *et.al.*,  
122 2013). CatSper regulates several important sperm functions and is absolutely required for  
123 male fertility (Smith *et.al.*, 2013).

124 To the best of our knowledge this is the first reported study investigating the endocrine  
125 disrupting potential of the natural sweeteners stevioside and rebaudioside A and their  
126 metabolite steviol at the level of nuclear receptor transcriptional activity using oestrogen,  
127 androgen, progestagen and glucocorticoid RGAs, on steroidogenesis using the H295R  
128 steroidogenesis model and on the non-genomic progesterone receptor of sperm, CatSper.

## 129 **2. Methods**

### 130 ***2.1 Chemicals and reagents***

131 Cell culture reagents were supplied by Life Technologies (Paisley, UK). The standards 17 $\beta$ -  
132 oestradiol, testosterone, hydrocortisone, progesterone, steviol, stevioside, rebaudioside A and  
133 forskolin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, UK). Dimethyl sulfoxide  
134 (DMSO) and thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were also supplied by Sigma-  
135 Aldrich. Lysis reagents and luciferase assay system was purchased from Promega  
136 (Southampton, UK). Fluorescent Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator Fluo-4 was provided by Invitrogen (USA).  
137 MDL12330A was supplied by Tocris (USA). Human serum albumin was purchased from  
138 Irvine Scientific (USA).

### 139 ***2.2 Cell culture***

140 Four reporter gene assay (RGA) cell lines, the MMV-Luc (oestrogen responsive), TARM-  
141 Luc (androgen and progestagen responsive), TM-Luc (progestagen responsive) and TGRM-  
142 Luc (glucocorticoid and progestagen responsive) were previously developed by transforming  
143 human mammary gland cell lines with the luciferase gene under the control of a steroid  
144 hormone inducible promoter (Willemsen *et al.*, 2004). The H295R human adrenocortical  
145 carcinoma cell line, used in the steroidogenesis model, was obtained from the American Type  
146 Culture Collection (ATCC CRL-2128, Manassas, VA, USA).



147 All cell lines were routinely grown in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flasks (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark)  
148 at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% humidity. The RGA cell lines were cultured in cell culture  
149 medium containing Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), 10% foetal bovine serum  
150 and 1% penicillin streptomycin. For culturing the MMV-Luc cell line, DMEM without  
151 phenol red was used due to the weak oestrogenicity of phenol red. The H295R cell line was  
152 cultured in cell culture medium containing DMEM with Ham's F-12 nutrient mixture (1:1)  
153 supplemented with 1% ITS + Premix and 2.5% NuSerum (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA,  
154 US).

## 155 **2.3 Cell viability assays**

### 156 **2.3.1 MTT assay**

157 The MTT assay was performed to monitor the toxic effects of test compounds in the RGA  
158 cell lines. Briefly, clear flat-bottomed 96-well plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were seeded  
159 with 4 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml of the appropriate cell line. After 24 h stevioside and steviol (500, 1,000,  
160 5,000, 10,000 and 25,000 ng/ml) and rebaudioside A (5,000, 10,000, 25,000, 50,000 and  
161 100,000 ng/ml) were added to the cells at a final DMSO concentration of 0.1%. Test  
162 compounds were then incubated for a further 48 h. Viable cells convert the soluble yellow  
163 MTT into insoluble purple formazan by the action of mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase.  
164 Following incubation, supernatant was discarded and 50 µl of MTT solution/well (5mg/ml  
165 stock in PBS diluted in 1:2.5 in assay media) was added and cells were incubated for a further  
166 3 h. The supernatant was removed and 200 µl of DMSO was added to each well and  
167 incubated for a further 10 min with agitation at 37°C to dissolve the formazan crystals.  
168 Optical density was measured using a Sunrise spectrophotometer at 570 nm with a reference  
169 filter at 630 nm (TECAN, Switzerland). Samples were analysed in triplicate wells and in  
170 three independent experiments. Viability was calculated as a percentage absorbance of the  
171 sample when compared with the absorbance of the DMSO solvent control.

172

### 2.3.2 AlamarBlue® assay

173 The viability of the H295R cells was determined using the AlamarBlue® assay. After the  
174 removal of the media for hormone analysis, 1 ml of 1:10 (v:v) AlamarBlue® in cell culture  
175 medium was added to each well and incubated for 6 h. A 100 µl volume was then removed  
176 from each well and added into clear flat-bottomed 96-well microtitre plates (Nunc, Roskilde,  
177 Denmark). Using a Sunrise spectrophotometer (TECAN, Switzerland) the absorbance was  
178 measured at 570 nm and 600 nm. Viability was calculated as the % absorbance of the sample  
179 in comparison with the absorbance of the solvent control (0.1%, v:v DMSO in media).

