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ABSTRACT

Proteus mirabilis forms dense crystalline biofilms on catheter surfaces that occlude urine flow leading to serious clinical complications in long-term catheterised patients, but there are presently no truly effective approaches to control catheter blockage by this organism. This study evaluated the potential for bacteriophage therapy to control P. mirabilis infection and prevent catheter blockage. Representative in vitro models of the catheterised urinary tract, simulating a complete closed drainage system as used in clinical practice, were employed to evaluate the performance of phage therapy in preventing blockage. Models mimicking either an established infection, or early colonisation of the catheterised urinary tract, were treated with a single dose of a 3 phage cocktail, and the impact on time taken for catheters to block, as well as levels of crystalline biofilm formation, were measured. In models of established infection phage treatment significantly increased time taken for catheters to block (~3-fold) compared to untreated controls. However, in models simulating early stage infection phage treatment eradicated P. mirabilis and prevented blockage entirely. Analysis of catheters from models of established infection, 10 hours after phage application, demonstrated that phage significantly reduced crystalline biofilm formation, but did not significantly reduce the level of planktonic cells in the residual “bladder” urine. Taken together, these results show that bacteriophage constitute a promising strategy for the prevention of catheter blockage, but that methods to deliver phage in sufficient numbers and within a key therapeutic window (early infection) will also be important to the successful application of phage to this problem.
INTRODUCTION

A frequent complication associated with long-term urethral catheterisation is encrustation and blockage of catheters due to infection with *Proteus mirabilis*, which can be isolated from around 45% of catheter associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) (1, 2). Blockage stems from the ability of *P. mirabilis* to form dense biofilms on catheter surfaces, and the production of a potent urease enzyme which generates ammonia through hydrolysis of urea (1, 3, 4). Ammonia production elevates urinary pH causing the precipitation of calcium and magnesium phosphates, and the subsequent formation of crystals which become trapped within developing biofilms (1, 5). Once embedded in the biofilm, crystal growth is stabilised and enhanced by the biofilm matrix (6, 7). As this process continues the biofilm gradually becomes mineralised, leading to development of extensive crystalline biofilm structures which ultimately block catheters (1, 5). If unnoticed, blockage can lead to reflux of infected urine to the upper urinary tract, and the onset of serious clinical complications including pyelonephritis, septicaemia, and shock (1, 8).

Although catheters containing antimicrobial coatings are currently available, their efficacy in preventing infection during even short-term use remains questionable, and all available catheter types remain susceptible to *P. mirabilis* encrustation and blockage (9, 10). *P. mirabilis* is also extremely difficult to eliminate once established in the catheterised urinary tract and often responds poorly to conventional antibiotic therapy. It can persist despite multiple catheter changes or periods without catheterisation, and causes chronic infection and blockage in many patients (8, 9, 11). There are presently no truly effective strategies for the control of *P. mirabilis* CAUTI and associated blockage, and the development of new approaches is urgently required. The aim of this study was to determine if bacteriophage (phage) therapy...
may constitute a viable approach to the prevention of catheter encrustation and blockage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, media, routine culture.** Clinical isolates of *P. mirabilis* (designated RS1 and RS3) used in this study were obtained from the Royal Sussex County Hospital, and all were derived from urinary tract infections. All chemicals, reagents and growth media were obtained either from Fisher Scientific UK, Oxoid UK, or Sigma UK unless otherwise stated. Bacteria were routinely cultured in Lysogeny-Broth Derivative Broth (LBDB) medium (5 g/l Yeast Extract, 10 g/l Vegetable peptone Nº1, 10 g/l Sodium Chloride) at 37°C with shaking, or on LBDB solidified by the addition of 15 g/l Technical agar (LBDA). Soft agar overlays, used for phage enrichments, purification and enumeration, were derived from LBDA (S-LBDA) and contained 5 g/l Yeast extract, 10 g/l Vegetable peptone Nº1, and 5.75 g/l Technical agar, and was kept molten at 45°C for use in agar overlays. The artificial urine (AU) medium previously described by Stickler et al. (12), was initially prepared as a 5X concentrated stock solution containing sodium disulfate (11.5 g/l), magnesium chloride (hexahydrate) (3.25 g/l), sodium chloride (23 g/l), trisodium citrate (3.25 g/l), sodium oxalate (0.1 g/l), potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (14 g/l), potassium chloride (8 g/l), ammonium chloride (5 g/l), calcium chloride dihydrate (3.25 g/l), urea (125 g/l), gelatin (25 g/l), and tryptone soya broth (5 g/l). Stock solutions of urea and calcium chloride dihydrate were sterilised separately by membrane filtration (0.45 µm; Sartorius, United Kingdom) while other components were sterilised by autoclaving. For use in bladder models all components were combined and diluted to 1X strength using sterile deionised water, with the final pH adjusted to 6.1.
**Phage isolation and purification.** Phages were isolated from sewage collected from wastewater treatment plants in the UK (Anglian water, Luton area). For initial enrichments of *P. mirabilis* phage 387.5 ml of LBDB was mixed with 100 ml of sewage, and inoculated with 2.5 ml of host growing cultures of *P. mirabilis*.