180

### 2.4 Reporter gene assays (RGAs)

181 The RGA procedure has previously been described by Frizzell *et al.*, (2011). Briefly, cells  
182 were seeded at a concentration of  $4 \times 10^5$  cells/ml, 100 µl/well in specialised white walled,  
183 clear and flat bottomed 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany). After  
184 24 h, stevioside, steviol (500, 1,000, 5,000, 10,000 and 25,000 ng/ml), rebaudioside A (5,000,  
185 10,000, 25,000, 50,000 and 100,000 ng/ml) and the steroid hormone standards were added to  
186 the cells at a final DMSO concentration of 0.1%. The positive controls used with their  
187 respective cell lines were: 1.36 ng/ml 17β-oestradiol (MMV-Luc), 14.5 ng/ml testosterone  
188 (TARM-Luc), 157 ng/ml progesterone (TM-Luc) and 181 ng/ml hydrocortisone (TGRM-  
189 Luc). A solvent control (0.1%, v:v DMSO in media) was also included for each cell line.  
190 Antagonist tests were carried out by incubating the test compounds with the relevant positive  
191 control for the cell line being tested. The cells were incubated for 48 h. The supernatant was  
192 discarded and the cells washed once with PBS prior to lysis with 20 µl cell lysis buffer  
193 (Promega, Southampton, UK). Finally, 100 µl luciferase substrate (Promega, Southampton,  
194 UK) was injected into each well and luciferase activity measured using a Mithras Multimode  
195 Reader (Berthold, Other, Germany). RGAs were performed in triplicate for each

196 experimental point and repeated in at least two independent exposures. The response of the  
197 cell lines to the various compounds was measured and compared with the solvent and  
198 positive controls.

### 199 ***2.5 Steroidogenesis assay***

200 As steviol glycosides are metabolised to steviol *in vivo*, steviol was tested at five different  
201 concentrations (500, 1,000, 5,000, 10,000 and 25,000 ng/ml). The highest soluble  
202 concentration achieved in DMSO was 25,000 ng/ml. The H295R assay was performed as  
203 described previously (Gracia *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, the cells were seeded at a concentration of  
204  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml, 1 ml per well, in 24-well plates (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, US). The  
205 cells were allowed to attach for 24 h before removing the media and replacing with fresh  
206 media containing the test compounds dissolved in DMSO at a final concentration of 0.1%  
207 (v:v). Forskolin was used as a positive control at a concentration of 10uM. A solvent control  
208 (0.1%, v:v DMSO in media) was also included. Subsequently, the media was collected from  
209 the wells following 48 h incubation and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until hormone quantification was  
210 carried out. A 48 hour incubation time allows the concentrations of these hormones to reach a  
211 plateau-phase under these conditions. The AlamarBlue® cell viability assay was carried out  
212 on the remaining cells in each well. Each experimental point was performed in triplicate in at  
213 least two independent exposures.

### 214 ***2.6 Hormone detection and quantification***

215 Frozen media was thawed prior to analysis. Oestradiol, testosterone and progesterone levels  
216 in the media were quantified by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs)  
217 (Immunodiagnosics, Marburg, Germany). These highly specific kits are based on the  
218 principle of competitive binding and are intended for the quantitative *in vitro* diagnostic  
219 measurement of oestradiol (0–2000 pg/ml), testosterone (0–16 ng/ml) and progesterone (0–40

220 ng/ml) in serum and plasma, with sensitivities of 9.714 pg/ml, 0.083 ng/ml and 0.045 ng/ml  
221 respectively. ELISA kits were carried out according to manufacturer's instructions with the  
222 exception of the standard curves which were prepared in the same culture medium used for  
223 the H295R assay. Prior to media analysis, it was confirmed that steviol (500-25,000 ng/ml)  
224 did not cross-react with the progesterone ELISA antibody (data not included). The intra-assay  
225 coefficient of variation was less than 10%. Standard curves were included on each ELISA  
226 plate. The mean absorbance obtained from each standard was plotted against its concentration  
227 using dose-response curves generated with Graph Pad Prism software.

## 228 ***2.7 Sperm preparation and measurement of changes in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>*** 229 ***concentration***

230 Samples of human semen were obtained from healthy volunteers with their prior consent.  
231 Sperm were prepared as described (Schiffer *et.al.*, 2014). Briefly, sperm were purified by a  
232 "swim-up" procedure in human tubular fluid (HTF<sup>+</sup>) containing (in mM): 97.8 NaCl, 4.69  
233 KCl, 0.2 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.37 KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.04 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.33 Na-pyruvate, 21.4 lactic acid, 2.78 glucose,  
234 21 HEPES, and 4 NaHCO<sub>3</sub> adjusted between pH 7.3-7.4 with NaOH. After washing, human  
235 serum albumin (3 mg/ml) was added to HTF<sup>+</sup>. Sperm were incubated for at least 1 h in HTF<sup>+</sup>  
236 with 3 mg/ml serum albumin at 37 °C in a 10% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

237 Changes in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration in human sperm were measured in 384 multi-well  
238 plates in a fluorescence plate reader (Fluostar Omega, BMG Labtech, Germany) at 30 °C.  
239 Sperm were loaded with the fluorescent Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator Fluo-4 (10 μM) for 45 min at 37 °C.  
240 After incubation, excess dye was removed by centrifugation (700 x g, 10 min, RT). The  
241 sperm pellet was resuspended in HTF<sup>+</sup> to 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> sperm/ml. Aliquots of 50 μl were loaded to  
242 the wells of the multi-well plate. Fluorescence was excited at 480 nm and emission was  
243 recorded at 520 nm with bottom optics. Fluorescence was recorded before and after injection

244 of 25  $\mu$ l (1:3 dilution) of negative control (buffer), positive control (progesterone, 5  $\mu$ M) and  
245 steviol manually with an electronic multichannel pipette. Steviol was dissolved in DMSO to  
246 10 mM and further diluted in HTF<sup>+</sup>. Changes in Fluo-4 fluorescence are shown as  $\Delta F/F_0$  (%),  
247 indicating the percentage change in fluorescence ( $\Delta F$ ) with respect to the mean basal  
248 fluorescence ( $F_0$ ) before addition of steviol, positive control and negative control. For the  
249 inhibition experiment, sperm were incubated with MDL12330A for 5 min prior to addition of  
250 steviol.

## 251 ***2.8 Statistical Analysis***

252 All values shown are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of at least two  
253 independent exposures for the compounds tested (for two independent exposures n=2 and for  
254 three independent exposures n=3). Data from the cell viability, reporter gene, steroidogenesis  
255 and Ca<sup>2+</sup> fluorimetry assays were analysed using Microsoft Excel and Graphpad PRISM 5  
256 software (San Diego, CA). A one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett's Multiple  
257 Comparison Test was used to determine significant differences between treatments and the  
258 corresponding controls. The mean concentrations were tested for significant difference at the  
259 95% confidence level, a *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant (*P*≤0.05 \*, *P*≤0.01 \*\*  
260 and *P*≤0.001 \*\*\*).

261


## 262 **3 Results**

### 263 ***3.1 Cell viability and cytotoxicity***

264 Stevioside (500-25,000 ng/ml), steviol (500-25,000 ng/ml) and rebaudioside A (5000-  
265 100,000 ng/ml) were assessed for cytotoxicity by the MTT assay in the MMV-Luc (oestrogen  
266 responsive), TM-Luc (progestagen responsive), TARM-Luc (androgen and progestagen  
267 responsive) and TGRM-Luc (glucocorticoid and progestagen responsive) cell lines (*Fig.2*).

268 Cytotoxicity was observed at the higher concentrations of stevioside in the TARM-Luc  
 269 (25,000 ng/ml  $p \leq 0.001$ ), TM-Luc (5,000, 10,000, and 25,000 ng/ml,  $P \leq 0.001$ ) and TGRM-  
 270 Luc (10,000 and 25,000 ng/ml,  $P \leq 0.001$ ) RGA cell lines.

271 Steviol (500-25,000 ng/ml) was assessed for cytotoxicity in the H295R cell line by the  
 272 AlamarBlue® assay. Cytotoxicity was not observed at any of the concentrations tested (data  
 273 not shown). The viability of the cells at the sample concentrations did not differ significantly  
 274 from the DMSO solvent control ( $P \geq 0.05$ ).



282 *Figure 2: Viability of the RGA cell lines following exposure to (a) 500-25,000 ng/ml*  
 283 *stevioside for 48 h compared to the solvent control, as determined in the MTT assay. The*  
 284 *MMV-Luc cell line is specific for the detection of oestrogens, TARM-Luc for androgens and*  
 285 *progestagens, TM-Luc for progestagens and TGRM-Luc for glucocorticoids and*  
 286 *progestagens. Values are means  $\pm$  SD for three independent exposures in triplicate (n = 3). P*  
 287 *= <0.05 (\*) P  $\leq$  0.01 (\*\*) P = <0.001 (\*\*\*)*

288 **3.2 Reporter gene assay**

289 An agonist response was not observed for stevioside, steviol or rebaudioside A in the MMV-  
290 Luc, TM-Luc, TARM-Luc or TGRM-Luc cell lines (data not shown). Additionally, no  
291 antagonist response was seen for stevioside and rebaudioside A in the MMV-Luc, TM-Luc,  
292 TARM-Luc or TGRM-Luc cell lines (data not shown). Steviol appeared to exhibit an  
293 antagonist response in the progesterone responsive TM-Luc cell line, with a 28.1% reduction  
294 in progesterone response at the highest concentration of 25,000 ng/ml ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) (Fig.3). At  
295 this concentration of steviol, no reduction in cell viability was observed in the MTT assay.  
296 Although there was a trend in reduction of glucocorticoid transcriptional activity at 25,000  
297 ng/ml steviol, this reduction was not significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ).

298

299


300

301

302

303

304



305 *Figure 3: Antagonist effects in the TM-Luc cell line cell lines for 500-25,000 ng/ml steviol.*

306 *Responses measured are compared to the relative positive control (157 ng/ml progesterone).*

307 *Response is expressed as the percentage response  $\pm$  SD for three independent exposures in*

308 *triplicate (n = 3).  $P = \leq 0.01$  (\*\*)*

309

### **3.3 Hormone production results**

310 Steviol did not induce significant changes in the production of oestradiol and testosterone  
311 (data not shown). However, progesterone production increased significantly by 2.3 fold when  
312 exposed to 10,000 ng/ml ( $P = <0.05$ ) and 5 fold when exposed to 25,000 ng/ml ( $P = <0.001$ )  
313 (Fig.4).

314


315

316

317

318

319



320

321

322

323 *Figure 4: Progesterone production by H295R cells following exposure to 500-25,000 ng/ml*  
324 *steviol for 48 h. Values are means  $\pm$  SD for three independent exposures in triplicate ( $n = 3$ ).*  
325  *$P = <0.05$  (\*)  $P \leq 0.001$  (\*\*\*)*

### 326 **3.4 Effects on progesterone receptor of sperm, CatSper**

327 Steviol was found to induce  $Ca^{2+}$  signals in human sperm cells ( $n=5$ ) (Fig. 5a). The induced  
328 response was found to be inhibited  $98.65 \pm 1.91\%$  by the specific CatSper inhibitor  
329 MDL12330A ( $n=3$ ) (Fig. 5b). Analysis of the dose-response relation yielded an  $EC_{50}$  of  
330  $10.82 \pm 1.06 \mu M / 3,446.17 \pm 337,61 \text{ ng/ml}$  ( $n=4$ ) (Fig. 5c-d). The  $EC_{02}$  was also calculated



331 which corresponds to the lowest effective dose of steviol for inducing effects on CatSper,  
332  $0.676 \pm 0.623 \mu\text{M} / 215.3 \pm 198.4 \text{ ng/ml}$  (n=4).