Enrichments were incubated statically overnight at 37°C and the following day 10 ml aliquots were recovered, centrifuged (3000 X g for 30 min), and supernatants filtered into fresh sterile tubes using 0.22 μm pore syringe filters (Sartorius, United Kingdom).

100 μl of filtered enrichment was mixed with 100 μl of a *P. mirabilis* exponential phase growing culture to be used as phage host (either clinical isolate RS1 or RS3), combined with 3 ml molten S-LBDA, swirled gently, and immediately poured over the surface of an LBDA plate. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18-20 h. Phage replication was identified by zones of lysis (plaques) in the confluent bacterial growth within S-LBDA overlays. To isolate and purify distinct phage individual plaques were picked off using Pasteur pipettes, and resuspended in 300 μl SM Buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.01% gelatine). The resulting phage suspensions were serially diluted in SM Buffer (10⁻³ to 10⁻⁶), and dilutions used to repeat agar overlays with host strains of *P. mirabilis* used in initial isolation. To ensure clonality of phage types, this process was repeated a further 5 times until bacterial lawns showed homogeneity of plaque morphology. Finally, an individual plaque was picked off and resuspended in SM buffer for use in subsequent experiments. These final clonal phage suspensions were stored at 4°C until required.

**Preparation of high titre phage stocks.** Phage were propagated on *P. mirabilis* RS1 host and high titre stocks obtained. Briefly, 100 μl of phage suspension and 100 μl of host growing culture were mixed, combined with 3 ml of molten S-LBDA, swirled
gently and poured onto agar plates. After a static overnight incubation at 37°C, plates displaying confluent lysis were selected and 3 ml of SM buffer supplemented with 2% (v/v) chloroform (to lyse remaining bacterial cells and maximize yield) were added before incubation at 37°C for 4 h. High-titre phage solution was removed from the plates, centrifuged (8,000 X g for 10 min) to remove cell debris, and then filter-sterilised (pore size, 0.22 μm) and stored at 4°C.

**In vitro bladder models.** In vitro bladder models, originally described by Stickler et al. (12), were set up and operated as described previously (13). The key features of models are illustrated in Figure 1, and consist of a double-walled glass chamber (the bladder) maintained at 37°C by a water jacket supplied from a circulating water bath. Size 14 French all-silicone Foley catheters (Bard, United Kingdom) were used in all experiments, and are inserted into the “bladder” via an outlet in the base of the glass chamber, before retention balloons are inflated with 10 ml sterile water. Catheters were subsequently attached to a drainage bag to form a sterile closed drainage system, and AU medium supplied at a constant flow rate of 0.75 ml /min. 