333

334

335


336

337

338

339

340



341


342

343

344

345

346




347

348

349

350



351

352


353

354

355

356

357 d)



364

365

366 *Figure 5 (a-d): (a)  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signals in human sperm cells induced by steviol, 10  $\mu\text{M}$ , added at 0*

367 *s (n=5) (b) Steviol-induced  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signal in the absence (blue) and presence (red) of the*

368 *CatSper inhibitor MDL12330A (c) Steviol-induced  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signals from one experiment,*

369 *included for estimation of steviol dose-response relationship in d). (d) Normalized dose-*

370 *response relationships of steviol, mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=4).*

371 **4 Discussion**

372 The endocrine disrupting effects of steviol glycosides (stevioside and rebaudioside A) and  
373 their metabolite steviol have been investigated using mammalian *in vitro* bioassays that are  
374 able to detect endocrine disruption at the level of nuclear receptor transcriptional activity and  
375 steroidogenesis. Additionally, the effect of steviol on the progesterone receptor of sperm,  
376 CatSper, was investigated.

377 Cell viability assays are useful controls to ensure that the effects seen in the mechanistic  
378 studies are not a result of decreasing cell viability. Cytotoxicity was observed with the higher  
379 concentrations of stevioside in the TARM-Luc (25,000 ng/ml), TM-Luc (5,000, 10,000, and  
380 25,000 ng/ml) and TGRM-Luc (10,000 and 25,000 ng/ml) responsive RGA cell lines.  
381 Interestingly the MMV-Luc cell line did not appear to be affected by concentrations up to  
382 25,000 ng/ml. This observed difference may be due to the fact that the parent cell line of the  
383 TARM-Luc, TM-Luc and TGRM-Luc cell lines is T47D while for MMV-Luc it is MCF-7. A  
384 similar effect was seen in a previous study by Frizzell *et al.*, (2013), where the test  
385 compound, alternariol, reduced cell viability in the three T47D cell lines but with no  
386 reduction in viability in the MMV-Luc cells (Frizzell *et al.*, 2013). Studies investigating the *in*  
387 *vitro* cytotoxicity of stevioside, steviol and rebaudioside A are scarce. However, Ukiya *et al.*,  
388 (2013) investigated the potential cytotoxicity of steviol in breast (SK-BR-3), leukemia  
389 (HL60), lung (A549) and stomach (AZ521) cancer cell lines by means of the MTT assay and  
390 stated that steviol at a concentration of up to 31,845 ng/ml did not induce cytotoxic effects,  
391 therefore in agreement with the present study. In spite of this, Paul et al (2012) showed a  
392 significant decrease from the solvent control at 3,185 ng/ml stevioside with the effects (71%  
393 of cells undergoing apoptosis) being more pronounced 72 h treatments. Additionally, results  
394 from the trypan blue test in this study showed that there was some cytotoxic activity  
395 occurring even at the lowest concentration tested 796 ng/ml. However, the differences in  
396 findings could be due to the fact that in the trypan blue test, cell membrane integrity is

397 measured rather than mitochondrial membrane integrity as measured by the MTT assay. Also  
398 in the MTT test, stevioside was exposed to the MCF-7 cells for a period of 72 h as opposed to  
399 48 h in the current study.

400 Reporter gene assays provide specific and biologically relevant ways to screen substances for  
401 their hormonal effects at the level of nuclear receptor transcriptional activity (Willemsen *et*  
402 *al.*, 2004). The current study revealed no agonist response in any of the RGA cell lines for all  
403 compounds tested, however an antagonist response was observed in the progesterone  
404 responsive TM-Luc cell line whereby 25,000 ng/ml steviol induced a 29.1% decrease in the  
405 progesterone receptor nuclear transcriptional activity. In agreement with these findings, a  
406 study by Oliveira-Filho *et.al.*, (1989) reported that the number of binding sites for androgens  
407 were not altered in rats chronically administered *Stevia rebaudiana* extract. However, effects  
408 on the androgen receptor have previously been highlighted by Uehara *et.al.*, (1982) who  
409 demonstrated that purified stevioside displaced 5 $\alpha$ -dihydrotestosterone specifically bound to  
410 prostate androgen receptors *in vitro* (Uehara *et al.*, 1982). This finding was confirmed with  
411 the synthetic androgen, methyltrienolone, a specific ligand of androgen receptors (Uehara *et*  
412 *al.*, 1983). Differences in findings may be due to the varying forms of stevioside used in the  
413 studies i.e. purified or plant extract and also the type of study carried out i.e. *in vitro* vs *in*  
414 *vivo*.

415 Compounds can also be classed as EDCs through disrupting steroidogenesis; a complex  
416 process regulated by enzymes. Harvey *et al.*, (2007) state that the adrenal gland was often  
417 neglected in regulatory endocrine disruption screening and testing despite it being the most  
418 common toxicological target organ in the endocrine system (Harvey *et al.*, 2007). In the  
419 current study the H295R model was utilised to investigate the effects of steviol on  
420 steroidogenesis. It was observed that steviol had no effect on oestradiol or testosterone  
421 production; however, at 10,000 ng/ml and 25,000 ng/ml, significant increases in the

422 production of progesterone were observed. Progesterone is an intermediary of oestradiol and  
423 testosterone but the increased progesterone levels observed did not result in a down-stream  
424 increase of oestradiol or testosterone. However, the steroidogenesis pathway is an intricate  
425 and complicated pathway and there may be other events taking place in the pathway that are  
426 affecting the levels of testosterone and oestradiol. In addition, the H295R model has been  
427 validated as an OECD *in vitro* screening assay to screen for endocrine disruptor action on  
428 oestradiol and testosterone production. The validation process points to 48 hrs as an optimal  
429 time point with the concentrations of these hormones seemingly reaching a plateau-phase  
430 under these conditions and therefore most research to date has been carried out under these  
431 validated conditions. However, further time points, gene and protein expression studies may  
432 reveal additional effects on the levels of oestradiol and testosterone where the intermediary  
433 progesterone is increased.

434 Steviol was also found to induce an agonistic response on CatSper, the progesterone receptor  
435 of sperm, with an  $EC_{50}$  of  $10.82 \pm 1.06 \mu\text{M}$ / $3,446.17 \pm 337,61 \text{ ng/ml}$  and an  $EC_{02}$  of  
436  $0.676 \pm 0.623 \mu\text{M}$ / $215.3 \pm 198.4 \text{ ng/ml}$ . This response was fully inhibited ( $98.65 \pm 1.91\%$ )  
437 using a specific CatSper inhibitor, indicating that the observed response is indeed mediated  
438 through direct interaction between steviol and CatSper. This is of concern because CatSper  
439 regulates several important sperm functions and is absolutely required for male fertility  
440 (Smith *et.al.*, 2013). Other studies have similarly shown that structurally diverse chemicals  
441 can act agonistically on CatSper (Schiffer *et.al.*, 2014; Tavares *et.al.*, 2013) and that these  
442 chemicals can act additively in low doses to induce a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  response. This hints that even  
443 low doses in the female reproductive tract could possibly affect human sperm cell function,  
444 during their passage through the tract. To our knowledge, steviol has not been measured in  
445 reproductive system fluids. However, due to the close anatomical relationship between the  
446 distal colon/rectum with the reproductive tract in female and seminal vesicles/prostate in

447 males, it is possible that steviol absorbed in the distal colon/rectum, which bypasses the liver  
448 and portal-circulation, can get into the reproductive fluids (Einer-Jensen and Hunter, 2005).

449 This study reports that steviol can modulate an increase in progesterone production and  
450 antagonise the progesterone and agonise CatSper receptors. Progesterone plays a major role  
451 in female reproductive health such as maintaining pregnancy, regulating the monthly  
452 menstrual cycle and preparing the body for conception (Healy, 1990). Progesterone receptor  
453 antagonists now have a use in clinical practice both as a contraceptive and in pregnancy  
454 termination (Spitz, 2003). Planas and Kacute (1968) investigated the potential of aqueous  
455 *Stevia* extract to act as a contraceptive in rats and found that the extract reduced fertility in  
456 adult female rats of proven fertility and fertility continued to decrease for at least 50 to 60  
457 days after intake was stopped (Planas and Kacute, 1968). A link between increased levels of  
458 progesterone and weight gain has been reported (Galletti and Klopper, 1964; Lof *et al.*,  
459 2009). Progesterone also has a significant role in insulin secretion. Insulin has an important  
460 role in controlling blood sugar levels and therefore in patients with diabetes, insulin is either  
461 at very low levels or low functioning. If insulin levels are altered, blood glucose regulation  
462 will be adversely affected and subsequently, diabetes risk could increase (Diabetes UK,  
463 2015). Straub *et al.*, (2001) reported that progesterone had the ability to inhibit glucose-  
464 stimulated insulin secretion from isolated rat islets in a dose-dependent manner. However,  
465 further in depth investigations of the relationship between progesterone levels in the body and  
466 glucose-stimulated insulin secretion are required. The results of this study suggest that steviol  
467 could potentially affect glucose and weight homeostasis indirectly through modulation of  
468 steroid hormones. This is of heightened concern due to the fact that steviol glycosides are  
469 non-calorific sweeteners aimed towards reducing the incidence of metabolic disease such as  
470 diabetes and obesity.

471 A decrease in transcriptional activity of the progesterone receptor was observed following  
472 exposure to 25,000 ng/ml steviol. In the steroidogenesis assay, a significant increase in  
473 progesterone production was found from the lower concentration of 10,000 ng/ml steviol. A  
474 report by EFSA (2011), estimated that exposure to steviol glycosides, expressed as steviol  
475 equivalents, is around 5.6-6.8 mg/kg bw per day (5,600-6,800 ng/ml) for adult high level  
476 consumers in the UK. Additionally, for European children, anticipated exposure of the high  
477 level consumer is estimated to be 1.7-16.3 mg/kg bw per day (1,700-16,300 ng/ml).  
478 Consequently, the levels studied within this investigation are in the range of dietary exposure  
479 levels for children within the high level consumption range. Also, it is possible that as more  
480 products containing the natural sweetener *Stevia* are developed and sold, exposure levels to  
481 this compound will further increase (Goyal et al., 2009). *Stevia* will also be of particular  
482 interest to certain groups of the population with metabolic conditions such as diabetes and  
483 obesity and as a result these groups may have an even higher exposure to steviol glycosides.  
484 Therefore, there is an urgent need to ascertain the current level of exposure to steviol  
485 glycosides.