*P. mirabilis* RS1 cell suspensions were inoculated directly into the residual bladder urine at either 10^{10} cfu or 10^{3} cfu representing late stage or early stage infection respectively, and flow suspended for 1h to permit cells to establish within the system. 45 min after bacterial inoculation, test models were treated with a single dose of 3 x 10^{10} pfu of a 3 phage cocktail (1:1:1, 10^{10} pfu of each phage) in a volume of 1 ml, and flow restored 15 min later. The numbers of viable cells present in the residual bladder medium were enumerated at the start and end of experiments, and pH was also measured at the start and end of experiments by sampling the medium in the “bladder.”
Quantification of crystalline biofilm formation on catheter sections. To measure the levels of crystalline biofilm formation and catheter encrustation in control and phage treated models, the amount of calcium present on catheter sections removed from bladder models run for a set time (10 h) was quantified by flame photometry, described previously (13). Briefly, 1 cm catheter sections were submerged in 2 ml of an ammonium oxalate and oxalic acid solution (95% and 5% vol/vol respectively from 0.1 M stock solutions), subject to vigorous mixing for 3 min, then incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Catheter sections were then removed, the remaining mixture centrifuged (3000 X g for 10 min) and the supernatant discarded. Pellets were resuspended in 5 ml perchloric acid (0.05 M), samples mixed thoroughly, centrifuged (3000 X g for 2 min), and supernatants recovered. Levels of calcium dissolved in supernatants were determined using a flame photometer (Corning, Flame Photometer 410), calibrated using calcium standards at 100, 75, 50 and 25 ppm.

SEM of catheter cross sections. The thickness of biofilms and extent of encrustation on catheters recovered from timed models was visualised by SEM. Catheters were sectioned as shown in Fig. 4A, and mounted directly onto aluminium stubs using Leit adhesive carbon tabs (Agar Scientific, Stansted, United Kingdom). Mounted sections were stored overnight in a desiccator at RT then sputter coated with platinum using a Quorum Q150T ES system (Quorum Technologies, United Kingdom) and viewed using a Zeiss Evo LS15 microscope under high vacuum at an accelerating (EHT) voltage of 5 Kv and using a 5Q-BSD.

Transmission electron microscopy of bacteriophage. Purified phage particles (10⁹ pfu/ml) were immobilised on a 200 mesh Formvar/Carbon copper electron microscope grids (Agar Scientific, UK), and negatively stained with 2%
phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.4) (Sigma, UK). Phage were imaged by FEG-STEM using a Zeiss SIGMA FEG-SEM microscope at 20 Kv accelerating voltage, 20µm aperture, and 2.7 mm working distance.

Analysis of Data. All statistical analysis was performed using Prism 6.0c For Mac OS X (Graphpad Software inc. USA; www.graphpad.com). Data was analysed using either Student’s t-test, or ANOVA with the Bonferroni multiple comparisons test.

RESULTS

Bacteriophage isolation and characterisation. Three lytic phage, designated ΦRS1-PmA, ΦRS1-PmB, and ΦRS3-PmA, were isolated from wastewater through enrichments against clinical isolates of P. mirabilis. These phage showed distinct but overlapping host ranges (against a panel of 51 clinical isolates; data not shown) and differences in plaque morphology (Fig. 2). All were observed to generate halos around plaques, indicative of polysaccharide depolymerise activity, and were classified as members of the Podoviridae based on TEM observations of capsid morphology (Fig. 2). All three phage were included in a “cocktail” in equal proportions (1:1:1) for evaluation of phage therapy in representative models of the catheterised urinary tract.

Effect of phage therapy on catheter blockage. Initial experiments replicated a worst-case scenario in which phage were used to treat an established infection (10^{10} cfu P. mirabilis in bladder models). Under these conditions a single “dose” of the phage cocktail (10^{10} pfu, MOI 1:1 phage:bacteria) significantly extended the time taken for catheters to block (~3 fold) (Fig. 3). Because interventions affecting blockage under these highly challenging conditions are likely to have greater impact
when applied earlier in the infection process, we next evaluated the impact of the same phage “dose” in experiments replicating the early stages of infection (10^3 cfu \textit{P. mirabilis}, MOI 1:10^{-7} phage:bacteria). Under these conditions, the phage cocktail completely prevented catheter blockage and eradicated infection, with models draining freely for > 8 days until media reserves were exhausted (Fig. 3). In contrast, catheters in corresponding control models developed substantial encrustation, and became blocked after ~2 days (Fig. 3).