486 Metabolism studies in humans have reported very low blood levels of free steviol (JECFA,  
487 2005). Steviol glucuronide has been reported to be primarily excreted in urine and steviol in  
488 faeces (Wheeler et al., 2008). As previously outlined, absorbed steviol is rapidly transformed  
489 to steviol glucuronide in the liver via conjugation to an acyl-glucuronide. Consequently, only  
490 absorbed steviol which bypasses the liver and portal-circulation, e.g. absorbed in the distal  
491 colon/rectum, will go unconjugated into general circulation and only until it passes the liver  
492 (Brusick, 2008). Studies have reported that progesterone receptors are present in the epithelial  
493 cells of the colon and progesterone has some effects directly on the gastrointestinal (GI) tract  
494 (Guarino *et.al.*, 2011; Eliakim *et.al.*, 2000). Unconjugated steviol may be present at high  
495 concentrations in the GI tract and may present the potential for steviol to inhibit progesterone

496 binding to the progesterone receptors. Thereby potentially enabling steviol to disturb  
497 progesterone effects in the GI tract before being conjugated in the liver. It is increasingly  
498 important that current metabolism studies are carried out to assess the metabolic pathways  
499 and effects within the framework of the growing use of stevia glycosides and a widening  
500 range of food products.

501 Despite the findings of this study, other research on steviol glycosides has concluded that  
502 replacing table sugar or aspartame with these natural sweeteners has various health benefits.  
503 Numerous plant glycosides have shown activity in the prevention of some dietary diseases  
504 including cancer, obesity and diabetes (Bernal *et al.*, 2011). Steviol glycosides are non-  
505 caloric and non-cariogenic sweeteners whose consumption may exert beneficial effects on  
506 human health (Gardana *et al.*, 2010).

## 507 **5 Conclusion**

508 The metabolite of steviol glycosides, steviol, can antagonise the progesterone nuclear  
509 receptor transcriptional activity and increase progesterone production. Additionally, steviol  
510 was found to induce an agonistic response on Catsper, the progesterone receptor of sperm  
511 cells. We have thus shown that steviol has the ability to affect progesterone signalling at three  
512 different sites: 1) By lowering progesterone transcriptional activity 2) by increasing the  
513 production of progesterone and 3) by acting as an agonist on Catsper, the progesterone  
514 receptor of sperm. This study highlights the endocrine disrupting potential of natural  
515 sweeteners such as those found in *Stevia rebaudiana* and suggests that emerging natural  
516 sweeteners such as *Stevia* may not be safe alternatives to sugar and other synthetic  
517 sweeteners. Further dietary exposure and metabolic studies are required to confirm their  
518 safety.

519

520



521 **Acknowledgements**

522 We gratefully acknowledge PhD Studentship funding provided by the Department of  
523 Education and Learning (DEL) Northern Ireland.

524 **Conflicts of interest**

525 There are no conflicts of interest.

526 **References**

527 Atteh, J., Onagbesan, O., Tona, K., Decuyper, E., Geuns, J. and Buyse, J. (2008) Evaluation  
528 of supplementary Stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni) leaves and stevioside in broiler diets:  
529 Effects on feed intake, nutrient metabolism, blood parameters and growth performance.  
530 *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 92, pp. 640–649.

531 Barriocanal, L., Palacios, M., Benitez, G., Benitez, S. and Jimenez, J. (2008) Apparent lack  
532 of pharmacological effect of steviol glycosides used as sweeteners in humans, a pilot study of  
533 repeated exposures in some normotensive and hypotensive individuals and in type 1 and type  
534 2 diabetics. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 51, pp. 37–41.

535 Bernal, J., Mendiola, J., Ibáñez, E. and Cifuentes, A. (2011) Advanced analysis of  
536 nutraceuticals. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 55, pp. 758– 774.

537 Brahmachari, G., Mandal, L., Roy, R., Mondal, S. and Brahmachari, A. (2011) Stevioside  
538 and Related Compounds – Molecules of Pharmaceutical Promise: A Critical Overview.’  
539 *Archiv der Pharmazie Chemistry Life Sciences*, pp. 5-19.

540 Brambilla, E., Cagetti, M., Ionescu, A., Campus, G. and Lingström P. (2013) An in vitro and  
541 in vivo Comparison of the Effect of Stevia rebaudiana Extracts on Different Caries-Related  
542 Variables: A Randomized Controlled Trial Pilot Study. *Caries Research*, 48 (1), pp. 19-23.

543 Brusick, D. (2008) A critical review of the genetic toxicity of steviol and steviol glycosides.  
544 Food Chemistry Toxicology, 46(7), pp. 83–9

545 Connolly, L., (2008). Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: origins, fates and transmission into the  
546 food chain. In *Endocrine-disrupting chemicals in foods*. Woodhead Publishing Series in Food  
547 Science, Technology and Nutrition No. 170., pp. 101–125.

548 Connolly, L., Ropstad, E. and Verhaegen, S. (2011) In vitro bioassays for the study of  
549 endocrine-disrupting food additives and contaminants. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 30  
550 (2), pp.227-236.

551 Diabetes UK (2015) What is diabetes? Available from: [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/Guide-](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/Guide-to-diabetes/What-is-diabetes/)  
552 [to-diabetes/What-is-diabetes/](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/Guide-to-diabetes/What-is-diabetes/) (accessed: 12th April 2015)

553 Einer-Jensen, N., and Hunter, R. H. F. (2005). Counter-current transfer in reproductive  
554 biology. *Reproduction*, 129, 9–18.

555 Eliakim, R., Abulafia, O., and Sherer, D. (2000). Estrogen, progesterone and the  
556 gastrointestinal tract. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 45(10), 781–789.

557 European Commissions (1996) Endocrine Disruptor: What are endocrine disruptors?  
558 Available at:  
559 [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/endocrine/definitions/endodis\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/endocrine/definitions/endodis_en.htm) (Accessed:  
560 20th December 2013).

561 European Food Safety Authority. (2011). Revised exposure assessment for steviol glycosides  
562 for the proposed uses as a food additive. *European Food Safety Authority Journal*, 9 (1), pp.  
563 1-19.

564 European Food Safety Authority. (2010) Scientific Opinion on the safety of steviol  
565 glycosides for the proposed uses as a food additive. *European Food Safety Authority Journal*,  
566 8(4), pp. 1-84.

567 FAO (2010) JECFA additives. Steviol glycosides. Available from:  
568 <http://www.fao.org/ag/agn/jecfa-additives/specs/monograph10/additive-442-m10.pdf>  
569 (Accessed: 2nd July 2015)

570 Food Standards Agency (2012) Steviol glycosides. Available at:  
571 <http://www.food.gov.uk/policy-advice/additivesbranch/stevia> (Accessed: 23rd April 2014).

572 Frizzell, C., Ndossi, D., Verhaegen, S., Dahl, E., Eriksen, G., Sørli, M., and Connolly, L.  
573 (2011). Endocrine disrupting effects of zearalenone, alpha- and beta-zearalenol at the level of  
574 nuclear receptor binding and steroidogenesis. *Toxicology Letters*, 206(2), 210–7.

575 Frizzell, C., Verhaegen, S., Ropstad, E., Elliott, C. T., and Connolly, L. (2013). Endocrine  
576 disrupting effects of ochratoxin A at the level of nuclear receptor activation and  
577 steroidogenesis. *Toxicology Letters*, 217(3), 243–50.

578 Frizzell, C., Elliott, C. T., and Connolly, L., (2014) Effects of the mycotoxin patulin at the  
579 level of nuclear receptor transcriptional activity and steroidogenesis in vitro. *Toxicology*  
580 *Letters*, 229, 366–373.

581

582 Galletti, F., and Klopper, A. (1964). The effect of progesterone on the quantity and  
583 distribution of body fat in the female rat. *Acta Endocrinologica*, 46, 379–386

584 Gardana, C., Scaglianti, M. and Simonetti, P. (2010). Evaluation of steviol and its glycosides  
585 in *Stevia rebaudiana* leaves and commercial sweetener by ultra-high performance liquid  
586 chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1217, pp. 1463–1470.

587 Gov.UK (2014) Reducing obesity and improving diet. Available at:  
588 <https://www.gov.uk/government/policies/reducing-obesity-and-improving-diet> (Accessed:  
589 17th April 2014)

590 Goyal, S., Samsher, R. and Goyal, R. (2010) Stevia (*stevia rebaudiana*) a biosweetener. A  
591 review. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 61, pp. 1-10.

592 Gracia, T., Hilscherova, K., Jones, P. D., Newsted, J. L., Higley, E. B., Zhang, X., and Giesy,  
593 J. P. (2007). Modulation of steroidogenic gene expression and hormone production of H295R  
594 cells by pharmaceuticals and other environmentally active compounds. *Toxicology and*  
595 *Applied Pharmacology*, 225(2), 142–53.

596 Guarino, M., Cheng, L., Cicala, M., Ripetti, V., Biancani, P. and Behar, J. (2011).  
597 Progesterone receptors and serotonin levels in colon epithelial cells from females with slow  
598 transit constipation. *Neurogastroenterology & Motility*, 23(6), 575–e210.

599 Harvey P.W., Everett, D.J and Springhall C.J., (2007) Adrenal toxicology: a strategy for  
600 assessment of functional toxicity to the adrenal cortex and steroidogenesis. *Journal of*  
601 *Applied Toxicology*, 27 (2), 103-115.

602 Hecker M and Geisy J (2008) Novel trends in endocrine disruptor testing: the H295R  
603 Steroidogenesis Assay for identification of inducers and inhibitors of hormone production.  
604 *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry* 390 (1) pp 287-91.

605 JECFA, 2005. Steviol glycosides. In: 63rd Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert  
606 Committee on Food Additives, Geneva, Switzerland. World Health Organization (WHO),  
607 Geneva, Switzerland, WHO Technical Report Series 928, pp. 34–39, and 138.  
608 [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO\\_TRS\\_928.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_928.pdf)

609 Lin, L., Lee, L., Sheu, S. and Lin, P. (2004) Study on the Stevioside Analogues of  
610 Steviolbioside, Steviol and Isosteviol 19-Alkyl Amide Dimers: Synthesis and Cytotoxic and  
611 Antibacterial Activity. *Chemical & pharmaceutical bulletin*, 52(9), pp. 1117-1122

612 Lof, M., Hilakivi-Clarke, L., Sandin S, S., de Assis, S., Yu, W., and Weiderpass, E. (2009).  
613 Dietary fat intake and gestational weight gain in relation to estradiol and progesterone plasma  
614 levels during pregnancy: a longitudinal study in Swedish women. *BMC Women's Health*, 9,  
615 10.

616 Maki, K. and Curry, L. (2008) Chronic consumption of rebaudioside A, a steviol glycoside,  
617 in men and women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Food Chemistry Toxicology*, 46, pp. 47–53.