**Effect of phage treatment on crystalline biofilm formation.** To specifically evaluate the impact of phage treatment on crystalline biofilm formation, models of late stage infection were deactivated after 10 h, and levels of calcium on catheter sections quantified. This demonstrated that phage treatment significantly reduced levels of encrustation (Fig. 4A). These data were supported by direct SEM visualisation of catheter sections, which showed sections from models treated with phage to be devoid of visible crystalline deposits. This was in stark contrast to catheter sections from untreated models, which exhibited prominent encrustations (Fig. 4B). While these observations corresponded with a significant reduction in pH in treated models, the number of viable planktonic cells in residual urine from test or control models was not found to be significantly different (Fig. 4C,D).

**DISCUSSION**

Here we demonstrate the potential for bacteriophage to constitute an effective countermeasure for one of the most common and serious complications of long-term urethral catheterisation: encrustation and blockage. Our findings are congruent with previous studies examining the potential to control biofilm formation on urinary catheters using phage, where a reduction in biofilm formation by \textit{P. mirabilis},...
Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus epidermidis has been reported when catheter sections were pretreated with phage suspensions (14, 15, 16). More recently, Lehman and Donlan (17) have described phage pretreatment for control of mixed species biofilm formation (P. aeruginosa & P. mirabilis), and also evaluated encrustation of catheter sections. However, these previous studies were able to show a reduction, rather than complete prevention, of biofilm formation by uropathogens tested, and where P. mirabilis was used phage did not fully prevent encrustation (14, 16, 17).

In contrast our data highlight the potential for a more dramatic impact of phage therapy in preventing blockage and resolution of P. mirabilis infection. Although specific attributes of the phage used in this study may be important to the outcome of bladder model experiments reported here, the differences in phage performance noted between this and other studies most likely relates to the high titres of phage achieved in bladder models, and delivery directly to residual bladder urine. In contrast, previous studies targeting P. mirabilis or other uropathogens have focused on the pretreatment of catheter sections with phage suspensions prior to use in models of biofilm formation (14, 15, 16, 17). As a result, the final titres of phage tested in these systems (and resulting MOIs established) was unclear, but likely to be substantially lower than those obtained in our models.

In addition, previous evaluations of phage therapy for CAUTI have mainly been designed to evaluate the ability of phage to reduce biofilm formation in general, rather than prevent catheter blockage specifically. In this context, the focus of our study on blockage as a specific therapeutic end point, and the evaluation of phage using a full closed drainage system in the bladder model system is also a key
difference. This model provides an excellent representation of the catheterised urinary tract and assessment of phage therapy in this setting.

In contrast, previous studies have used either simple static models of biofilm formation on catheter sections (14), or deployed models that do not use whole intact catheters or fully replicate the closed drainage system (15, 16, 17). While such models of infection clearly provide a useful and valid insight into the potential of phage therapy for CAUTI, and the control of bacterial biofilms in this setting, the encrustation and blockage of catheters is also governed by physical characteristics of distinct regions of catheters and the physicochemical forces that develop in the closed drainage system (1).

Most notably, blockage typically occurs around the catheter eye-hole and the first few centimetres of the catheter, which provide more irregular surface topologies [arising from the manufacturing process] that are particularly supportive of bacterial colonisation, and are continually exposed to the sump of infected residual urine that accumulates in the bladder (1, 12, 13). Therefore, the bladder model system provides a particularly robust evaluation of interventions aimed at prevention of blockage and encrustation, and the use of this system strengthens the observations reported here around the potential of phage to prevent catheter blockage.

Nevertheless, it is notable that phage were only able to fully prevent blockage when used in models of early stage infection. The simplest explanation for failure to prevent blockage in simulations of established infection is that the dose of phage used was insufficient to deal with the dense *P. mirabilis* population, and under MOIs established *P. mirabilis* growth and crystalline biofilm formation simply outstripped the
capacity of phage to eliminate infection. This may have been compounded by factors such as wash out of phage from model systems during the course of experiments, as well as the rapid elevation of urinary pH in models of late stage infection, which may reach pH 8 ~2-3 h after model activation.

Conversely, the recovery of phage from models of early infection 8 days after model activation (albeit at low levels, ~20 pfu/ml), despite an apparent absence of host bacteria for the majority of this time and the far longer duration of these experiments compared with models of established infection, argues against washout as a significant factor. Under conditions of high pH it is possible phage may be inactivated or their ability to infect host cells reduced, leading to eventual therapeutic failure. Previous evaluations of *P. mirabilis* phage have indicated that these remain active even under conditions of high pH (17). Our own evaluation of specific phage used here confirms these remain capable of infection after exposure to high pH (data not shown), but the possibility that alterations to cell surface properties protects against infection with these specific phage at high pH cannot be excluded.

Alternatively, the failure of phage to prevent blockage in late stage infection may be explained by the development of resistance to the phage used, and this has been observed in other studies of phage therapy for CAUTI over a similar time frame (16). Although the use of a three phage cocktail should guard against resistance, the phage used here have similar host range profiles, are all members of the *Podoviridae* family, and are yet to be characterised genetically. It is therefore possible that they constitute closely related phage types with comparable mechanisms of attachment and infection. This could allow the same mutation(s) in host bacteria to afford resistance to all three. In this context it is notable also that many key surface
structures of *P. mirabilis* that may be receptors for phage attachment are subject to phase variable gene expression (18), and it is therefore not unlikely that a small proportion of a given *P. mirabilis* population may be naturally immune to particular phage types, and selected for during phage treatment.

Despite this, there is clear potential to address the issue of resistance by ensuring selection of phage binding distinct cell surface structures, and generating a greater understanding of the mechanisms underpinning phage:host interaction in *P. mirabilis*, particularly under conditions encountered in the catheterised urinary tract. Furthermore, the high MOIs achieved in models of early infection also raises the potential for the induction of lysis from without (LO), which could also explain the differences in efficacy of phage treatment in the two infection scenarios modelled. The induction of LO could be highly advantageous in control of *P. mirabilis* CAUTI and subsequent studies should explore if *P. mirabilis* phage used here can induce LO, and the applications of this to control of CAUTI.

It was also clear from timed bladder model experiments that phage treatment significantly reduced levels of crystalline biofilm formation in models of established infection. Intriguingly, this work also suggests that the impact of phage treatment on *P. mirabilis* crystalline biofilm formation may not be solely attributable to a reduction in the number of planktonic cells available to participate in biofilm formation, since no statistically significant differences were observed in the number of viable planktonic cells in residual urine from test or control models at the 10h time point.

The putative polysaccharide depolymerase (PD) activity exhibited by the phage used here [based on halo production around plaques (19); **Fig 2**], may be important in this...
regard. These enzymes, expressed on the surface of phage capsids or produced by host cells during phage replication, are believed to facilitate phage attack on biofilm communities by enabling phage penetration of the exopolymeric matrix (19, 20). The bioengineering of phage T7 has already demonstrated the potential utility of PD expressing phage in biofilm dispersal (20), and it is possible any PD activity of phage used in this study may contribute to their ability to reduce crystalline biofilm formation and encrustation, independent of cell lysis.

This highlights an additional feature of *P. mirabilis* phage that may be investigated further from the perspective of developing more broadly applicable anti-biofilm strategies. In the context of CAUTI, greater insights into the ability of phage to access biofilm associated cells could improve activity not only against mature biofilms, but perhaps more importantly multi-species biofilms. Challenges to the efficacy of phage therapy posed by multi-species biofilms would stem not only from the relatively narrow spectrum of activity of most phage, but also the possibility that mechanisms used by phage to access host bacterial cells within biofilms (such as PD enzymes), may be undermined by the chimeric EPS generated by multi-species biofilms (19).

Although recent work does indicate the potential to tackle multi-species biofilms with phage therapy (17), it would seem the more detailed study of phage:biofilm interactions and elucidation of associated mechanisms, coupled with the powerful approach of phage genome engineering, holds much potential for enhancing the efficacy of phage therapy in this regard. Alternatively, the prophylactic administration of phage active against key pathogens such as *P. mirabilis*, should also serve to offset issues associated with access to target cells in multi-species or even single species biofilms once these become established. Furthermore, there is also...
considerable scope to combine phage therapy with other approaches to control infection such as antibiotics or other antimicrobial agents to enhance efficacy further.