618 Ndossi, D. G., Frizzell, C., Tremoen, N. H., Fæste, C. K., Verhaegen, S., Dahl, E., and  
619 Ropstad, E. (2012). An in vitro investigation of endocrine disrupting effects of trichothecenes  
620 deoxynivalenol (DON), T-2 and HT-2 toxins. *Toxicology Letters*, 214(3), 268–78

621 Oliveira-Filho. R.M., Uehara, O.A., Minetti, C.A. and Valle, L.B. (1989) Chronic  
622 administration of aqueous extract of *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bert.) Bertoni in rats: endocrine  
623 effects. *General Pharmacology*, 2, pp. 187–191.

624 Paul, S., Sengupta, S., Bandyopadhyay, T. and Bhattacharyya, A. (2012) Stevioside Induced  
625 ROS-Mediated Apoptosis Through Mitochondrial Pathway in Human Breast Cancer Cell  
626 Line MCF-7. *Nutrition and Cancer*, 64(7), pp. 1087-1094.

627 Pawar, R., Krynitsky, A. and Rader, J. (2013) Sweeteners from plants—with emphasis on  
628 *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bertoni) and *Siraitia grosvenorii* (Swingle). *Analytical and bioanalytical*  
629 *chemistry*, 405(13), pp. 4397-407

630 Planas, G., and Kucacuta, J. (1968). Contraceptive Properties of *Stevia rebaudiana*. *Science*,  
631 162(3857), 1007–1009.

632 Prakash, I., Dubois, G., Clos, J., Wilkens, K. and Fosdick. L. (2008) Development of rebiana,  
633 a natural, non-calorie sweetener. *Food Chemistry*, 46, pp. 4-6.

634 Schiffer C, Müller A, Egeberg D.L, Alvarez L, Brenker C, Rehfeld A, Frederiksen H,  
635 Wäschle B, Kauppm U.B, Balbach M, Wachten D, Skakkebaek N.E, Almstrup K, and  
636 Strünker T (2012). Direct action of endocrine disrupting chemicals on human sperm. *EMBO*  
637 *Reports*, 13(7), 398–403.

638 Smith, J. F., Syrityna, O., Fellous, M., Serres, C., Mannowetz, N., Kirichok, Y., and Lishko,  
639 P. V. (2013). Disruption of the principal, progesterone-activated sperm Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel in a  
640 CatSper2-deficient infertile patient. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*  
641 *United States of America*, 110(17), 6823–8.

642 Spitz, I. M., and Chwalisz, K. (2000). Progesterone receptor modulators and progesterone  
643 antagonists in women ' s health. *Steroids*, 65, 807–815

644 Spitz, I. M. (2003). Progesterone antagonists and progesterone receptor modulators: an  
645 overview. *Steroids*, 68(10-13), 981–993

646 Straub, S. G., Sharp, G. W. G., Meglasson, M. D., and Souza, C. J. De. (2002). Progesterone  
647 Inhibits Insulin Secretion by a Membrane Delimited , Non-genomic Action. *Biosciences*  
648 *Reports*, 21(5), 653–666

649 Tabb M and Blumberg B (2005) New modes of action for endocrine-disrupting chemicals.  
650 *Molecular Endocrinology* 20 (3) pp. 475-82

651 Tavares, R. S., Mansell, S., Barratt, C. L. R., Wilson, S. M., Publicover, S. J., and Ramalho-  
652 Santos, J. (2013). p,p'-DDE activates CatSper and compromises human sperm function at  
653 environmentally relevant concentrations. *Human Reproduction* (Oxford, England), 28(12),  
654 3167–77. 98–403.

655 The European Commission (2011) COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 1131/2011.  
656 *Official Journal of the European Union*, pp. 205-211.

657 Uehara, O.A., Utino, V.H., Miyata, I., Valle, L.B.S. and Oliverira-Filho, R.M. (1982)  
658 Stevioside androgen interactions. *Natural Products and Pharmacology*, 1, pp. 7475.

659 Uehara, O.A., Minetti, C.A., Valle, L.B., and Oliveira-Filho, R.M. (1983) Stevioside  
660 inhibition of R 1881 binding on androgen-target organs. *Natural Products and*  
661 *Pharmacology*, pp. 420-421.

662 Ukiya, M., Sawada, S., Kikuchi, T., Kushi, Y., Fukatsu, M. and Akihisa, T. (2013) Cytotoxic  
663 and Apoptosis-Including Activities of Steviol and Isosteviol Derivatives against Human  
664 Cancer Cell Lines. *Chemistry & Biodiversity*, 10, pp. 177-182.

665 Wheeler, A., Boileau, A.C., Winkler, P.C., Compton, J.C., Prakash, I., Jiang, X. and  
666 Mandarino, D.A. (2008) Pharmacokinetics of rebaudioside A and stevioside after single oral  
667 doses in healthy men. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46, pp. 54–S0

668 Wilemsen, P., Scippo, M.L., Kausel, G., Figueroa, J., Maghuin-Rogister, G., Martial, J. and  
669 Muller, M. (2004) Use of reporter cell lines for detection of endocrine disrupter activity.  
670 *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 378, pp. 655-663.

671 Xili L, Chengjiany B, Eryi X, Reiming S, Yuengming W, Haodong S and Zhiyian H (1992)  
672 Chronic oral toxicity and carcinogenicity study of stevioside in rats. *Food and Chemical*  
673 *Toxicology* 30, pp. 957-965.