In summary, the current study supports the potential efficacy of phage therapy in control of CAUTI, and in particular blockage caused by *P. mirabilis*. Although there is a clear need for further fundamental research into phage:host interactions and the ability of phage to control CAUTI to progress this approach, our work also suggests a major factor in the successful use of phage therapy in this setting will be the parallel development of strategies to deliver sufficient numbers of phage within the most effective therapeutic window (e.g. early stage infection for *P. mirabilis* CAUTI).
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Illustration of in vitro bladder model system. Models were set-up according to specifications originally described by Stickler et al 1999 (12). a) Double walled glass vessel representing the bladder. b) Foley catheter inserted into the model and connected to drainage bag to form sterile closed drainage system. c) Drainage tubing. d) Drainage bag collects urine outflow. e) Sterile urine/artificial urine supplied to “bladder” via peristaltic pump at a constant flow rate. f) Water at 37 °C circulated through outer bladder model chamber to maintain constant temperature. Diagram is adapted from Holling et al. 2014 (13).

Figure 2: Example of plaque morphology and capsid morphology in P. mirabilis bacteriophage evaluated in bladder models. Images show plaque morphology for ΦRS1-PmA, ΦRS1-PmB and ΦRS3-PmA generated on lawns of host strains used for isolation (Strain RS1 for ΦRS1-PmA and ΦRS1-PmB, and strain RS3 for ΦRS3-PmA). Associated transmission electron micrographs show structure of phage capsids, with morphology in all cases congruent with members of the Podoviridae family.

Figure 3: Impact of bacteriophage treatment on catheter blockage. In vitro models of the catheterised urinary tract replicating either a late stage heavy infection (10^{10} cfu P. mirabilis), or early stage colonisation of the catheterised urinary tract (10^{3} cfu P. mirabilis), were used to evaluate the impact of a single phage therapy treatment on blockage and encrustation. For heavy infection test models were treated with phage at an MOI 1:1 phage:bacteria. Test models replicating early stage infection were treated with the same phage dose (MOI 1:10^{-7} phage:bacteria). Phage treatments were applied 45 min after models were inoculated with P. mirabilis.
Models were run until catheters became blocked and urine ceased to accumulate in drainage bags, or media was exhausted. A) Time taken for catheters in control and phage treated models to become blocked, or for media to be exhausted. B) pH of urine in residual bladder model media at end of experiments C) Enumeration of viable cells in residual urine in bladder models at the end of experiments. All data: Represent the means of 3 independent replicates. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. ** P < 0.01, **** P < 0.0001 Treated Vs Control in each model set-up. In models representing early infection and treated with phage no evidence of catheter blockage was observed and models were deactivated after 8 days when media was exhausted.

Figure 4: Impact of phage treatment on crystalline biofilm formation. Models replicating a established infection (10^10 cfu _P. mirabilis_) were used to evaluate the impact of phage treatment on crystalline biofilm formation. Test models were treated with phage at an MOI 1:1 phage:bacteria (10^10 pfu:10^10 cfu), 1 h after model start. Both test and control models were deactivated after 10 h and levels of crystalline biofilm formation measured on descending sections. A) Schematic of urethral catheter showing sections subject to analysis in part B. B) Quantification of crystalline biofilm formation and encrustation on catheter sections (total calcium present on each catheter section examined). Images below the chart provide examples of SEM visualisation of catheter cross-sections, distal to section 1-3, and levels of encrustation. Bars on SEM images represent 200 μm. C) pH of urine in residual bladder model media at end of experiments D) Enumeration of viable cells in residual urine in bladder models at the end of experiments – no significant differences. All data: Data represent the mean of three replicate experiments, and error bars show
the standard error of the mean. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001 control section Vs phage treated model.
Figure A: Schematic diagram of the catheter with sections indicated.

Figure B: Bar graph showing calcium per catheter section (ppm) for Control and Phage Treated groups. Significant differences are indicated by ***.

Figure C: Graph showing pH levels for Control and Phage Treated groups. Significant differences are indicated by **.

Figure D: Graph showing CFU/mL for Control and Phage Treated groups.